071Wmen1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 4 23 Cr. 490 (SHS) V. 5 ROBERT MENENDEZ, WAEL HANA, a/k/a "Will Hana," 6 and FRED DAIBES, 7 Defendants. Trial 8 ----x 9 New York, N.Y. July 1, 2024 9:35 a.m. 10 11 12 Before: 13 HON. SIDNEY H. STEIN, 14 District Judge 15 -and a Jury-16 APPEARANCES 17 DAMIAN WILLIAMS United States Attorney for the 18 Southern District of New York BY: PAUL M. MONTELEONI 19 DANIEL C. RICHENTHAL ELI J. MARK 20 LARA E. POMERANTZ CATHERINE E. GHOSH 21 Assistant United States Attorneys -and-22 CHRISTINA A. CLARK National Security Division 23 2.4 25

071Wmen1

1 2 APPEARANCES CONTINUED 3 PAUL HASTINGS LLP 4 Attorneys for Defendant Menendez BY: ADAM FEE 5 AVI WEITZMAN ROBERT D. LUSKIN 6 RITA FISHMAN PAUL C. GROSS 7 8 9 GIBBONS, P.C. Attorneys for Defendant Hana 10 BY: LAWRENCE S. LUSTBERG ANNE M. COLLART 11 CHRISTINA LaBRUNO ANDREW J. MARINO 12 RICARDO SOLANO, Jr. ELENA CICOGNANI 13 JESSICA L. GUARRACINO 14 15 CESAR DE CASTRO SETH H. AGATA 16 SHANNON M. McMANUS Attorneys for Defendant Daibes 17 18 Also Present: Marwan Abdel-Rahman 19 Rodina Mikhail Interpreters (Arabic) 20 Rachel Wechsler 21 Connor Hamill Braden Florczyk 22 Paralegal Specialists, U.S. Attorney's Office 23 Justin Kelly, DOAR 2.4 25

(Trial resumed; jury not present)

THE COURT: Please be seated.

What occurred over the weekend was an abuse of the court process. It's an abuse of this system. I'm not interested in hearing from the parties, oh, you're at fault, no, you're at fault, yours was too long, the other side's was too long, that was late, this was late. It's too late for all of that.

At 1:30 on Saturday, I received the government's objections to the summary charts. The objections were about charts that were 124 pages in length, 100 pages in length, 56 pages in length, 22 pages in length. The government raised 40 discrete categories of objections to over 200 lines in these charts across approximately 300 pages of summary charts that would take days or hours for the Court to effectively go through. This was on Saturday, and the briefing wasn't done.

Counsel for Menendez and Hana filed their responses in opposition to the government's objections on Sunday at noon.

Also on Sunday, which is yesterday, at noon -- in other words, less than a day ago -- the Court received the government's objections to the direct testimony of Critchley. At 10 p.m. last night, the government filed its objections to another summary chart, DX 2500. The tsunami of submissions ended at midnight, when the government's objections were filed. And indeed, the government was jammed up by late submissions from

071Wmen1

the defense.

In sum, from noon yesterday, the Court received briefing on scores of objections to evidence involving hundreds of pages Of exhibits. I repeat, it's an abuse of the court's system. I was here from 10 a.m. until 11 p.m., but that's not the point. The point is it's far, far too many last-minute submissions here. There's no additional briefing on anything that's been submitted so far.

We're going to get this back on track, and if it requires a day that the jury isn't here, well, that's what will be done. I'm tempted to tell the jury that it's the parties' fault, but I'm not going to do that. If we have to take a day off, if the jury has to take a day off, I'll say it's due to scheduling. They're not going to be happy. Everybody would like to get this done.

I was able to go through approximately half of the objections in the submission from the government on document 488. That's where I was able to go.

Let me turn to Mr. Menendez.

The government has rested. Who can you put on today, and how long will it take?

MR. WEITZMAN: Yes, your Honor.

Without addressing any of the submissions, your Honor --

THE COURT: I'm not interested in the back-and-forth

24

25

1 about whose fault it was. We're getting this case on track and 2 we're moving forward. 3 Who are your witnesses today? 4 MR. WEITZMAN: My only point, your Honor, is without 5 having to rule on any objections, we have three witnesses to 6 present, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Fine. Who are the three witnesses? MR. WEITZMAN: Caridad Gonzalez. 8 9 THE COURT: And she is? 10 MR. WEITZMAN: The senator's sister. 11 Katia Tabourian, who is Nadine's sister, and Russell 12 Richardson, who was formerly noticed as an expert, but who we 13 plan to present as a lay witness. 14 THE COURT: Just a moment. 15 Who was the last person? You said Gonzalez and Tabourian. Who else? 16 17 MR. WEITZMAN: Russell Richardson. 18 THE COURT: Yes. 19 MR. WEITZMAN: He's the accountant. 20 THE COURT: And there are no outstanding objections to 21 those three. Is that correct? 22 MR. WEITZMAN: There are some documentary objections the government has raised with us. As to the ones involving

THE COURT:

Caridad Gonzalez, I don't plan to offer the two documents.

The question is what objections are there,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

```
and why am I only finding about it now? What objections are there? Talk to each other if you have to.
```

MR. FEE: Your Honor, we have spoken on Katia Tabourian, and I think there are at least two or three unresolved evidentiary objections that we would need the Court's resolution.

THE COURT: Since you knew you had her, since everybody knew she was on, why are these objections being given to me now, on the day of her testimony?

All right. The hesitation is the answer.

MS. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, if I may?

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. POMERANTZ: These were part of our prior letter, and we've also raised these with defense counsel.

THE COURT: I haven't had these specific objections in front of me.

MS. POMERANTZ: I understand, your Honor. I'm happy to raise them now.

THE COURT: Now.

You heard the tone in which I said "now." Is that Tabourian or is that Gonzalez?

MS. POMERANTZ: Well, as to Ms. Gonzalez, there were two defense exhibits.

THE COURT: All right. Just wait.

MS. POMERANTZ: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Are there objections as to both Gonzalez and Tabourian? Yes or no.

MS. POMERANTZ: Yes.

THE COURT: Are there objections as to Richardson?

MS. GHOSH: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Silence. I'll take that as no.

How long, defense, is the testimony of Gonzalez, Tabourian and Richardson?

MR. WEITZMAN: I think it will, I think they'll likely conclude this morning, although we can't be sure.

THE COURT: All right. We'll see where we stand then as to whether or not I'm going to tell the jury they can't come in tomorrow. There's practically no way I could go through these objections, all of these objections tonight. Very disturbing.

In terms of Gonzalez and Tabourian, I must say, at least based on 1303 and the proposed submissions by Menendez, some of that is in quite bad faith. There are a number of submissions involving Anton and 1303 that are absolutely beyond the guidelines that I had submitted. In fact, the defense is construing what I was saying on Friday as to the guidelines much too narrowly. Some of those submissions about Anton and the abuse you said did not fit into "hospitalization or beating up," citing a phrase that I used in describing the guidelines.

Well, counsel, clearly I wasn't limiting what you

071Wmen1

I was talking about specific examples of abuse, not limited to hospitalizations or beating up. To some of the submissions on Anton, I said don't make this a soap opera. You're not only making it a soap opera, you're making it a bad soap opera.

No specific examples of abuse, I told you that when I was describing the guidelines for the relatives. Domestic abuse is too hot a topic, and it's so tangential to the issues here. It's out on 403. And Menendez's counsel had to have known that. I'm saying that so that you can question Gonzalez and Tabourian appropriately, without going into specific examples of dispute. My remarks were clearly beyond hospitalization, taken out of evidence things beyond hospitalization or beating up.

Who is your first witness?

MR. WEITZMAN: Ms. Gonzalez.

THE COURT: All right. Let's take the objections to Gonzalez.

And I should say also, obviously I need to allocute each defendant on whether or not that defendant understands that it's his determination as to whether or not he wants to take the stand and, indeed, what his determination is. It seems to me the most appropriate time to do that is toward the end of each defendant's case and to do three allocutions separately.

25

```
1
               I also think the appropriate time, but if the parties
2
      disagree, I'll be amenable, but it seems to me the appropriate
 3
      time to do that is at some point before each defendant rests;
      the attorneys should ask for a break for the reasons the Court
 4
 5
      discussed. And I then will allocute that defendant. Obviously
      I don't want to have a situation where the defendant rests and
 6
7
      then I allocute. I want to allocute before.
8
               Is that understood? Everyone understands that?
 9
               MR. WEITZMAN: Yes, your Honor.
10
               MR. LUSTBERG: Yes, your Honor.
               MR de CASTRO: Yes.
11
12
               THE COURT: All right.
13
               The frenzy over the weekend has got to stop.
                                                             And I
14
      can only imagine the hours that the attorneys put in.
15
               What are the objections on Gonzalez? And if it's
      exhibits, show me the exhibits.
16
17
               MS. POMERANTZ: Yes, your Honor.
18
               The objections are to Defense Exhibits 1590 and 1591.
19
     We can pull those up.
               THE COURT: I take it this has not been presented to
20
21
     me before. Correct?
22
               The answer is yes. Is the answer yes?
23
               MS. POMERANTZ: I'm not sure, your Honor, if these
```

were in the letter. That's my only hesitation.

OK.

THE COURT:

24

25

1 MS. POMERANTZ: My understanding is they are in the 2 letter. 3 THE COURT: OK. 4 MS. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, our objection to these 5 exhibits is --THE COURT: Wait a minute. I'll try to handle these 6 7 as they come in. What exhibit, what page, where is it? 8 MS. POMERANTZ: The exhibit is on the screen, your 9 Honor. 10 THE COURT: No, no. Where you raised this so that the Court could understand what it was. Because I don't think I've 11 ever seen that exhibit before. 12 13 You know what? It doesn't matter. Let's deal with 14 this right now. 15 MR. WEITZMAN: Your Honor, as I mentioned, I don't 16 plan to offer this document. I'm just going to use this 17 document, and I told Ms. Pomerantz this, to refresh 18 recollection. 19 THE COURT: All right. I won't have to let that in, 20 but I don't have to rule on it. Expected time for surgery. I 21 don't have to rule on it. There's no issue. 22 What else?

MS. POMERANTZ: I think that's the issues for Defense Exhibits 1590 and 1591.

If we want to move to Ms. Tabourian --

25

1 THE COURT: Yes. 2 MS. POMERANTZ: I'm sorry. That was 1590. 3 1591, my understanding from Mr. Weitzman is he's representing that he doesn't plan to offer these. We don't 4 5 believe that he should be permitted to ask about either of these exhibits. 6 7 THE COURT: What's 1590? Mr. Weitzman, what's the issue here? 8 9 MR. WEITZMAN: Right. I'm not going to offer this. I will use the exhibit if I need to refresh recollection. 10 11 THE COURT: All right. Fine. It's a banana. 12 MS. POMERANTZ: But your Honor, our point is that he 13 shouldn't be permitted to ask about pain and hospitalization, 14 that they --THE COURT: That's correct. I don't think he is. 15 16 don't know what the lead-up is going to be, but he can refresh 17 anything with anybody. 18 MS. POMERANTZ: Understood, your Honor. I just wanted 19 to make sure that we were heard on this. Our view is that this 20 is meant to engender sympathy and that there shouldn't be 21 details coming out about --22 THE COURT: This doesn't have to do with Anton. Ι gather it has to do with the senator's surgery and the 23 24 recliner.

MS. POMERANTZ: Correct, your Honor.

23

24

25

1 THE COURT: I understand your point. 2 MS. POMERANTZ: Thank you. 3 THE COURT: Thank you for alerting me to it, but it's 4 not an issue I need to address now. In abstract, I think the 5 government is right about that, pain and so forth is not at 6 issue. 7 Mr. Weitzman. MR. WEITZMAN: In the abstract, I agree with that. 8 9 do think there's a question to the extent that they're alleging 10 that the recliner is a bribe, giving the context as to why Senator Menendez received a recliner, the surgery --11 12 THE COURT: That still doesn't change that it could be 13 a bribe, but that's up to the jury. 14 MR. WEITZMAN: That's right, and so the context --15 THE COURT: I understand. You can put the context in, but just because he had a sore shoulder doesn't mean the 16 17 recliner wasn't a bribe. That will be for the jury. 18 MR. WEITZMAN: Correct. 19 THE COURT: OK. What else? 20 MS. POMERANTZ: Next, on Ms. Tabourian, I understand 21 from Mr. Fee that the defense exhibits that we previously 22

MS. POMERANTZ: Next, on Ms. Tabourian, I understand from Mr. Fee that the defense exhibits that we previously raised for your Honor -- I just want to state it for the record -- Defense Exhibits 1787, 1790 and 1793, that they no longer intend to ask Ms. Tabourian about those exhibits, which we had previewed for the Court as being improper and not within

```
the bounds of your Honor's ruling. They have informed that
1
      they do not plan to ask about those exhibits or offer them.
 2
 3
               And the next defense exhibit that I understand from
     Mr. Fee that he intends to offer is Defense Exhibit 2194.
 4
 5
               THE COURT: Yes.
 6
               MS. POMERANTZ: And we object to this exhibit on
7
      hearsay grounds. It's -- if we can pull that up.
8
               THE COURT: You know what?
 9
               Mr. Fee, is it correct you're not going to ask about
10
      1787, 1790 or 1793?
11
               MR. FEE: Correct, your Honor.
12
               THE COURT: Let me see those, just so I get a sense of
13
      what the negotiations are here.
14
               Put up 1787. All right.
15
               All right. 1790.
16
               1793.
17
                          I understand there are going to be no
               All right.
18
      questions about it. That's good.
19
               2194.
20
               Well, on its face, the objection is hearsay. Correct?
21
               MS. POMERANTZ: That's correct, your Honor.
22
               THE COURT: On its face, it is hearsay.
23
               MR. FEE: It's not for the truth, your Honor. It's
24
      for the purpose of showing, and the Court has heard this from
```

the defense throughout, that Ms. Arslanian was reaching out

2.4

about her financial issues to her sister, and we will elicit from her sister that there was no discussion of sharing --

THE COURT: Katia is Tabourian?

MR. FEE: Yes, that's her sister. I'm sorry.

THE COURT: OK.

MR. FEE: There was no discussion of these issues with being shared with Senator Menendez. There's no proof in the record that at this time she was making these same sorts of statements to Senator Menendez. It's not for the truth. It's for the state of mind and the fact that it was said.

THE COURT: It's for Menendez's state of mind?

MR. FEE: It's for Nadine's state of mind and it's for the fact that it was said to Katia and to argue the inference, your Honor, that there is nothing in the record that this was ever said to the senator.

The government's, one of the government's arguments that it must resort to inference for is that the senator was aware of the financial issues and the financial assistance Nadine was receiving from others. We're obviously saying the opposite. All we would elicit from Katia, from the sister, is that she remembers this outreach. She doesn't remember it ever coming up that Nadine was also sharing this information with the senator.

MS. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, they can ask those types of questions, but the document is still hearsay.

```
1
               THE COURT: Yes.
               MS. POMERANTZ: There's no state of mind.
 2
 3
               THE COURT: I think that's right. You certainly can
 4
      ask the question, but this does not go to state of mind. It's
 5
      hearsay, and I'm excluding it as hearsay. But you can ask
 6
      those questions.
7
               MR. FEE: Understood, your Honor.
8
               THE COURT:
                           OK.
 9
               That's it?
10
               MS. POMERANTZ: Next, your Honor, I believe is 2196.
               MR. FEE: But this is one of the ones I did not intend
11
12
      to offer.
13
               THE COURT: All right. Let me look at it.
14
               I'm glad you're not offering that.
15
               Next.
16
               I'm told the jury is here. What's the next objection?
17
               MS. POMERANTZ: I understand that Mr. Fee is telling
18
     me he's also not offering Defense Exhibits 2197 and 2199, so --
19
               THE COURT: All right.
20
               MS. POMERANTZ: -- that's not an issue.
21
               THE COURT: How many more do you have?
22
               MS. POMERANTZ: I think just one, your Honor.
               THE COURT: What is it?
23
2.4
               MS. POMERANTZ: 2195-R.
25
               THE COURT: What is it?
```

25

1 MS. POMERANTZ: Just, your Honor, that the first part 2 of this --3 THE COURT: What is it? 4 MS. POMERANTZ: My understanding is these are text 5 messages between Robert Menendez and Katia. THE COURT: This is between the defendant and Nadine's 6 7 sister. 8 MS. POMERANTZ: Yes, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Yes. 10 MS. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, I believe the second, 11 third and fourth sentences here are hearsay, and if you get rid of those sentences, the remainder of the text is not relevant. 12 13 And so that's the basis. 14 THE COURT: All right. Let me look at it. MS. POMERANTZ: At a minimum, your Honor, that there 15 shouldn't be the references to, I cannot get over her being 16 17 with Doug while she was with me. 18 THE COURT: Mr. Fee. 19 MR. FEE: Your Honor, this is really a perfect example 20 of state-of-mind proof. It's not for the truth about what he 21 can or cannot get over. This is in the heart of the alleged 22 conspiracy, where he's in vain --23 THE COURT: You keep talking about the heart. You're 24 just talking about the time period when you say heart, right?

MR. FEE: Yes, your Honor, but this is when alleged

bribes and alleged quo is happening, and so this is state of mind. He's talking about --

THE COURT: What's the state of mind you're trying to promote here?

MR. FEE: Your Honor, they're alleging a meeting of the minds among coconspirators, two of them being Nadine and Senator Menendez. He is expressing to Nadine's sister that he cannot even conceive of being with Nadine, as this is November 6 of 2018. This is pure state of mind. We do not care about the truth, but the fact that he is saying this to Nadine's sister really does seem to be necessary for us to meet the allegations here, your Honor.

And your Honor --

THE COURT: I'm not sure it does it for you. He's saying that he cares for her. Oh, I see, but I can't get over her, you're saying -- what's the state of mind you're trying to elicit here?

MR. FEE: That he does not want to be with Nadine at this moment. Your Honor, the inference that the jury's being asked to draw is that they're coconspirators; that he's working a bribery scheme and a foreign agent scheme with her. It is relevant and necessary for us to convey to this jury that his state of mind at that time was that he doesn't want to be with Nadine. That certainly bears on the inference of whether --

THE COURT: Government.

24

25

Nadine and Senator Menendez that have been offered for state of

We have seen dozens of text messages between

MR. FEE:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5771

mind about them having a conspiracy. This is in the time period of the conspiracy. We do not care about the truth of the reason that he doesn't want to be with her. We are not offering it for that purpose. We will not argue it for that purpose. But it is him saying I don't want to be with her right now. That is an argument we will make, and that is highly relevant and, frankly, necessary for our defense to beat this allegation. It is truthful.

We have redacted it to avoid your Honor's ruling about. There's a sentence here that certainly goes beyond your Honor's ruling about references to Mr. Anton. We have tried to limit this to a pure expression of state of mind, which it is: I cannot get over her being with Doug while she was with me. This was on his election night in response, the sister will testify, to a message from her saying, please get back to Nadine, get back with Nadine, she loves you. We're not offering that message. We're offering the response, which is the pure state of mind.

THE COURT: All right. I'm going to allow it, but government, make sure that I tell the jury that it's not for the truth of the matter asserted when it's introduced. You're verging on the soap opera, but I'm going to allow it.

That's it, I take it?

MS. POMERANTZ: Thank you, your Honor.

Again, I would note that our view about the reference

```
to Doug we do think is for 403 purposes. I'm not sure if there
1
2
      is a way to redact that.
 3
               THE COURT: Put it back up.
 4
               MR. FEE: Your Honor, if you're permitting any
 5
      reference --
 6
               THE COURT: Just let me see it.
7
               MR. FEE: Yes, of course.
8
               THE COURT: Line them up, Ms. Blakely.
 9
               I'm going to allow it.
10
               Go ahead. Bring the jury in.
11
               MR. WEITZMAN: Your Honor, should I put the witness on
12
      the stand?
13
               THE COURT: Please.
14
               Good morning.
15
               THE WITNESS: Good morning.
16
               (Continued on next page)
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1 (Jury present) 2 CARIDAD GONZALEZ, 3 called as a witness by Defendant Menendez, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 4 5 THE COURT: You may be seated in the courtroom. 6 Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. We had some legal 7 matters to handle. You saw the government rest on Friday. Now 8 we'll see if each defendant has a case to put on. 9 You know, because I told you on Friday, that the 10 defense is under no obligation to prove anything. The burden 11 is always on the government to prove its case beyond a 12 reasonable doubt. 13 Is there going to be presentation of evidence on behalf of Mr. Menendez? 14 15 MR. WEITZMAN: Yes, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Put your first witness on, sir. 17 MR. WEITZMAN: The defense calls Caridad Gonzalez. 18 THE COURT: Good morning, Ms. Gonzalez, and welcome. 19 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 20 THE COURT: Your witness. 21 MR. WEITZMAN: Thank you, your Honor. 22 DIRECT EXAMINATION 23 BY MR. WEITZMAN: 24 Good morning, Ms. Gonzalez. 25 Good morning. Α.

- 1 Q. Ms. Gonzalez, how do you know Mr. Menendez?
- 2 A. He's my baby brother.
- 3 | Q. How much older are you than he?
- 4 A. 11 years older.
- 5 Q. So how old are you?
- 6 A. 80.
- 7 | Q. Where were you born, Ms. Gonzalez?
- 8 A. In Havana, Cuba.
- 9 Q. Where do you currently live?
- 10 A. In Union City, New Jersey.
- 11 | Q. Do you live in an apartment or a house?
- 12 A. In an apartment, on the first floor.
- 13 | Q. Who do you live with?
- 14 A. With my husband of 60 years.
- 15 | Q. Who owns the apartment in which you live?
- 16 A. My brother.
- 17 | Q. And is that referring to Senator Menendez?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Do you have other brothers?
- 20 A. I have one other brother, who lives in Puerto Rico.
- 21 Q. Ms. Gonzalez, do you have any children?
- 22 A. One grown child. He's 55.
- 23 | Q. You said you're Senator Menendez's older sister, and I
- 24 | think there's an 11-year age gap.
- 25 How would you describe, in light of that age gap, your

1 relationship to Senator Menendez?

- 2 A. Well, when he was born, he wasn't a little baby, like my
- 3  $\parallel$  son. He was 10-1/2 pounds, and my mother came out a little bit
- 4 | hurt with the delivery, so I helped her. I used to bathe,
- 5 change his diapers, give him the milk and, when he started
- 6 growing up, just teaching him both languages so he didn't have
- 7 | the problem that we had when we came to this country.
- 8 Q. As he was growing up, what was your relationship like with
- 9 | Senator Menendez?
- 10 A. Very close. We were very close. We spoke to each other.
- 11 Whatever problems he had, he would tell me, and then should I
- 12 | tell mom and dad. Things like that, but very close, very
- 13 close.
- 14 | Q. Did you help raise Bob?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Where was Bob -- you were born --
- 17 THE COURT: Try not to lead, sir.
- 18 MR. WEITZMAN: Yes, your Honor.
- 19 Q. You were born in Cuba, you said. Where was Senator
- 20 | Menendez born?
- 21 A. In Manhattan, New York.
- 22 | Q. And where did Bob grow up?
- 23 | A. Part in Hoboken. And then we moved to Union City, and
- 24 | that's where he started going to school.
- 25 | Q. What languages did you speak at home with Bob?

- A. Spanish.
- 2 | Q. Is Bob fluent in Spanish today?
- 3 A. Yes.

- 4 | Q. Can you tell me a bit about Bob's educational background?
- 5 Where did he go to school, middle school, high school?
- 6 A. OK. He went to grammar school in Roosevelt School in Union
- 7 City. Then he went to Union Hill High School. After that, it
- 8 was St. Peter's, and then law school, Rutgers.
- 9 Q. You mentioned Union Hill High School. Is that a public or
- 10 private school?
- 11 A. Roosevelt School and Union Hill were both public schools.
- 12 | Q. Then you mentioned a school called St. Peter's. Was that a
- 13 | high school or a university?
- 14 A. That's a university.
- 15 | Q. And then you mentioned that Bob went to law school. Which
- 16 | law school?
- 17 THE COURT: She said Rutgers.
- 18 BY MR. WEITZMAN:
- 19 | Q. OK. Did Bob practice as a lawyer?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. Do you recall approximately how long he practiced?
- 22 A. More or less, ten years.
- 23 | Q. Were you involved in his legal practice in any way?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25  $\parallel$  Q. What was your involvement?

- A. As a legal secretary.
- Q. And were you a legal secretary throughout the time that he was practicing law?
- 4 A. No. It was, like, the last three years.
- 5 Q. Are you familiar with any of Bob's cash-storing practices?
- 6 A. Yes.

- Q. How are you familiar with his practice of storing cash?
- 8 A. Well, working with him in the law practice, on a certain
- 9 day he asked me to go upstairs of the house, the last floor,
- 10 | and from the closet on the left, pull out a box, similar to a
- 11 | shoe box, but wider, and to bring him 600 -- \$500. It wasn't
- 12 six. And I did.
- 13 | Q. OK. So let me break that down.
- 14 Approximately when do you recall, if you can, what years or
- 15 | what part of life were you or Bob in when he had this request
- 16 of you to get cash?
- 17 A. I think it was the second year that I was with him. Maybe
- 18 | '60 -- 1968 --
- 19 Q. Let me ask you this.
- 20 A. OK. I don't remember the year really.
- 21 Q. Was Bob a parent at the time yet?
- 22 A. He had Alicia.
- 23 | Q. How old was she at the time?
- 24 A. About two or three.
- 25 | Q. Do you recall when Alicia was born?

- A. 1982.
- 2  $\parallel$  Q. So this was sometime in the '80s, is that correct?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. And you said that you were working for him at his law
- 5 practice. What do you recall him asking you to do?
- 6 A. Well, I did different things. I did filing, etc.
- 7 | Q. I apologize. Let me rephrase.
- 8 A. OK.
- 9 Q. What do you recall him asking you to do with respect to 10 this day that he asked you to get cash?
- 11 A. That's all he did. He asked me to get cash and instructed
- 12 me where it was.
- 13 | Q. Where did he say the cash was located?
- 14 A. In a closet.
- 15 | Q. Whose bedroom was it in?
- 16 A. In Alicia's.
- 17 | Q. And where in the closet was the cash located?
- 18 A. On the top, not to the right, like in the middle, and it
- 19 was a box, just like he explained.
- 20 Q. What kind of box was it?
- 21 A. It wasn't a shoe box. It was wider, so I guess it's a boot
- 22 box.
- 23 THE COURT: Wider? Wider or whiter?
- 24 THE WITNESS: No. Wide.
- 25 THE COURT: Wider.

1

2 THE COURT: All right.

THE WITNESS: Wide.

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

- 4 BY MR. WEITZMAN:
- 5 | Q. And when you got the boot box, what did you see inside?
- 6 A. Money.
- 7 Q. How did the money look? Was it rolled up in rubber bands?
- 8 Was it in stacks? What did you see?
- 9 A. The money was laid flat across, and I just counted 500 --
- 10 | \$100 bills -- and brought it down. Same like my dad used to
- 11 do.
- 12 | Q. What do you recall the denominations of the bills?
- 13 A. On the left side was 100s and then 20s.
- 14 | Q. OK. Do you recall smaller denominations than 20s?
- 15 | A. No.
- 16  $\parallel$  Q. Were the bills wrapped or rubber banded, or was it just
- 17 stacked?
- 18 A. Just stacked flat.
- 19 Q. And how high up the boot box were the bills stacked?
- 20 | A. This -- I would say something like, like that. Maybe --
- 21 | O. So a few inches?
- 22 A. Maybe an inch or two. Maybe.
- 23 | Q. And I think you testified that he asked you to bring down a
- 24 certain amount of cash. What did you do?
- 25 A. I counted \$500 in \$100 bills.

071Wmen1

- Q. And did you bring them to anybody?
- 2 A. To him. To Bob.
- Q. Were you surprised when Bob asked you to go into his closet
- 4 and get cash?
- 5 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 6 BY MR. WEITZMAN:
- 7 Q. Did you know at that time, when he made that request --
- 8 before he made that request that Bob had cash stored in his
- 9 | house?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Did you have any reaction to learning that Bob had cash
- 12 stored in his house?
- MS. POMERANTZ: Objection.
- 14 THE COURT: I'll allow that. You may answer.
- MR. WEITZMAN: You may answer.
- 16 | THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I forgot the question.
- 17 THE COURT: What was your reaction, if any? Did you
- 18 have a reaction?
- 19 THE WITNESS: No. It's normal. It was normal. It's
- 20 a Cuban thing.
- 21 BY MR. WEITZMAN:
- 22 | Q. OK. Why do you say it's a Cuban thing, or it was normal to
- 23 you?
- 24 | A. Because --
- MS. POMERANTZ: Objection.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

19

20

1 THE COURT: No. She said it was normal.

Go ahead.

- A. Because every Cuban that came to the United States in the early '50 '60s and '70s, you speak to them you find they had cash in their home. My father had cash in Cuba in the grandfather clock. Mostly anything is that they were afraid of losing everything they worked so hard for, because, in Cuba, they took everything away from you, whether you liked it or
- Q. Let's return to the topic of Bob's storage of cash a bit later. I'd like to talk to you about what you just described, which was your experiences in Cuba.

13 How old were you when you and your family left Cuba?

- A. I was eight years old.
- 15 | Q. And approximately when would that have been?
- 16 A. 1951.

not.

- Q. Do you recall who was the leader of Cuba at the time? Was it Fidel Castro yet?
  - A. No. No, no, no. It was between Carlos Prío Soccarás and Batista, within that two, period.
- 21 | Q. What was your family's life like in Cuba?
- A. Perfect. I mean we -- we didn't have any problems. We got
  everything we ever wanted. We were the first one in the
  neighborhood to have a TV. Mom had somebody to cook, somebody
  to clean. We had a chauffeur, so it was a good life.

- 1 | Q. I'm going to ask you, Ms. Gonzalez, to slow down a bit --
- 2 | A. OK.
- 3  $\mathbb{Q}$ . -- for the court reporter. OK?
- 4 What did your parents do for a living in Cuba?
- 5 A. My father had his own manufacturing business, and it was
- 6 | ties and bow ties. And he did specialties for what they call
- 7 he had the Mardi Gras and also for weddings.
- 8 Q. Did your mother work in Cuba?
- 9 | A. No.
- 10 | Q. Do you recall the circumstances, what led to your family's
- 11 | having to leave Cuba?
- 12 A. The way --
- 13 | Q. Just answer yes or no first.
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. OK.
- 16 A. Sorry.
- 17 Q. If you would describe, just at a high level, what happened
- 18 | that caused your family to have to leave Cuba?
- MS. POMERANTZ: Objection.
- 20 | THE COURT: I'll allow it. If you know.
- 21 BY MR. WEITZMAN:
- 22 Q. You may answer, yes.
- THE COURT: You may answer.
- 24 A. We left because a very influential man in Havana, who was a
- 25 competitor with my father, wanted my father to close his

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
business and work for him. And dad refused to do so. And with
that, everything else started getting worse and worse, people
coming to the house or talking and so on.
```

- Q. You described that things got worse. Is there a particular incident you're referring to that you're recalling?
- A. It was --

MS. POMERANTZ: Objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR. WEITZMAN:

- Q. Was there ever a moment, was there ever a period of time when your family felt in jeopardy?
- 12 MS. POMERANTZ: Objection.
  - THE COURT: Rephrase it.
- 14 BY MR. WEITZMAN:
  - Q. Were there any intruders in your --
    - THE COURT: What caused you to leave, to your knowledge, what caused the family to leave Cuba?

THE WITNESS: It was this particular person who wanted dad to close up his family business, and then one day he -four officers and two people from the government came in and started pulling at, pulling everything that was in the back of the house -- that's where the factory was -- looking under everything, looking in dad's desk, which was a roll-up desk.

And they pulled everything out.

And then this gentleman, who was dressed in black, came and

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

20

25

1 sat by me and said are you drinking coffee, and I said no, I

2 was drinking tea. And that's when he just stared at me and I

was nervous, but I just stared him back. And then he got up

and he said vamanos, or let's leave, and everybody left.

And I'm not going to get into a lot of detail about this,

but I would like to understand a bit better what just happened.

You described a bunch of men who worked for the government or

officers who came to the house?

THE COURT: Ask your question, sir.

MR. WEITZMAN: Yes.

- Who were the individuals who came to your house?
- Four officers, police. 12
- 13 And where was the house in relation to the factory or Q. 14 manufacturing plant that your dad ran?

15 THE COURT: She said it was in the back of the house.

Is that correct?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: All right.

19 BY MR. WEITZMAN:

- Where did the officers and the police go?
- To the back of the house. 21
- 22 What did they do in the back of the house?

23 She's already testified to that. THE COURT:

24 BY MR. WEITZMAN:

You described that you were seated, having tea?

1 A. Yes.

2

5

11

THE COURT: Sir, sir.

3 MR. WEITZMAN: Well, it's actually a relevant fact,

4 your Honor.

- Q. Where were you seated in relation to the grandfather clock?
- 6 A. In front of it.
- Q. OK. And what's the significance of the grandfather clock to this incident?
- 9 MS. POMERANTZ: Objection, your Honor.

10 THE COURT: I'll allow it.

THE WITNESS: Do I answer?

12 THE COURT: You may answer, yes.

- 13 A. In the grandfather clock, on the bottom, had a false bottom, and that's where dad put his money.
- Q. And did the officers find the money at the bottom of the grandfather clock?
- 17 A. They never looked in it.
- Q. Did you have some involvement in causing the officers not to look in the grandfather clock?
- 20 MS. POMERANTZ: Objection.
- 21 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 22 BY MR. WEITZMAN:
- Q. Before we go further with the family history, how much of this story, of this history, did you all in the United States discuss with Bob?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- A. Most of it, especially why we came to the United States, because we always told him how nice it was and great life, but then why did you leave. And this is where daddy would -- sorry, my father would pick up and explain to him what happened.
  - Q. OK. And when you say what happened, what are you referring to, in particular, without going into the entire story?

    A. OK. With everything that had to be done in order for us to come here. My father did a lot of trips to the United States to purchase different materials, better -- very colorful materials, and that's where he met Mr. Spleger, who was a businessman here, who did the same manufacturing work as my

father. He's the man that gave him a, I think you call it a

labor contract. And that's how we all came as residents.

- 15 | O. Ms. Gonzalez --
- 16 A. -- a labor contract.
- Q. -- did you describe to Bob or did your family describe to
  Bob this incident that occurred with the officers coming --
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. -- to the manufacturing plant?
- 21 A. Oh, yes.
- Q. And did you describe -- what, if anything, did you all tell
- Bob in this, in the family history about the grandfather clock?
- 24 A. Why it was there, why it was important, and -- and not only
- 25 that, daddy always said don't trust the banks. If you --

1

Am I going fast? OK.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If you trust the banks, you never know what can happen, so you must always have money at home. And this was something, so to speak, that they say to you and say it to you so many times that it's -- it sort of forms a law inside of you.

Q. How often did you guys talk about what happened?

MS. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, we would object and move to strike.

THE COURT: Yes. I'll strike the reference to, sort of forms a law inside of you.

BY MR. WEITZMAN:

Q. How often did you all discuss --

THE COURT: The jury should disregard that.

BY MR. WEITZMAN:

- Q. How often did you all discuss the family's life in Cuba around Bob as he was growing up?
- A. Usually at dinner tables, or dad had brought a history book of Cuba, so my brother and I -- my other brother, Ray, had to read one page, and the next day we skipped, because we discussed what we read with dad, and then we went to another one. And then he would tell Bob, see, this is the history of people who really wanted Cuba to be a better country. It's not what we have now, and that's the -- and then he went all over it again and again, got really upset.
- You mentioned the cash in the grandfather clock.

4

7

9

```
than that cash, did your family have assets with which to flee
Cuba?
```

- A. Well, he --
- MS. POMERANTZ: Objection. Hearsay.
- 5 THE COURT: I'll allow it. If she knows.
- 6 I'll allow it, if you know.
  - THE WITNESS: Do I answer?
- 8 BY MR. WEITZMAN:
  - Q. Yes, you may answer.
- 10 A. Basically, he had money in the bank. Not all of it.
- 11 Little by little he pulled it out. The house where we lived
- 12 was ours. The factory was dad's. And all those --
- 13 THE COURT: The factory in the back of the house?
- 14 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- 15 | THE COURT: So it was part of the house, is that it?
- 16 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 17 THE COURT: OK.
- 18 BY MR. WEITZMAN:
- 19 Q. Was your family able to leave with all of its assets from
- 20 the bank?
- 21 A. No.

- 22 | Q. Was your family able to seel the house?
- 23 THE COURT: You're leading, sir.
- 24 BY MR. WEITZMAN:
  - Q. Other than the cash, what assets was your family able to

071Wmen1

- 1 | liquidate and take with them to the United States?
- 2 A. None.
- Q. And what was your understanding as to why your family was
- 4 unable to liquidate assets?
- 5 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 6 BY MR. WEITZMAN:
- Q. What did your parents do for a living, at a high level, once they arrived in the United States?
- 9 A. Well, my dad worked for Mr. Spleger. He had a contract.
- 10 believe it was five years. And my mother, the first four or
- 11 five years, did not work. She started working when we moved to
- 12 | Hoboken in a sewing machine, the factory, clothing for ladies.
- 13 Q. And other than -- well, what kind of, I think you mentioned
- 14 Mr. Spleger. Is that his name?
- 15 A. Yes. That's the way they pronounced it.
- 16 Q. What business was Mr. Spleger in?
- 17 A. He was in manufacturing, the same thing as dad, ties and
- 18 bow ties.
- 19 Q. And did there come a time when your father changed his
- 20 jobs?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. What did he do next?
- 23 | A. He first started carpentry in Hoboken. He did very well
- 24 | with that, and then, after a while, he actually opened in West
- 25 New York a manufacturing of ladies' pants, mostly pants, wide

- pants they were using at the time, that they're using now, and some blouses, very simple.
- 3 Q. You said that Bob was raised in Hoboken and then Union
- 4 City. Did you guys live in apartments or homes? How would you
- 5 describe your residences?
- 6 | A. In Union City, it was an apartment, an apartment building.
- 7 In Hoboken, it was a two-family building.
- 8 Q. How was your life, what was life like in the United States
- 9 after Bob was born?
- 10 | A. It was all right. It wasn't like Cuba. That's for sure.
- 11 Q. OK. Now, you worked for Bob as his legal secretary for a
- 12 few years.
- 13 When did Bob first tell you that he wanted to become a
- 14 | lawyer?
- 15 A. When he was --
- MS. POMERANTZ: Objection.
- 17 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 18 BY MR. WEITZMAN:
- 19 Q. From your relationship with Bob, did he express a desire of
- 20 what he wanted to do for a living?
- 21 MS. POMERANTZ: Objection.
- 22 THE COURT: Sustained. Hearsay.
- 23 BY MR. WEITZMAN:
- 24 | Q. Did there come a time when Bob started running for
- 25 political office?

071Wmen1

A. Yes.

- 2 | Q. When was that?
- 3 A. He was still in college, I think. He ran for secretary of
- 4 | the Board of Education of Union City.
- Q. Did he subsequently run for any other local or state
- 6 offices?
- 7 A. Yes, he -- I think in 19 -- no. I don't remember the year,
- 8 but he ran for mayor of Union City. Then he ran for New Jersey
- 9 State Assembly, then New Jersey State Senate, then the House of
- 10 | Representatives. And when Jon Corzine left office, he had six
- 11 or seven month left, and he appointed Bob to fill that gap of
- 12 month, and after that, for the next 18 years, Bob ran for
- 13 senator of New Jersey and won every election.
- 14 Q. OK. So you had stated that he ran for these various
- 15 offices --
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. -- at the local and state level.
- 18 Did he win those elections?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. And then you had mentioned that Jon Corzine appointed Bob
- 21 as a senator for carryover months --
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. -- of his?
- 24 Jon Corzine at the time, before the appointment, what
- 25 position did he hold? Do you recall?

- A. It was senator.
- 2 Q. And then what position did he take on that freed up the
- 3 Senate office? Do you recall?
- 4 A. Jon Corzine?
- 5 Q. Yes.

- 6 A. No, I don't recall.
- 7 Q. Do you recall what year it was that your brother was
- 8 appointed as senator by Jon Corzine?
- 9 A. It could have been 2006, more or less.
- 10 | Q. OK. Did he subsequently run for office as a U.S. senator
- 11 in New Jersey?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Do you recall how many times?
- 14 A. Three times.
- 15 | Q. And did he win?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Each time?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. So if I'm doing the math correctly, he's been a senator,
- 20 United States senator from New Jersey for eight years, is that
- 21 | correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. You must be very proud of your little brother?
- MS. POMERANTZ: Objection.
- 25 THE COURT: Sustained. The jury will disregard the

071Wmen1

- 1 comments of the lawyers, however artful they may be.
- 2 BY MR. WEITZMAN:
- Q. Did Bob -- how long has Bob wanted to become a public servant?
- 5 MS. POMERANTZ: Objection.
- 6 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 7 BY MR. WEITZMAN:
- Q. Other than his salary as a senator, are you aware of any other income that Bob earns?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. What other income are you aware of?
- 12 A. \$45,425 monthly -- no, \$4,425 monthly from the rentals that
- 13 he has.
- 14 | Q. And when you say rentals, what are you referring to?
- 15 | A. Five apartments, which he rents, in Union City.
- 16 Q. He owns a building in Union City?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. And is that the building you live in?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. And what do you do in connection with the building that
- 21 | Senator Menendez, Bob, owns?
- 22 | A. I collect the rents. I deposit them. If there's an
- 23 | inspection, let the person in. Whatever they say I tell him.
- 24 If something has to be fixed, he gets it fixed. Like a super.
- 25 Q. OK. From your interactions with Bob over the course of his

2

- lifetime, have you had discussions with him about what motivates him to be a public servant?
- 3 MS. POMERANTZ: Objection.
- 4 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 5 BY MR. WEITZMAN:
- To what extent have you had discussions with Bob about 6 7 money?
- 8 MS. POMERANTZ: Objection.
- 9 THE COURT: I'll allow it.
- 10 Basically, last time he ran, I asked him, don't run, because he was offered very good salaries on the -- not on a 11 political basis. So he said no. I love what I do and I'm not 12
- 14 Q. OK. From your own experiences, have you asked Bob to help
- 15 people in your community?
- 16 Yes. Α.

13

17 Was that once or more than once?

going to leave it. And that was it.

- 18 Α. More than once.
- 19 What sorts of things have you asked Bob to do for people in 20 your community? Just generally, at a high level.
- 21 Immigration, problems with that. He took care of it.
- 22 MR. WEITZMAN: OK. Let me show you what's been marked for identification as Defense Exhibit 1602. 23
- 24 Is this an email between yourself -- I'm sorry.
- 25 Is this a text message between yourself and Bob Menendez?

071Wmen1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

A. Uh-huh. Yes.

THE COURT: You have to say yes or no. OK.

MR. WEITZMAN: We offer Defense Exhibit 1602.

THE COURT: Admitted, without objection.

(Defendant's Exhibit 1602 received in evidence)

MR. WEITZMAN: Can we publish that, Mr. Kelly. If you would zoom in on the text.

- Q. This is a text message, is that correct, Ms. Gonzalez?
- A. Yes.
- 10 Q. It's from you to someone with an address of
- 11 menendez@att.blackBerry.net?
- 12 A. Yes.
  - Q. Do you know whose address that is?
- 14 A. My -- the senator.
- 15 | Q. You can call him Bob.
- 16 A. OK.
- 17 | Q. It's dated January 4, 2020. It states: Hi, I have a
- 18 | friend who niece is an American national born in -- she has a
- 19 child that was born in Ecuador, watching. Is there a, to try
- 20 | to bring the child over what she can Charleston, a few months
- 21 old. The INS in Ecuador told her that she couldn't bring a
- 22 baby on a visa. She has questions and I wonder if anyone in
- 23 | your office could help her. Let me know. Thanks.
- 24 Did I read that correctly?
- 25 A. Yes.

child.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

22

Q. What, if anything, do you recall happened as a result of this text message?

A. I also spoke to Bob about it, and I was -- this young lady,
I spoke to her and told her a number she had to call for a
particular lady in his office who does immigration; she's very
good, and explained to her what she could do about bringing her

MR. WEITZMAN: I'd like to also put up for identification Defense Exhibit 1603. Just to the witness and the Court. If you can zoom in.

- Q. Is this another text message between yourself and Senator Menendez, dated January 17, 2020?
- 13 A. Uh-huh, yes.

MR. WEITZMAN: We offer Defense Exhibit 1603.

THE COURT: Admitted, without objection.

(Defendant's Exhibit 1603 received in evidence)

MR. WEITZMAN: If you would publish that, Mr. Kelly.

- Q. Again, this is from you to Bob?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. And it's dated January 17, 2020?
- 21 A. Yes.
  - Q. It states: Hi --
- THE COURT: No need to read it. Members of the jury, read it to yourselves.
- 25 MR. WEITZMAN: Yes, your Honor.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- Q. Do you recall what happened after you sent this text message?
  - A. This particular lady, it's the grandmother that asked me to convey this to Bob. She lives across the street from me, and if my recollection is correct, the daughter's under the dream act, so he gave me a card, when he came he always dropped by the house. So gave me a card. I walked over and gave it to her, with his telephone number. And as far as I know, that —
  - Q. Has Bob made himself available to other people in your community to assist them?
- 12 | THE COURT: I'll allow that.
- 13 A. Yes. Helped --
- 14 THE COURT: You've answered. You said yes.

he helped her with this immigration problem.

- 15 Next question.
- 16 MR. WEITZMAN: Thank you.
- 17 | Q. Are you aware -- are you familiar with Bob's current wife?
- 18 A. Yes, Nadine.
- 19 Q. When did you first meet Nadine?
- 20 MR. WEITZMAN: You can take that down, Mr. Kelly.
- 21 | Thank you.
- 22 A. We had dinner. Bob invited us to dinner before they got
- 23 married so we could meet Nadine.
- 24 Q. Was that before they got married or before they got
- 25 engaged? Do you recall?

071Wmen1

1

2

4

5

- A. I think even before he got engaged. I could be wrong.
- Q. And do you recall --

THE COURT: Do you know when he got engaged?

THE WITNESS: No.

- BY MR. WEITZMAN:
- 6 Q. Have you seen a video of his engagement?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. I won't play the video, but what do you recall that video showing?
- 10 MS. POMERANTZ: Objection.
- 11 THE COURT: I'll allow it.
- 12 A. It showed him singing. Bob loves to sing. He sings all
- 13 | the time. So he sang to her one of the songs from the -- what
- 14 | is that, I've never loved -- I can't -- I don't know --
- 15 THE COURT: That's all right.
- 16 THE WITNESS: I'm not a singer.
- 17 THE COURT: That's OK. We don't need the exact song.
- 18 He sang to her.
- 19 Next question.
- 20 BY MR. WEITZMAN:
- 21 Q. Where did he sing to her?
- 22 A. At the time --
- 23 THE COURT: In the video.
- Next question.
- 25 BY MR. WEITZMAN:

```
No, no. Where physically were they located based on your
1
2
     review of the video?
3
              THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection.
4
```

- BY MR. WEITZMAN:
- 5 Were they in the United States?
- 6 Α. No.
- 7 MS. POMERANTZ: Objection, your Honor.
- 8 THE COURT: I'll allow it.
- 9 BY MR. WEITZMAN:
- 10 What did you see in the background of the video?
- 11 Α. Taj Mahal.
- 12 MS. POMERANTZ: Your Honor --
- 13 THE COURT: I'll allow it.
- 14 BY MR. WEITZMAN:
- 15 Q. Was this a video you saw on YouTube? Is that right?
- 16 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 17 MS. POMERANTZ: Objection.
- 18 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 19 BY MR. WEITZMAN:
- 20 Q. How did you see this video?
- 21 THE COURT: Let's move on.
- 22 BY MR. WEITZMAN:
- 23 Did you attend Bob and Nadine's wedding?
- 24 Α. No.
- 25 Q. Why not?

Did you receive pictures from Bob and Nadine's wedding?

MR. WEITZMAN: If we could publish just to the witness and the Court Defense Exhibit 1587.

Q. Is this --

MR. WEITZMAN: And if you can go to the next page so that Ms. Gonzalez can see them.

THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.

MR. WEITZMAN: Is there another image? Next page after this.

Do you recognize this text message and images?

A. Yes. It's their wedding photos. Nadine had a beautiful dress.

(Continued on next page)

16

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Gonzalez - Direct

MR. WEITZMAN: We offer Defendant's Exhibit 1587. 1 THE COURT: Admitted without objection. 2 3 (Defendant's Exhibit 1587 received in evidence) 4 MR. WEITZMAN: If you can go to page 1, Mr. Kelly, the 5 first page of the document, and publish to the jury. BY MR. WEITZMAN: 6 7 Is this a text message from Nadine to you? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Ο. It says Cacha? 10 Α. That's my nickname. Ms. Gonzalez, that's a diminutive for Caridad? 11 12 MS. POMERANTZ: Objection, your Honor; leading. 13 THE COURT: Sustained. 14 This is dated October 8, 2020? Q. 15 Α. Right. 16 MR. WEITZMAN: Can we go to the nest page and publish 17 the photo? 18 THE COURT: I think they've seen it. 19 MR. WEITZMAN: I don't think we published it. 20 THE COURT: Take a look at the photos. 21 MR. WEITZMAN: And if we can go to the next page after 22 that? 23 THE COURT: Next. 24 BY MR. WEITZMAN: 25 Before and after the wedding, did you continue to get to

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1 | know Bob and Nadine as a couple?

- A. Yes. We had dinner at my house. Usually they liked Spanish food and I'm the cook in the family, and we also had dinners at different restaurants together.
- MR. WEITZMAN: If we can publish for the witness and the Court Defendant's Exhibit 1589, and first and second page.
- Q. Do you recognize this?
  - A. That's a photo of Bob and Nadine, my husband and myself, at this restaurant, I think it is called La Sirene, maybe I am mispronouncing it, but they have great food.
- MR. WEITZMAN: We offer Defendant's Exhibit 1589.
- 12 THE COURT: Admitted without objection.
- 13 (Defendant's Exhibit 1589 received in evidence)
- MR. WEITZMAN: If we can publish that.
- Q. Is this another text message from Nadine to you on May 15, 2021?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 MR. WEITZMAN: And we can go to the photo and just 200m in so the jury can see?
- Q. How would you describe, from your interactions with them,
  Bob's relationship with Nadine?
- 22 A. I think they were very much in love with one another.
- Q. Did there come a time when Bob had a shoulder injury and needed surgery?
- 25 A. Yes. He fell.

- 1 Q. Do you know where he fell?
  - A. He was on --
- 3 MS. POMERANTZ: Objection, your Honor.
- 4 THE COURT: I will allow it.
- A. He was on the train in Washington that takes you from one
- 6 building to another, he got off the train, he was running
- 7 because he was late for a vote, and he fell and broke his
- 8 shoulder.
- 9 Q. Did you say a boat or a vote?
- 10 A. Vote. I'm sorry.
- 11 Q. OK. And this is the train between Congress and offices?
- 12 A. Yes.
- MS. POMERANTZ: Objection, your Honor.
- 14 | THE COURT: I will allow it.
- 15 | Q. How did you learn about this injury?
- 16 | A. Nadine told me about it.
- Q. Did Nadine provide you updates about Bob in that time
- 18 | frame?
- 19 MS. POMERANTZ: Objection.
- 20 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 21 | Q. When do you recall Bob having surgery, if you recall?
- 22 A. I don't remember the year but I know it was in December
- 23 | before his birthday.
- 24 | Q. Let me see if this refreshes your recollection.
- 25 MR. WEITZMAN: Can we put up Defendant's Exhibit 1590

- just for the witness, and if you would read that to yourself?
- THE COURT: Now, just because something is here
- doesn't mean it's true, but you said you don't recall when the
- 4 | injury occurred.
- 5 A. That's correct, sir.
- 6 Q. Looking at that may refresh your recollection so the
- 7 | question is simply yes or no, does looking at that refresh your
- 8 | recollection?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. What is your refreshed recollection as to when he had this
- 11 | shoulder injury?
- 12 MR. WEITZMAN: Shoulder surgery.
- 13 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Thank you, shoulder surgery.
- 14 THE WITNESS: It was December 31, 2021, the day before
- 15 | his birthday.
- 16 | Q. His birthday is January 1?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 THE COURT: That's the day before. The day before is
- 19 December 31. Next.
- 20 BY MR. WEITZMAN:
- 21 Q. Was Nadine a caring wife to Bob?
- 22 A. Yes.
- MS. POMERANTZ: Objection.
- 24 THE COURT: Sustained. Jury will disregard.
- 25 Q. You earlier described at the beginning of your testimony

that you saw Bob store cash in a boot box in his closest. Do
you recall that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Have you ever seen your parents store cash in their home?
- A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. Other than what you described in Cuba, in the United States?

THE COURT: I will allow it.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

- Q. What do you recall?
- A. My mom would, with her paycheck, she would take some of it out, leave some of it in with the stub, and then in the frames of the closet she would put it inside the frame, stick it all in there. That's what my mom used to do. My aunt would --
- Q. I haven't asked you about your aunt yet.

As far as your mom goes, did you and Bob ever discuss the fact that your mother stored cash in the frame of the closet?

A. Basically, he thought that if the house --

THE COURT: No, no, no. The question is, did you ever discuss with your brother the fact that your mother stored cash in the frame of the closet? Yes or no.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: All right.

- Q. And did your father store cash in the United States?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 | Q. In his home?
- 2 A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. Where did he store cash?
- $4 \parallel A$ . Always in a closet on top, always in a shoe box.
  - Q. Did other members of your family from Cuba store cash in their homes?
    - MS. POMERANTZ: Objection.

THE COURT: I will allow it.

- A. Yes. My aunt. She would store it in the back of her, where she put her television, on the bottom. Same procedure as mom. She would take an envelope, part for expenses, the other was to be saved. And my husband's aunt would do the same thing. When the house burned down, she ran out with all of her handbags, and then when the firemen said they could go in and see what they could take out, she told her boys go downstairs, the basement, on the left-hand side there is a plastic bag with money. When the boys came out, it was \$60,000 in there.
- Q. Is your husband Cuban as well?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you and Bob discuss how other members of your family
- 21 | from Cuba stored cash in their homes in the United States?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you and Bob ever discuss the fact that he stored cash
- 24 | in his home after this request in the 1980s that you get him
- 25 \$500?

Gonzalez - Direct

5807

1 MS. POMERANTZ: Objection. Hearsay. MR. WEITZMAN: I'm not going to elicit anything, any 2 3 substance, your Honor. THE COURT: I will allow it. Yes or no. 4 MS. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, and leading. 5 THE COURT: Yes. I will allow it. 6 7 Just answer yes or no. 8 THE WITNESS: Yes. 9 THE COURT: Next question. BY MR. WEITZMAN: 10 11 Q. What, if any -- well, let me -- after -- let me phrase it 12 differently. 13 After this incident when you got the cash, in the 14 years afterwards, did you and bob have discussions about his 15 storage of cash in his home? 16 THE COURT: Yes or no. 17 MR. WEITZMAN: Yes or no. 18 Α. No. 19 Q. Why not? 20 Α. No reason --21 MS. POMERANTZ: Objection. 22 THE COURT: Sustained. 23 Why didn't you ever raise Bob's storage of cash in his home with him? 24 25 THE COURT: Sustained.

Α.

Yes.

```
As you sit here today, do you have any doubts as to the
1
 2
      fact that you saw a stack of cash in his home in the 1980s?
 3
               MS. POMERANTZ: Objection.
               THE COURT: I will allow it.
 4
 5
               THE WITNESS: No.
               THE COURT: You saw this --
 6
7
               THE WITNESS: Yes.
 8
               THE COURT: -- in the closet.
 9
               THE WITNESS: Yes.
10
               MR. WEITZMAN: Nothing further, your Honor.
11
               THE COURT: Do any of the other defendants wish to
12
      examine this witness?
13
               MR. LUSTBERG: No, your Honor.
14
               MR. DE CASTRO: No, Judge.
15
               THE COURT: Anything from the government?
16
               MS. POMERANTZ: Yes, your Honor.
17
      CROSS-EXAMINATION
18
     BY MS. POMERANTZ:
19
         Good morning.
     Q.
20
        Good morning.
21
        You are Robert Menendez' older sister?
22
     Α.
         Yes.
          The FBI asked if you would be willing to talk with the
23
24
     prosecutors in this case, right?
```

O715men2 Gonzalez - Cross

1 | Q. And you refused to talk with the prosecutors?

- A. Correct.
- 3 | Q. You met with the defense, though?
- 4 A. Yes.

2

11

- 5 Q. About how many times?
- 6 A. Three times.
- 7 | Q. For about how long?
- 8 A. I would say 15, 20 minutes.

9 THE COURT: Each time, or in total?

10 THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Each time 15, 20 minutes?

12 THE WITNESS: Yes.

13 THE COURT: Thank you.

- 14 BY MS. POMERANTZ:
- Q. During your meetings with the defense you discussed your testimony today with them?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Now, you were not born in the United States?
- 19 **|** A. No.
- 20 Q. You were born in Cuba?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. And you left Cuba when you were around 8 years old; right?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 | Q. Your family left Cuba in 1951?
- 25 A. Correct.

Gonzalez - Cross

5810

- Q. And that was before Fidel Castro came to power in Cuba, right?
- 3 **A.** Yes.
- 4 | Q. He came to power in 1959, right?
- 5 A. '58, '59.
- 6 Q. And you understood your parents wanted to go back to Cuba
- 7 | in 1959; right?
- 8 A. They wanted to.
  - Q. And your brother was born in 1954; right?
- 10 A. Yes.

- 11 | Q. That's three years after your family left Cuba?
- 12 MR. WEITZMAN: Objection. Which brother?
- 13 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 14 | Q. Your brother Robert Menendez was born in 1954; correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. And that was three years after your family left Cuba?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. So your brother did not personally leave Cuba, did he?
- 19 **|** A. No.
- 20 | Q. Your brother was born here in the United States; right?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. And he was born here in New York; right?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. Your brother grew up in New Jersey with you and your
- 25 | family; right?

O715men2 Gonzalez - Cross

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Your brother, you were asked questions on direct
- 3 examination about a time when officers came to your home in
- 4 Cuba. Do you recall that?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Your brother Robert Menendez was not present when those
- 7 officers came to the home in Cuba; right?
- 8 A. He wasn't born.
- 9 Q. Right. He wasn't alive.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. He didn't live in Cuba?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 | Q. He has never lived in Cuba?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 | Q. By 2022, your brother had been a member of United States
- 16 Congress for many years; right?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. He was part of the United States federal government for
- 19 many years; right?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. And, to your knowledge, before June of 2022, no police
- 22 officers had ever searched Robert Menendez' home, have they?
- 23 MR. WEITZMAN: Objection. Foundation.
- 24 THE COURT: If she knows.
- 25 THE WITNESS: I don't know. The year I don't

- 1 remember, anything, like 2022 something happened.
- Q. Before June 2022, to your knowledge no police officers had ever searched your brother's home; correct?
- 4 A. I really can't truthfully answer that. I don't remember
- 5 the year.
- 6 Q. Before the year 2020, had anyone ever searched his home?
- 7 A. Not that I know of.
- Q. Now, you testified that you, about immigration issues that
- 9 you contacted your brother about. Do you recall that?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. And sometimes you asked your brother for help; right?
- 12 A. In reference to immigration.
- THE COURT: You asked for help for others, not for yourself, right?
- 15 | THE WITNESS: That's correct, sir.
- Q. Your brother is an important person, an important senator, you would agree?
- 18 A. That's what I'm told.
- 19 Q. He is a busy person?
- 20 A. Very busy.
- 21 | Q. And he directed you to his staff, right?
- 22 A. Sometimes.
- MS. POMERANTZ: Let's pull up Defendant's Exhibit 1602.
- 25 | Q. And on January 4, you texted your brother about an

Gonzalez - Cross

- 1 | immigration issue involving a friend's niece; right?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 Q. You wrote: I wonder if anyone in your office could help
- 4 her. Let me know. Thanks.
- 5 Do you see that?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 MS. POMERANTZ: Let's turn to the next page.
- Q. Your brother responded: Yes, Alice Lugo, my chief counsel and an immigration expert in Washington.
- 10 Do you see that?
- 11 A. Yes.
- MS. POMERANTZ: Let's go to the next page.
- 13 Q. You wrote: OK. Do I call her or should this person call
- 14 her. And what's her number. Also, will you speak to Ms. Lugo
- 15 | first.
- 16 Do you see that?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 MS. POMERANTZ: Let's go to the next page.
- 19 Q. Your brother responded: Have her call Monday and send me
- 20 | the name so I can tell Alice in advance.
- 21 Do you see that?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. So, in this instance your brother directed you to a member
- 24 of his staff; right?
- 25 A. In that particular instance, yes.

Gonzalez - Cross

5814

Q. And you are not aware of your friend's niece paying your brother or Nadine anything for this, are you?

A. No.

3

4

5

6

7

9

13

14

MS. POMERANTZ: Let's pull up Government Exhibit A142, just for the witness and let's go to the second page.

- Q. These are messages between you and your brother?
- A. I can't see it.
- 8 MS. POMERANTZ: We can blow those up, Ms. Wechsler.
  - I A. OK.
- Q. These are messages between you and your brother from April 2022; right?
- 12  $\parallel$  A. Right.
  - MS. POMERANTZ: The government offers Government Exhibit A142.
- MR. WEITZMAN: No objection.
- 16 THE COURT: Admitted, without objection.
- 17 | (Government's Exhibit A142 received in evidence)
- 18 MS. POMERANTZ: If we can publish that for the jury,
- 19 | Ms. Wechsler?
- 20 BY MS. POMERANTZ:
- Q. Now, on April 30th, 2022, you texted your brother about a neighbor's immigration problem; right?
- 23 | A. Right.
- Q. And you wrote: She told me she has called your Newark
  office however no one speaks Spanish. She would like to know

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

25

how to get in touch with you or your office but to be able to speak to a Spanish person. I told her I would ask you and let her know.

Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Let's go down to the response. Your brother responds to you: Please only share the office number with her.
- Do you see that?
- A. Yes.
- Q. He didn't ask you to share his personal cell phone with her, correct?
- 12 A. He gave me a card with a number written on it. That's all 13 I can tell you.
- Q. You see here that he is telling you to share the office number with her?
- A. That's what he said, and I'm telling you that he gave me a card with a number on it. It wasn't printed, it was handwritten. That's all I can tell you.
- 19 Q. It wasn't your brother's number, right?
- 20 A. I don't know.
- Q. Now, he didn't -- you are not aware of your neighbor paying your brother or Nadine anything for this, are you?
- A. No. He doesn't take cash for anything. He does it because that's what he does, he serves people.
  - Q. I would like to focus your attention on the years 2018

Gonzalez - Cross

5816

through 2022. During that period you communicated with your brother by text message; correct?

A. Yes.

3

4

8

- Q. And you texted with him pretty frequently; right?
- 5 A. Probably.
- 6 MS. POMERANTZ: Let's pull up Government Exhibit A141,
  7 just for the witness.
  - Q. These are text messages between you and your brother from October 2021; right?
- 10 | A. Uh-huh.
- 11 | THE COURT: You have to say yes or no.
- 12 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Yes.
- MS. POMERANTZ: The government offers Government
- 14 Exhibit A141.
- MR. WEITZMAN: No objection.
- 16 THE COURT: Admitted, without objection.
- 17 | (Government's Exhibit A141 received in evidence)
- 18 MS. POMERANTZ: Let's publish this for the jury.
- 19 BY MS. POMERANTZ:
- 20 Q. Line 3 you texted your brother: Thank you. But remember I
- 21 am a mere mortal. Love you. Going to buy my favorite perfume.
- 22 Do you see that?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. Let's look at the next line?
- 25 A. That was October 25, right.

O715men2 Gonzalez - Cross

1 Q. Yes, October 25, 2021.

A. OK.

- 3 | Q. And he texted you back: What is your favorite perfume?
- 4 Yes, we are all mere mortals but some like you are exceptional
- 5 mortals.
- 6 Do you see that?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And then let's look at the next text, you say: Thanks.
- 9 You just made my day. Bvlgari pour femme?
- 10 Do you see that.
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. You are telling your brother that Bylgari pour femme,
- 13 | that's your favorite perfume; right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. And your birthday is October 27?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 | Q. And this text exchange was just two days before your
- 18 | birthday?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 | Q. And in this exchange you understood your brother was asking
- 21 you about your favorite perfume so he could buy it as a
- 22 | birthday gift for you, right?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- 24 MS. POMERANTZ: Let's pull up Defendant's Exhibit
- 25 A301-1, side by side with Government Exhibit A141.

Gonzalez - Cross

5818

- Q. Now I'm going to direct your attention to Defendant's Exhibit A301-1 under the large word Search.
- 3 A. Can you blow it up?
- 4 Q. Yes. No problem.
- 5 MS. POMERANTZ: Ms. Wechsler, blow up the first half 6 of the page?
- 7 | Q. Can you see that Ms. Gonzalez?
- 8 | A. Yes.

9

10

- Q. Now I'm going to direct your attention to do you see where it says, about a third of the way down, it says "Search" and then it says: Search for Bylgari pour femme.
- 12 Do you see that?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. And that search is dated October 25, 2021? Do you see
- 15 | that?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. That's the same date as the text that you just read between you and your brother, October 25, 2021; right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. And Bvlgari pour femme, that's the same perfume that you
- 21 | texted your brother about on that same date; right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. And you texted him, looking at A141 you texted him at
- 24 | 3:47 p.m.; right?
- 25 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

MS. POMERANTZ: Let's just briefly pull up Government Exhibit 1433 and let's go to paragraph 8. And that says that the parties stipulate that from local times of 2:00 a.m. on March 14, 2021, through 1:59 a.m. on November 7, 2021, UTC was four hours ahead of Eastern Time.

So, Ms. Wechsler, pull back up Government Exhibit A141 and Defendant's Exhibit A301-1 side by side?

- Q. So you would agree with me that if you subtract four hours from 7:49 p.m. UTC you get 3:49 p.m.; correct?
- A. 3:49 p.m.
- Q. Subtract four hours from 7:49 p.m. you will get 3:49 p.m.; correct?
- 13 A. More or less, yes.
- 14 Q. And 3:49 p.m. is about two minutes after you texted your
- 15 | brother: Bylgari pour femme. Right? Do you see on A141 you
- 16 | texted: Bylgari pour femme, at 3:47 p.m.?
- 17 A. Right, when I thanked him for it.
- 18 Q. Right. And 3:49 p.m. is about two minutes after that;
- 19 | right?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. And the search item: Bvlgari pour femme, that's the same
- 22 | item you texted your brother on October 25; right?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. And by the way, just looking at -- we can zoom out of the
- 25 A301-1 -- do you see there are some searches from that day a

O715men2 Gonzalez - Cross

1 | little bit earlier that say: Sephora?

A. Yes.

2

- 3 Q. And Sephora, that's a store that sells perfume; right?
- 4 A. I don't know.
- Q. The searches for Sephora also took place on October 25,
- 6 | 2021; right?
- 7 A. Right.
  - Q. Two days before your birthday?
- 9 A. Yes.
- MS. POMERANTZ: And then let's go back to A141. We
- can take down Defendant's Exhibit A301-1. Let's just have
- 12 Government Exhibit A141 up and let's look at the next text.
- Q. You see the next text your brother texts you: Which pour
- 14 | femme? There are different ones.
- 15 Do you see that?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. And you testified your brother is married to Nadine
- 18 | Menendez; right?
- 19 | A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. And fair to say you do not see your brother and Nadine
- 21 every day; right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. You don't see them every week; right?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. You were asked questions about your brother asking you to

Gonzalez - Cross

get him a few hundred dollars for him back in the '80s. Do you remember that?

A. Yes.

3

- 4 Q. He didn't ask you to put that cash back in the box; right?
- 5 A. To put it back in the box? No.
- 6 Q. Right.
  - A. He told me --
- 8 | Q. He took it from you, right?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 Q. In other words, he used that cash from that box in the
- 11 | 1980s; right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And he didn't ask you to just look at the cash and then
- 14 | leave it there; right?
- 15 MR. WEITZMAN: Objection. Asked and answered.
- 16 A. I took the cash, I covered the box, I put it back, and I
- 17 brought the cash down.
- MS. POMERANTZ: One moment?
- 19 THE COURT: Yes.
- 20 (Counsel conferring)
- 21 | Q. Now, Ms. Gonzalez, you have never lived at 41 Jane Drive,
- 22 right?
- 23 A. No.
- 24 | Q. You didn't spend much time there either, did you?
- 25 A. No.

Gonzalez - Cross

- 1 | Q. You don't know where the bills or the cash in your
- brother's home came from; right?
- 3 A. I didn't know he had money in his home.
- 4 Q. You are not with your brother every time he gets a dollar;
- 5 | right?
- 6 | A. No.
- 7 Q. So you have never inspected serial numbers --
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. -- on dollar bills, right? Right?
- 10 A. Right. Correct.
- 11 | Q. And you don't know when the bills were put into
- 12 | circulation; right?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 | Q. It is fair to say you are not physically with your brother
- 15 | all the time; right?
- 16 A. Not anymore.
- 17 | Q. You don't know about conversations he has when you are not
- 18 around; right?
- 19 A. That's impossible to know.
- 20 | Q. Right.
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. And you haven't read his text messages with people other
- 23 | than you; right?
- 24 A. Of course.
- 25 | Q. You have not?

O715men2 Gonzalez - Cross

1 A. No, I have not; yes.

Q. You have never been at meetings with Egyptian officials

3 with your brother, have you?

MR. WEITZMAN: Objection. Scope.

A. No.

THE COURT: Sustained.

- Q. You have not been in the courtroom for this trial, have
- 8 you?

4

5

6

- 9 | A. No.
- 10 | Q. You have not seen the evidence or heard the witnesses
- 11 presented during this trial, have you?
- 12 MR. WEITZMAN: Objection.
- 13 | A. No.
- MR. WEITZMAN: Scope.
- 15 | THE COURT: I will allow that. She said she hasn't
- 16 been in the courtroom.
- 17 (Counsel conferring)
- 18 BY MS. POMERANTZ:
- 19 Q. Ms. Gonzalez, it is fair to say you love your brother very
- 20 | much; right?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. And you don't want to see your brother in trouble; correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- MS. POMERANTZ: No further questions.
- 25 THE COURT: Thank you.

0715men2 Gonzalez - Cross Mr. Weitzman, anything, sir? MR. WEITZMAN: No redirect. THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, let's take 10 minutes before our next witness. (Continued on next page) 

0715men2 Gonzalez - Cross

1 (Jury not present)

MR. WEITZMAN: Your Honor, may I help her down?

THE COURT: You may step down Ms. Gonzalez.

you. You are dismissed.

(Witness excused)

THE COURT: 10 minutes.

(Recess)

THE COURT: You can put your next witness on the

stand.

MR. WEITZMAN: We are getting her right now, your

11 Honor.

12 THE COURT: All right.

(Continued on next page)

14

13

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

0715men2 Tabourian - Direct

Please remain standing and speak to my deputy.

Defense?

MR. FEE: Defense calls Katia Tabourian.

KATIA TABOURIAN,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

18

25

called as a witness by the Defendant Menendez,

having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Please state your full name and spell your full name.

11 THE WITNESS: My name is Katia Tabourian. K-A-T-I-A,

last name is T, as in Tom, A-B-O-U-R-I-A-N.

THE COURT: Welcome, Ms. Tabourian.

Your witness, Mr. Fee.

MR. FEE: Thank you, your Honor.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

17 BY MR. FEE:

- Q. Good morning, Ms. Tabourian.
- 19 A. Good morning.
- 20 Q. Do you have a sister?
- 21 A. Yes, I do.
- 22 | Q. What is her name?
- 23 A. Nadine.
- 24 | Q. Who was born first and who was born second?
  - A. My sister is born first, I am born second.

- 1 | Q. About what is the age gap between you two?
- 2 A. We are 14 months apart.
- 3 | Q. Is Nadine your sister, currently today, married?
- 4 A. Yes, she is.
  - Q. To whom?
- 6 A. Senator Menendez.
- 7 Q. Prior to Senator Menendez, did Nadine have a first
- 8 marriage?

- 9 A. Yes, she did.
- 10 | Q. Roughly how long was she married to her first husband
- 11 before Senator Menendez?
- 12 A. Approximately 15 years.
- 13 | Q. Do you know approximately when that first marriage of
- 14 Nadine's came to an end?
- 15 | A. She was 22 when she got married, so 15 years after.
- 16 | Q. Was the divorce, say, within the last five years? 10 years
- 17 | for the first marriage? If you remember?
- 18 A. Oh, no, it was -- it is probably a good 20 years.
- 19 | Q. So she was divorced from her first husband for quite some
- 20 | time?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. During the course of Nadine's first marriage, to your
- 23 | knowledge, did Nadine work during that marriage?
- 24 A. No, she did not.
- 25 | Q. Did, in that first marriage -- you don't have to say their

Tabourian - Direct

5828

1 | names or anything -- did Nadine have any children?

- A. Yes, she did.
- 3 Q. How many?
  - A. She had two kids.
- 5 Q. Both with her first husband?
- 6 | A. Yes.

2

4

- Q. And are those two kids now grown-ups?
- 8 A. Yes, they are.
- 9 Q. After Nadine's divorce from her first husband, did you have
  10 an understanding of how Nadine supported herself financially?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. To your knowledge, how did Nadine support herself
- 13 | financially after the end of her first marriage?
- 14 A. So my mother passed away. She left us money. She was
- 15 getting alimony, child support. Yeah.
- 16 | Q. And during that same period after the first marriage ended,
- 17 were you aware of Nadine having any regular employment?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Did there come a time in approximately 2018 when you
- 20 | learned that Nadine was dating or otherwise involved with
- 21 | Senator Menendez?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. Can you tell us how you first learned that Nadine was
- 24 | involved with Senator Menendez?
- 25 A. She texted me. She texted me and asked me to reach out to

- Bob because they had broken up and she wanted me to try to liaise with him and get them back together, help. Yeah.
  - Q. Before Nadine reaches out to you and asks for your help, do you remember being aware that she was even dating the senator?
  - A. No.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

18

Q. Do you remember roughly around what time or what was going on when she reached out to you with this request?

THE COURT: When was this?

MR. FEE: Thank you.

A. In 2018?

- THE COURT: Can you be more specific? Maybe you can,
  maybe you can't.
- A. Yes. Yes, I can. Actually it was that evening, it was during the election, the senator's election, and it was the night of the elections.
- 16 Q. The vote?
- 17 | A. Sorry.
  - Q. The vote?
- 19 A. The vote. The vote, yes.
- 20 THE COURT: So, am I correct then that it would be around November of 2018 that she asked you for help?
- 22 THE WITNESS: Yes. Exactly. November '18.
- THE COURT: November 2018; right? Is that what you meant?
- 25 THE WITNESS: Yes.

1 THE COURT: OK. 2 THE WITNESS: Sorry. 3 BY MR. FEE: Q. Did you have any understanding of the reason Nadine had 4 5 reached out to you to ask you to contact the senator? 6 MS. POMERANTZ: Objection. Hearsay. 7 THE COURT: She may have an understanding. Do you have an understanding as to why your sister 8 9 chose you to reach out? 10 THE WITNESS: Yes, because -- well -- yes. Because my sister was in a previous relationship, in an unhealthy 11 12 relationship and that was creating a lot of chaos in her 13 relationship with the senator. I think that he -- well, I know 14 that he, I think, was fed up with the previous relationship 15 constantly coming in between. 16 MS. POMERANTZ: Objection. Move to strike. 17 THE COURT: I will allow it. 18 BY MR. FEE: 19 Q. So did you end up sending, without telling me what you put 20

- in the message, did you end up complying with your sister's request to send a message?
- A. Yes, I did.

21

22

24

25

MS. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, objection. It is leading. 23

THE COURT: It is leading. I will allow it, though.

How did you send that message to Senator Menendez?

1

4

5

6

9

10

- Α. By text message.
- And again, what was roughly the date or the month and year 2 Q. 3 of when you sent that message?

THE COURT: Testified to already. Next.

- It was around when you got the request? I just want to clarify. You got the request, you said, in November 2018.
- 7 When did you send the message?
- That same day. 8 Α.
  - Q. Thank you.
    - Did the senator respond?
- 11 The senator responded the same day to me.
- 12 MR. FEE: Let me put up just for the witness and the 13 parties what's been marked as DX 2022.
- 14 Q. Ms. Tabourian, it is going to pop up on your screen,
- 15 hopefully. Ms. Tabourian, do you recognize this as a text
- 16 message between Bob Menendez and your phone?
- 17 Α. Yes.
- 18 And it is dated November 6, 2018?
- 19 Α. Yes.
- 20 MR. FEE: Your Honor, we would offer DX -- I got the
- 21 number wrong -- 2202.
- 22 THE COURT: Admitted, without objection.
- (Defendant's Exhibit 2202 received in evidence) 23
- 24 MR. FEE: If we can publish this for the jury?
- 25 BY MR. FEE:

4

5

6

7

8

9

16

17

- Q. Ms. Tabourian, what do you recognize this to be? You don't have to read it out loud but what do you recognize it to be?
  - A. The response.
    - Q. The response from Senator Menendez?

THE COURT: Is that a response from Senator Menendez to your text? Yes or no.

THE WITNESS: Yes, it is.

- Q. And I will just read the --
  - THE COURT: The jury can see it.
- 10 MR. FEE: There you go, let them read it. OK.
- 11 THE COURT: It is not for the truth of the matter
- 12 asserted therein, ladies and gentlemen.
- 13 BY MR. FEE:
- Q. Let's put that down, if folks have had enough I time, and move on.
  - Ms. Tabourian, at the time you sent this message had you yet met the senator in person?
- 18 A. No, I had not.
- Q. Did there come a time when you did meet the senator face to face?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you remember approximately when you had that first meeting face to face?
- 24 | A. It was early 2019.
- 25 Q. What sort of meeting was this?

- A. We had a lunch close to my home with my dad and my sister and the senator, my two children, my husband, and his son from a former marriage.
  - Q. Your husband's son or the senator's?
- 5 A. No, my husband's son.
- Q. At the time of this meeting with the senator, this lunch with the senator in early 2019, did you have any understanding of whether Nadine and the senator were a couple by that point?
  - A. Yes.

- 10 Q. What was your understanding?
- 11 A. That they were together and we were all having lunch 12 together on the weekend.
- Q. Did there come a time when the senator and Nadine got
- 14 married?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Did you attend the wedding?
- 17 A. Of course.
- 18 Q. Have you had a chance to personally observe Senator
- 19 | Menendez and Nadine interact with one another?
- 20 A. Yes, I have.
- 21 | Q. On, fair to say, dozens of occasions?
- 22 A. Of course.
- Q. How would you describe how Senator Menendez and Nadine
- 24 | interact with each other?
- 25 A. They are an extremely loving couple, caring couple. He is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

amazing. Every time she gets up at a dinner or lunch, he'll stand up. He is like my dad, my dad is old school. Very respectful, very kind.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Next?

- Q. Have you ever seen -- have you visited Senator Menendez and Nadine at 41 Jane Drive?
- A. Yes, I have.
  - Q. Either there or anywhere else, have you ever seen the senator ring a little bell to summon Nadine?
- A. A what?

THE COURT: Has the senator ever rung a bell in your presence at 41 Jane Drive? Yes or no.

THE WITNESS: A bell?

THE COURT: A bell.

THE WITNESS: No. I have never seen a bell. I have never seen a bell.

- BY MR. FEE:
- 19 \| \Q\ \text{. You have never seen a bell at 41 Jane Drive?}
- 20 A. I have never seen a bell.
- Q. Are you familiar with something called -- well, let me ask it a different way.
- Has it ever come to your attention that Senator Menendez and Nadine sometimes use what is called the Find My iPhone
- 25 | feature?

Α.

Tabourian - Direct 1 MS. POMERANTZ: Objection. Leading. THE COURT: It is, but I will allow it. 2 3 THE WITNESS: Yes. 4 How did you become aware of that? Q. 5 Α. She told me. And what, if anything, did she tell you about the use of 6 7 that feature? THE COURT: Sustained. 8 9 Q. What is your understanding of the reason that Bob -- or 10 Senator Menendez -- and Nadine, had used the Find My iPhone feature? 11 12 MS. POMERANTZ: Calls for hearsay. 13 THE COURT: No. Her understanding. I will allow it. 14 Do you have an understanding as to why that Find My 15 iPhone feature was being used? THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. She was afraid. 16 17 afraid of her previous relationship. 18 THE COURT: Thank you. Next question. 19 MR. FEE: Let's put up Government Exhibit 1F-1002. 20 Do you recognize this home? Ο. 21 Yes, I do. That's my sister's home. Α. 22 Q. And you have spent time at this location? 23 Α. Sure. 24 What is the address? Q.

41 Jane Drive, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey.

O715men2 Tabourian - Direct

- 1 Q. Thank you.
- 2 | A. 07636.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

3 Q. I was about to say that.

Do you have any idea approximately how long Nadine, whether putting aside anyone else with her, approximately how long

Nadine has resided at 41 Jane Drive?

- A. Yes. Her and her former husband bought this house when her son turned 1 years old.
  - Q. Any idea about how long ago that was?

11 THE WITNESS: 27; so 26 years ago.

- 12 Q. Thank you.
- Do you know who owns the house now?
- 14 A. My sister.
- 15 Q. And you said she first lived there with her first husband

THE COURT: How old is her son now?

- 16 and one of her children. Did she stay at 41 Jane Drive
- 17 | throughout that first marriage, if you know?
- 18 A. Yes, she did, but she lived there with two children.
- 19 | Q. Got it.
- 20 A. Not one.
- 21 Q. Thank you.
- Did you also visit Nadine at 41 Jane Drive during the period after her divorce from her first husband?
- 24 A. Yes, I have.
- 25 | Q. So any sense of roughly how many times you have been over

- 1 | to that house with Nadine?
- 2 A. Multiple times for holidays, for visits.
- Q. Have you, during those visits, had a chance to see Nadine's
- 4 bedroom, the master bedroom?
- 5 | A. Sure.

- 6 MR. FEE: Let's put up what is in evidence Government 7 Exhibit 1F-1014.
  - Q. Do you recognize this, Ms. Tabourian?
  - A. Yes. It is her bedroom.
- 10 MR. FEE: Let's put up Government Exhibit 1F-1015.
- 11 | Q. Do you recognize this as well?
- 12 A. Yes. It is her bedroom.
- Q. Now, the exercise equipment here, have you seen exercise
- equipment in that bedroom when you've been in the home?
- 15 A. She's always had an exercise bike.
- 16 Q. And do you mean this particular exercise thing or have
- 17 | there been others in the past that you have witnessed?
- 18 A. I don't know exactly if it is this one but she's always had
- 19 something that looks like this.
- 20 | Q. When you say always, what do you mean?
- 21 A. She's had it for years, she's had a thing, a bike, exercise
- 22 bike for years.
- 23 | Q. Even before she was dating Senator Menendez?
- 24 A. Oh yes.
- 25 MR. FEE: Let's put up what is in evidence as

3

4

5

6

7

8

1 Government Exhibit 1F-1017.

THE COURT: The picture that was just up, it was referred to both as the master bedroom and Nadine's bedroom. Do you know if it is used by both Mr. and Mrs. Menendez?

Do you know it it is used by both Mr. and Mrs. Mehendez

THE WITNESS: Yes, it is.

THE COURT: Thank you.

- Q. Meaning that they both sleep in that bedroom?
- A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Let's look at these closets in Government Exhibit 1F-1017.
- 10 Let me ask you this. The doors are open -- there are two doors
- 11 | to closets open in this photo. Have you ever seen the inside
- 12 | of either of those two closets?
- 13 A. Yes, I have.
- 14 Q. Which one? Or both?
- 15 A. I have seen both.
- 16 Q. Just focusing in on the right, the open closet door on the
- 17 | right, you have seen the inside of that closet before?
- 18 A. Yes, I have.
- 19 Q. Can you tell me how you have come to see inside that
- 20 | closet? Did you open the door yourself?
- 21 | A. No. Like, for example, if I am at her house and she's
- 22 getting dressed to go out, she opens it to get dressed, to --
- 23 | she keeps her jewelry in there. She -- yeah. I mean, she
- 24 | opens it and gets dressed. I don't know; shoes, clothes.
- 25 | Q. When you say she -- I'm sorry.

1 When you say "she" you mean Nadine?

Α. Yes.

2

3

4

5

10

11

- How did you observe, if at all, Nadine open this particular closet?
- What do you mean? Α.
- Was it locked or unlocked? 6 Q.
- 7 Oh, it's always locked. It's locked. Α.
- And when you say it's always locked, how did you see Nadine 8 9 open it? Did she use something?
  - Α. With a key.
  - And this key, do you have any understanding of whether this key was left out somewhere in the house or whether it was on
- 13 Nadine's person? Whether Nadine was holding this key?
- 14 No, she holds on to the key but I can tell you why. Α.
- 15 Go ahead. Q.
- 16 MS. POMERANTZ: Objection. Hearsay.
- 17 THE COURT: I will allow it.
- 18 Why does she keep it on herself, on her person?
- 19 THE WITNESS: Your Honor, two reasons. The one, if
- 20 you look at the door, the handle, it is a very hold handle.
- 21 does not close all the way. Right? So one of the old doors
- 22 that you try to open and it kind of opens by itself, it doesn't
- 23 close. But the main reason you will see she has her own lock
- 24 on there is because previously she had a nanny when her kids
- 25 were little and the nanny has stolen from her and that's why

- 1 she put that on there. And, she stole a good amount.
- 2 BY MR. FEE:
- 3 Q. You said this incident with the nanny occurred when the
- 4 | kids were little?
- 5 A. Yes.

- 6 Q. So any idea of roughly how many years ago that happened?
  - A. So she got a nanny when her son was 1 years old and her
- 8 daughter was probably 3 years old.
- 9 Q. So -- sorry.
- 10 A. So, 26 years ago.
- 11 Q. And since that incident, just based on your observations,
- 12 Ms. Tabourian, what has been Nadine's practice with respect to
- 13 keeping that closet locked?
- 14 A. It's always locked.
- 15 | Q. Have you, just in your observations, ever seen Nadine share
- 16 | that key with anyone else?
- 17 | A. No.
- 18 | Q. Her first husband?
- 19 | A. No.
- 20 Q. You?
- 21 A. It's her closet. It is nothing but her stuff in there.
- 22 | Q. Let's talk about that. How many times, if you can
- 23 estimate, do you think you have seen inside this particular
- 24 | closet?
- 25 A. Several times.

- 1 | Q. More than 10? Less than 10? If you are able to say.
  - A. I will say 10.
- 3 | Q. What have you seen inside that closet?
- 4 A. Well, she keeps her nice clothes in there, her bags, her
- 5 designer bags, designer shoes. She keeps her jewelry in there,
- 6 she keeps her valuables in there. And her nanny, that nanny,
- 7 she stole money from there.
- 8 Q. Just to give us a sense of a time period --
- 9 THE COURT: Well, did the nanny steal money or did the
- 10 | Nanny steal designer bags and shoes and jewelry?
- 11 THE WITNESS: No, the nanny -- sorry.
- 12 THE COURT: Go ahead.
- 13 THE WITNESS: The nanny stole money.
- 14 BY MR. FEE:
- 15 | Q. Just to give us a sense of time period, do you think you
- 16 | have seen the inside of this closet, say, since the time that
- 17 | Senator Menendez and Nadine got married?
- 18 A. Yeah, I would say.
- 19 | Q. And just so I am clear, when I am asking about your
- 20 | observations of the closet, have you done exhaustive searches
- 21 | of the closet?
- MS. POMERANTZ: Objection.
- 23 THE COURT: I will allow it.
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. You said valuables. What sorts of valuables do you recall

- seeing inside of that closet on the occasions you had a chance to look inside?
  - A. Her jewelry, money, cash, silver coins, gold coins.
  - Q. You said you saw cash. Do you have any idea how much cash?
- 5 | A. No.

4

6

7

8

9

10

- MR. FEE: If we can pull up what's in evidence as

  Government Exhibit 1F-1020, please? And if we can zoom in

  there is a patch of carpet with gold necklace and to the black
  box? Yes.
- Q. Do you see this object that I am circling -- you may not see that. Do you see the gold necklace on the floor?
- 12 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. If you move to the right there is a rectangular black object?
- 15 A. Yes, I do. I see a safe.
- 16 | Q. Have you seen that safe in the closet before?
- 17 A. I have seen a safe in the closet before.
- Q. Meaning you have seen a safe. You are not a hundred percent sure if it was that safe?
- 20 A. No, I can't tell that.
- 21 | Q. Of course --
- 22 A. I have a safe in my home.
- 23 **Q.** Why?
- 24 MS. POMERANTZ: Objection.
- 25 THE COURT: Sustained. Sustained.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

25

Tabourian - Direct 0715men2

Have you seen a safe in Nadine's closets -- let me ask it a different way.

When, if you can estimate, do you think you first saw a safe in this closet in Nadine's bedroom at 41 Jane Drive?

- When she first moved into that home.
- And based on your observations, has she had a safe in that closet ever since?
- Yes. Α.
- Q. Do you have any understanding of what she keeps in that safe in her closet?
- MS. POMERANTZ: Objection.
  - THE COURT: I will allow it. She may have an understanding.
  - THE WITNESS: She keeps money, cash. She keeps her jewelry. She keeps gifts that were given to her by family.
  - And you said you also keep a safe in your own home?
- 17 Yes, I do. Α.
- 18 MS. POMERANTZ: Objection.
- 19 THE COURT: Sustained. The jury will disregard.
- 20 So, let me just show you some other pictures that have been admitted, Ms. Tabourian. Government Exhibit 1F-1125 and 1126, 21 22 we can put them side by side. I'm not going to ask you if you 23 recognize this, I just want to direct your attention to 1126,
- 24 the picture on the right.
  - Mr. Kelly, if we can zoom in on some of MR. FEE:

O715men2 Tabourian - Direct

1 | these objects inside?

- Q. Do you see a couple of what looks like boxes here or three
- 3 boxes here?
  - A. Yes.

4

- 5 Q. Let's go to another admitted exhibit and there is this
- 6 glass thing, it is like a --
- 7 A. It is a ring holder.
  - Q. Thank you. Do you recognize that ring holder?
- 9 A. Yes, I do.
- 10 | Q. How do you recognize it?
- 11 | THE COURT: I'm sorry. What are you looking at?
- 12 MR. FEE: The ring holder, your Honor.
- 13 THE COURT: Ms. Tabourian, what are you looking at?
- 14 THE WITNESS: I'm looking at this crystal square with
- 15 | a stick.
- 16 | THE COURT: Somebody put a red box.
- 17 THE WITNESS: Yes, that's it.
- 18 THE COURT: OK. I now see it. Thank you.
- 19 MR. FEE: Let's put up Government Exhibit 1F-1129.
- 20 | And maybe just tighten up on the objects laid out?
- 21 BY MR. FEE:
- 22 | Q. You see that same ring holder here, Ms. Tabourian?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 \ Q. And if we can just focus in on the gold bars in the top?
- 25 Yes, there you go. So, one of those gold bars says: 1 kilo.

Tabourian - Direct

- 1 Do you see that where it says: 1 kilo?
- 2 A. Uh-huh.
- THE COURT: You have to say yes or no.
- 4 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Yes. I'm sorry.
- Q. Have you ever seen a 1 kilo bar at 41 Jane Drive -- 1 kilo gold bar at 41 Jane Drive?
- 7 A. I have.
  - Q. Do you know when you have seen it?
- 9 A. Years and years ago.
- Q. What about these smaller things that appear to be gold. Do
- 11 you recognize what those are?
- 12 A. Yes.

- 13 | Q. What are those?
- 14 A. Those are tiny gold bars.
- Q. Do you have any idea of -- we call the big one a 1 kilo, do
- 16 you know what the weight?
- 17 A. I believe they're one ounce.
- 18 Q. Have you ever seen whether or not there are these
- 19 particular ones, have you ever seen any one-ounce gold bars
- 20 | with Nadine's possessions at 41 Jane Drive?
- 21 A. Yes, I have.
- 22 | Q. Do you have any sense of approximately when you might have
- 23 seen them?
- 24 | A. Many years ago. And I have these tiny gold bars because
- 25 these are gift --

- 1 MS. POMERANTZ: Objection.
- 2 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
- THE COURT: Yes. There is no question.
- 4 THE WITNESS: Sorry.
- 5 MS. POMERANTZ: Move to strike, your Honor.
- 6 THE COURT: Yes. The jury will disregard.
- 7 MR. FEE: We can zoom out again, Mr. Kelly.
- 8 BY MR. FEE:
- 9 Q. Ms. Tabourian, do you recognize, focusing on a white plate with jewelry in it?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. Do you recognize any of this jewelry?
- 13 A. Yes, I do.
- 14 | Q. How do you recognize it?
- 15 A. A lot of this jewelry -- well, some of this jewelry is my
- 16 sister's and some is my mom's.
- 17 | Q. When you say some is your mom's, what do you mean?
- 18 A. Some jewelry that my mother gave to her; like some to her,
- 19 some to me.
- 20 Q. Understood.
- 21 A. And her husband gave her those earrings with the ruby in
- 22 | it, they had that made in Lebanon.
- 23 | Q. This thing? Can you see when I circle it in red? Or no.
- 24 THE COURT: No.
- 25 A. I don't see it.

Tabourian - Direct

5847

- 1 | Q. When you say "the ruby" you mean the circle?
- 2 A. Yes. That one.
  - Q. And when you say her husband?
  - A. Her ex-husband.
  - Q. Her first husband.
- 6 We can zoom out.
- Do you see this box with one, two, three, four, five -- six sides on the top left?
- 9 A. Yes.

3

4

- MR. FEE: Let's go to Government Exhibit 1F-1204 and 1205 side by side?
- Q. Other than this photo, have you seen this box in Nadine's home at 41 Jane Drive?
- 14 A. Yes, I have.
- MS. POMERANTZ: Objection, your Honor; to the characterizations.
- 17 THE COURT: I will allow it.
- Q. Have you previously, outside of these photos, seen the inside of this box?
- 20 | A. I don't know, but I know what's inside.
- Q. Just focusing on the photo on the right, the jewelry, any of that jewelry from this photo strike you as familiar?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. What?
- 25 A. The bracelets are from Lebanon.

- 1 Q. How do you know that?
- 2 A. Because I was there with her, my whole family was there.
- 3 People buy jewelry in Lebanon.
- 4 | Q. Anything else here that you recognize?
- A. Yeah. The ring, I have seen her wear that ring, the cash.
- 6 Gold bar.
- 7 Q. Cash is cash.
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Let's go to Government Exhibit 1F-1206. How about these?
- 10 Do any of these items, again just from the visual, strike you
- 11 | as familiar?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. What?
- 14 A. Most everything. The three tri-color bracelets are from
- 15 | Beirut, Lebanon. They're from a jeweler called Tufenkjian.
- 16 | The purple ring, which I love it, with the beads with the
- 17 diamonds, is bought from London Jewelers in the Hamptons, in
- 18 New York. The two big bangles on the bottom right-hand side,
- 19 | those are from Beirut, Lebanon, as well. The earrings are --
- 20 | they are also from Lebanon, they had these made, her and her
- 21 previous ex-husband, they are -- Zolatos is the designer.
- 22 MR. FEE: Let's go back very quickly to 1129.
- 23 Mr. Kelly, if you can highlight with a red circle or box around
- 24 | the blue box with the gold braid peeking out of the front end?
- 25 Q. Ms. Tabourian, do you have any idea if you have seen that

Tabourian - Direct

- 1 box in 41 Jane Drive previously?
- A. Those boxes are jewelry boxes. Yes, I have seen them in 41

  Jane Drive and I have the same boxes.
  - MS. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, objection.
- 5 THE COURT: Yes. What you have or don't have is not 6 at issue in this trial.
- 7 THE WITNESS: Sorry.
- 8 THE COURT: Just try to answer the question.
- 9 BY MR. FEE:

- 10 Q. Let me ask this. Do you have any understanding of how
- 11 Nadine acquired those boxes?
- 12 A. Yes. They are bought in London.
- 13 Q. Were you with her when she bought them?
- 14 A. My grandmother, she visited --
- 15 | THE COURT: Were you with her? Yes or no.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Oh, no.
- 17 THE COURT: Next question.
- Q. Do you have any understanding of how they were obtained by
- 19 | Nadine?
- 20 MS. POMERANTZ: Objection.
- 21 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 22 Q. Do you have these same boxes?
- MS. POMERANTZ: Objection.
- 24 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 25 MR. FEE: Let's go to 1F-1183-84. Those two. I'm

- sorry. 1183 and 1184 side by side. If you could, Mr. Kelly, zoom in on the box itself?
- 3 Q. Do you see that same gold loop on the bottom left there?
- 4 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Just focusing on the right, 1184, if we can zoom in on -- that's fine.
- Do you recognize this blue rectangular piece that says "Greece" on it?
- 9 A. Yes, I do.
  - Q. How do you recognize that? Have you seen that before?
- 11 A. Yes.

- 12 | Q. Where have you seen that?
- 13 A. In her house.
- Q. When you say in her house, you mean Nadine's house at 41
- 15 | Jane Drive?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 MS. POMERANTZ: Objection.
- 18 THE COURT: I will allow it.
- MR. FEE: Just the handwritten note that is right
- 20 there, you can zoom in on that, Mr. Kelly.
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Ms. Tabourian, do you recognize the handwriting there?
- 23 A. Yes. That's my sister's handwriting.
- 24 | Q. Have you seen this particular note before?
- 25 A. No.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- Q. In your experience does your sister, what, if any practice, does she have making lists of her valuables?
  - A. My sister makes lists of everything. When she first got married --

THE COURT: She makes lists of everything. Thank you.

Next?

THE WITNESS: Oh.

MR. FEE: You can put that down.

- Q. In your visits to 41 Jane Drive since Nadine and Senator Menendez have married, have you had a chance to observe any of Senator Menendez' clothing inside 41 Jane Drive?
  - MS. POMERANTZ: Objection. Foundation.
- THE COURT: I will allow it. I'm sorry. What was the objection?
- 15 MS. POMERANTZ: Foundation.
- 16 THE COURT: I will allow it.
- 17  $\parallel$  Q. Did you hear the question?
- 18 A. Can you rephrase it?
- 19 THE COURT: Have you seen Mr. Menendez' clothing in 41 20 Jane Drive?
- 21 A. Yes, I have.
- Q. Have you ever seen any of it inside of that closet we have just discussed with the safe in it?
- 24 A. Her closet?
- 25 Q. Yes.

O715men2 Tabourian - Direct

- 1 A. No.
- Q. Let me put up what is in evidence as Defendant's Exhibit --

3 THE COURT: Are the only things in her closet her

4 clothes and jewelry?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: No one else's?

THE WITNESS: Nobody. That's her closet. Always has

been.

5

6

7

8

9

10

- MR. FEE: 129 in evidence. Is this zoomed in? Or that's it? OK. You don't have to zoom in Mr. Kelly. Thank you. I'm sorry about that.
- Q. Ms. Tabourian, do you recognize what is depicted in this photograph?
- 14 A. Mens shirts.
- 15 | Q. Do you recognize where this is, this location?
- 16 A. Yes. This is her daughter's room.
- 17 Q. When you say her daughter's room, what do you mean?
- 18 A. Her bedroom.
- 19 | Q. Do you mean Nadine's daughter's room?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Where?
- 22 A. At 41 Jane Drive.
- 23 Q. Thank you.
- Have you actually seen these mens shirts at 41 Jane Drive yourself?

Tabourian - Direct

- 1 A. Yes, I have.
- 2 | Q. When you saw these mens shirts, where were they located at
- 3 | 41 Jane Drive?

4

7

8

- A. In her daughter's bedroom's closet.
- Q. Did you have an understanding of why these mens shirts were in the closet for Nadine's daughter?
  - MS. POMERANTZ: Objection. Hearsay. It calls for hearsay.
    - THE COURT: I will allow it.
- 10 Do you know why they are there?
- 11 | THE WITNESS: Because that's Senator Menendez' closet.
- 12 My sister doesn't have room in her closet for that.
- 13 | Q. The daughter's whose room that used to be, does she still
- 14 | live there?
- 15 A. No, she does not.
- 16 Q. Let's put up DX 130. Have you seen the ties and belts
- 17 depicted in this image at any time at 41 Jane Drive?
- 18 A. Yes, I have.
- 19 | Q. And where have you come to see them?
- 20 A. This is her son's bedroom closet.
- 21 | Q. When you say her son's --
- 22 THE COURT: Nadine's son's closet.
- 23 Q. So this is a different bedroom than the bedroom where
- 24 Nadine's closet safe is located?
- 25 A. Yes, and he doesn't live there either.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

13

14

15

25

MS. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, we object to the characterization and commentary in how Mr. Fee is asking the questions.

THE COURT: Sir, try not to lead. Go ahead.

MR. FEE: Yes.

THE COURT: And eliminate the comments. Proceed.

MR. FEE: I want to clarify, your Honor. I'm not

- leading.
- 9 Q. When you say he doesn't live there anymore, what do you 10 mean?
- 11 A. Nadine's son does not live at 41 Jane Drive.
- 12 Q. Thank you.
  - Let me ask you first what, if any understanding, do you have of whether the senator has an office at 41 Jane Drive?
  - A. Yes, he does have an office.
- Q. Have you yourself been in the room that you are referring to as the office?
- 18 A. Yes, I have.
- 19 MR. FEE: Let's put up Government Exhibit 1F-1092.
- 20 Q. Ms. Tabourian what is this?
- 21 A. That's his office.
- 22 | Q. And have you ever seen Nadine in this room?
- A. When I was there and we go talk to him, yeah, we go down to here.
  - Q. Have you ever seen Nadine in this room at a time when the

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

25

1 senator was not there?

A. No. We never went. No.

THE COURT: You know that you have never been there with her alone? Is that what you are saying?

THE WITNESS: When I'm there --

THE COURT: No. Are you saying that you know you have never been there with her alone? Yes or no.

THE WITNESS: That I've never been there.

THE COURT: I'm sorry.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.

THE COURT: That's all right. No, no. We will step back. I believe you said a moment ago that the only times you have been there in that room are when Senator Menendez is there. Is that your testimony?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: OK.

- Q. Let's put up Government Exhibit 1F-1093. Do you recognize what is depicted in this photo, Ms. Tabourian?
- A. So this is, again, Senator Menendez' office that leads to the basement where there is like a washer, dryer.
- 21 | Q. I'm sorry.
- A. No. You have to go through this place to go do your laundry.
  - Q. So this is my question. You said it. Can you use words to describe how you get from this room to the place where you do

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

laundry? Like what do you see in this photo that shows the pathway?

- A. So the white doors, right in the center there is white doors that are open. If you go straight there is the laundry machine, dryer, and storage.
- Q. And if you know, these stairs that you see on the right side of this photo?
- A. Yes.
- Q. To where do those lead?
- 10 A. They had lead up to the living room and the kitchen.
- 11 MR. FEE: Mr. Kelly, if we can zoom in on the chair on 12 the left side?
  - Q. Just focusing your attention, Ms. Tabourian, on the two, or I don't know if there is one or two black objects on that chair, do you recall ever seeing those before?
    - A. I have never paid attention. I don't know. No, I don't know. No.
    - MR. FEE: You can put that down. I'm going to show you a series of photos that are not yet in, so just for the witness and the parties. Let's start with 2145 and zoom in.
    - Q. Do you recognize that person?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 (Continued on next page)

24

O71Wmen3 Tabourian - Direct

1 BY MR. FEE:

2

- Q. Who is that?
- 3 A. It's my sister's son.
- 4 | Q. And this is him at roughly what age? If you know.
- 5 A. I don't know. In his 20s -- well, he's in his 20s.
- 6 Maybe -- I don't know -- 18, 21, 23. I don't know.
- 7 Q. Got it. And do you see him wearing a tie in that photo?
- 8 | A. Yes.
  - Q. And just focusing you on the belt buckle, can you see it?
- 10 A. Yes, it's an Hermés belt buckle.
- MR. FEE: We'll offer this, your Honor.
- 12 THE COURT: Admitted, without objection.
- 13 (Defendant's Exhibit 2145 received in evidence)
- 14 THE COURT: You can tell that that belt buckle is an
- 15 Hermés belt buckle?
- 16 THE WITNESS: Yeah.
- 17 THE COURT: OK.
- 18 MR. FEE: 2152, 2151. You can put up two at a time.
- 19 THE WITNESS: And that's an Hermés tie if I'm not
- 20 mistaken.
- 21 BY MR. FEE:
- 22 | Q. And you recognize the same person and the same buckle
- 23 depicted here, to the best of your ability?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 MR. FEE: 2144 and 2150.

O71Wmen3 Tabourian - Direct

1 | Q. Do you recognize the person depicted here?

A. Yes.

2

3 MR. FEE: 2143 and '42, please.

- 4 Q. Same question, Ms. Tabourian. Do you recognize the person
- 5 depicted here?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 MR. FEE: Your Honor, we would offer 2142 through '44
- 8 and 2150 through '53.
- 9 THE COURT: Admitted, without objection.
- 10 MS. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, I would like brief voir
- 11 dire.
- 12 THE COURT: Yes, sure.
- 13 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
- 14 BY MS. POMERANTZ:
- 15 | Q. Ms. Tabourian, you were just shown a series of photographs?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. Do you know when these photographs were taken?
- 18 A. No, not exactly.
- 19 Q. Do you know if they were taken prior to the charges in this
- 20 case?
- 21 A. I believe so, yes.
- 22 | THE COURT: Well, why do you say that?
- 23 THE WITNESS: Because he's so young.
- 24 THE COURT: Well, you can't tell the age.
- 25 THE WITNESS: And he's --

O71Wmen3 Tabourian - Direct

1 | Q. How do you know that?

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

A. Because I know her house.

- Q. What's that object hanging down in the back, over his right shoulder in the left of the photo?
- A. It's called -- keeps the evil eye away.

6 MR. FEE: I think that establishes the place, your 7 Honor.

MS. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, it's not the question of place. It's a question of timing.

MR. FEE: She's testified about timing, your Honor. I think it's sufficient.

THE COURT: Just a moment.

What is your testimony as to when these pictures were taken?

THE WITNESS: A long time ago.

THE COURT: Why do you say that?

THE WITNESS: Because he's home. I can tell his structure, his body structure.

THE COURT: Do you know that the young man has not -- when was the last time he was home?

THE WITNESS: Uh --

THE COURT: Is he still in college?

THE WITNESS: No, he's not.

24 THE COURT: What does he do? Where is he located?

Where does he live?

O71Wmen3 Tabourian - Direct

1 THE WITNESS: He lives in Miami.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

THE COURT: OK. I assume he comes home. I shouldn't

assume. Does he come home periodically or visit his mother?

THE WITNESS: Not very often. The holidays.

THE COURT: He comes home for the holidays.

THE WITNESS: For Christmas.

THE COURT: OK. I take it then that he's been at home during Christmas the past couple of years.

THE WITNESS: No, actually not last Christmas.

THE COURT: The year before?

THE WITNESS: Maybe. He doesn't even dress like this anymore.

THE COURT: He doesn't wear shirts and ties anymore?

THE WITNESS: No. Not in Miami.

THE COURT: I'll allow them in. It will be up to the lawyers to argue as to time and place.

(Defendant's Exhibits 2142 through 2144 and 2150 through 2153 received in evidence)

MR. FEE: Let's put those down.

Q. Ms. Tabourian --

MR. FEE: Oh, I'm sorry. Can we publish what's been admitted as 2145. Zoom in.

Q. -- this is Nadine's son?

THE COURT: She's testified to that.

MR. FEE: Yes, your Honor. And just for the jury -- I

25

Tabourian - Direct

```
won't ask any questions -- 2143 and 2142.
1
 2
               Let's go to 2142. OK. We can put that down.
 3
               THE COURT: I'm sorry. Is that the entire picture?
 4
               MR. FEE: That is, your Honor.
 5
               THE COURT: Do you have an understanding as to why
 6
      somebody would take a picture of a man's shirt and tie but not
7
     his face?
8
               THE WITNESS: Me?
 9
               THE COURT: Yes.
10
               THE WITNESS: No, I don't know.
11
               THE COURT: All right.
12
               MR. FEE: We can put these down, Mr. Kelly.
13
        Ms. Tabourian, at any point, did there come a time when
14
     Nadine discussed with you that she was having trouble paying
     her bills?
15
16
     A. Never.
17
      Q. Did she ever raise a concern with you about her checks
18
     bouncing?
19
     A. Never.
20
        Well, let me ask you, do you remember in 2018 a time where
21
      she raised that concern, about checks?
22
               MS. POMERANTZ: Objection. Leading.
23
               THE COURT: Yes. Sustained.
24
     BY MR. FEE:
```

Do you remember any such discussion?

Tabourian - Direct

1 A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

MR. FEE: Let me put up just for the witness and the parties Defense Exhibit 2194. Let's focus in on this.

Don't say anything about this, Ms. Tabourian. Just read it and tell me when you have had a chance to read it.

- Q. Have you had a chance to review it?
- A. Yes.
- Q. My only question, which is a yes-or-no question, is does this refresh your recollection that at some point Nadine raised with you an issue about her checks bouncing?

11 THE COURT: The issue is not what's on that document.

12 MR. FEE: Right.

THE COURT: Does it refresh your recollection?

THE WITNESS: Just by reading this --

THE COURT: No, not by --

A. No.

THE COURT: OK.

THE WITNESS: No.

MR. FEE: Got it.

Let's put it down.

- Q. Did Nadine at any point ask you for help financially?
- 22 A. Never.
- 23 | Q. Did she ever ask for your assistance co-signing a loan?
- 24 A. Yes.
  - Q. Approximately when did she make that request to you, if you

Tabourian - Direct

1 remember?

- 2 A. A few years ago.
- 3 | Q. OK.

- 4 A. Two, three, somewheres.
- 5 Q. Just try to speak up so the court reporter can hear you.
- A. Sorry. A few years ago, maybe like two, three summers ago, three summers, four summers.
- 8 Q. What, if anything, did she ask you to do?
  - A. She asked me to co-sign so -- on her home, and the reason
- 10 | I -- do you want me to say the reason why?
- 11 THE COURT: No, no.
- 12 THE WITNESS: OK.
- 13 THE COURT: The question was what did she ask you to
- 14 do, and you just said she asked me to co-sign on her home. Is
- 15 | that correct?
- 16 THE WITNESS: Yes. That's correct.
- 17 THE COURT: OK. Next question.
- 18 BY MR. FEE:
- 19 Q. What do you mean when you said she asked you to co-sign on
- 20 her home?
- 21 | A. So, she -- she was going to -- if she defaulted on
- 22 payments, then, because she didn't have an income coming in, so
- 23 | you need somebody to be able to make payments. Right? Like --
- 24 OK. Sorry.
- 25 | Q. Just your understanding of what she asked you to do.

Tabourian - Direct

- That's all I'm asking for, is your understanding, if you have one.
- 3 A. Yeah. She asked me to co-sign because she wanted to take a
- 4 | loan. I -- I -- I don't -- yeah.
- 5 Q. Let me ask this.
- A. And she didn't make income so they need a co-signer, and I was a co-signer.
- 8 Q. Did you end up actually co-signing on a loan?
- 9 | A. No.
- 10 Q. During your discussions with Nadine about this request,
- 11 | what, if anything, did she say to you about asking Senator
- 12 Menendez for help with co-signing a loan?
- MS. POMERANTZ: Objection.
- 14 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 15 BY MR. FEE:
- 16 Q. A yes-or-no question. During these discussions -- I'm
- 17 | asking. Sorry. He sustained.
- During your discussions with Nadine about the co-signing of
- 19 | a loan that you just testified about --
- 20 A. Uh-huh.
- 21 | Q. -- did Senator Menendez come up at all?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 | Q. Did you ever share with Senator Menendez that Nadine had
- 24 made this request to you?
- 25 A. No. Why would I?

Tabourian - Direct

- Q. What, if anything, caused you to decide not to share that with Senator Menendez?
- 3 MS. POMERANTZ: Objection.
- 4 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 5 BY MR. FEE:
  - Q. In your experience, did Nadine discuss as a regular matter
- 7 having financial difficulties?
- 8 | A. No.

6

- 9 MS. POMERANTZ: Objection.
- 10 THE COURT: With her?
- 11 MR. FEE: With her.
- 12 Q. With you.
- 13 | THE COURT: I'll allow that.

did not discuss those difficulties?

14 A. No.

- Q. And what, if any, understanding did you have as to why she
- 17 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 18 BY MR. FEE:
- 19 Q. Did Nadine -- what, if anything, has Nadine ever said to
- 20 you about asking for help to pay for a new car for Nadine?
- 21 A. Nothing.
- 22 | Q. Are you aware whether Nadine ever sought or received help
- 23 | from your father to pay for a car?
- MS. POMERANTZ: Objection. Hearsay. Calls for
- 25 hearsay, and the understanding would be based on hearsay.

O71Wmen3 Tabourian - Direct

1 THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. FEE: Your Honor, it's not for the truth.

3 THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. FEE: Let's back up.

- Q. Can you tell us where you and Nadine were born?
- 6 A. We were born in Beirut, Lebanon.
- 7 Q. And with whom did you live in Lebanon in the early years?
- 8 A. With my parents.
- 9 Q. Were your parents originally from Lebanon?
- 10 A. My father, yes. My mother lived in Cyprus, and her family
- 11 moved to Lebanon.
- 12 Q. OK.

2

4

- 13 A. But I mean the grand -- their parents are not originally
- 14 from Lebanon.
- 15 | Q. All right. Does any part of your heritage or Nadine's
- 16 | Heritage come from Armenia?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Tell us about that.
- 19 A. So that's where the great grandparents, grandparents came
- 20 from.
- 21 | Q. During your early years in Lebanon, where did you live; in
- 22 an apartment, in a home?
- 23 A. Oh. In an apartment.
- 24 | Q. In which part of Lebanon?
- 25 A. Most of Lebanon is apartments, the city.

Tabourian - Direct

- 1 | Q. And you said the city. Where in Lebanon did you live?
- 2 A. In Beirut.
- 3 | Q. What was Beirut like at the time you lived there?
- 4 A. Beautiful.
- Q. Other than your parents, who cared for you two during the
- 6 | early years in Beirut?
- 7 A. I had a nanny. She had a nanny.
- 8 Q. OK. Roughly when -- maybe it would be easier to ask like
- 9 this. How old were you approximately when you left Beirut?
- 10 A. I would say about 10, 11 years old.
- 11 | Q. And so how old was Nadine roughly when she and you left
- 12 Beirut?
- 13 A. 11, 12 years old.
- 14 | Q. And you left at the same time?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. So up to 10 or 11 years old, did you ever see jewelry
- 17 stored in your family apartment in Beirut?
- 18 A. Of course.
- 19 | Q. What sort of things were kept in the home in Beirut?
- 20 A. My mother's jewelry.
- 21  $\parallel$  Q. Could you describe what you remember seeing kept there?
- 22 A. Yes. She has a safe in her bedroom. Everybody had a safe.
- 23 Everybody had a safe.
- 24 | Q. OK. What about gold, coins, silver; any other items you
- 25 remember seeing in those apartments?

O71Wmen3 Tabourian - Direct

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 Q. In that apartment. Excuse me.
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. Can you tell us about that?
- 5 A. So, my mother kept jewelry. She kept cash. She kept gold.
- 6 She kept worry beads, like misbaha, in Arabic, they say. It's
- 7 | the worry beads which are made of, let's say, turquoise or, you
- 8 know, the valuable ones made of turquoise or ivory or ruby.
- 9 Q. You said the phrase is worry beads?
- 10 A. Worry beads.
- 11 Q. Worry beads.
- 12 A. It's a cultural thing.
- 13 | Q. Did your family -- where did your family go when they left
- 14 Beirut, when you were 10 or 11?
- 15 | A. We fled during the civil war. We escaped on the American
- 16 | Sixth Fleet, which is a warship, and we went to Greece.
- 17 | Q. And --
- 18 A. That's where the ship was going. That's -- so President
- 19 Carter was the president at that time, and he said anybody with
- 20 | British or American origin --
- 21 MS. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, your Honor --
- 22 | THE COURT: Sustained. There's no question.
- 23 MR. FEE: Let me ask this question, your Honor.
- 24 | Q. Based on your memory, Ms. Tabourian, what caused your
- 25 | family to leave Beirut?

Tabourian - Direct

- 1 A. The civil war.
- 2 MS. POMERANTZ: Objection, your Honor. 401 and 403.
- 3 THE COURT: I will allow it. The civil war.
- 4 | THE WITNESS: The civil war in 1975.
- 5 MR. FEE: Thank you.
- 6 Q. Where did your family go after leaving Beirut?
  - A. We went to my grandmother, who lived in London.
  - Q. Did you stay in London, your family?
- 9 A. We stayed there a little bit, and then we went to Palo
- 10 Alto, California, San Francisco.
- 11 | Q. And did you stay in Palo Alto?
- 12 A. Yes, we did.
- 13 | Q. For how long?
- 14 A. For a little bit. We went -- we started school there.
- 15 Q. When you say a little bit, years?
- 16 | A. No.

18

7

- 17 | Q. Months?
  - A. Not even a year.
- 19 Q. Where did you go after Palo Alto, California?
- 20 | A. So, my aunt lived in San Francisco, so we went there -- my
- 21 | father's sister. And then my mother has an uncle and an aunt
- 22 | in Manhasset, Long Island, and we finally moved there.
- 23 | Q. Is that where you and Nadine spent your high school years,
- 24 | in Long Island?
- 25 A. Correct.

O71Wmen3 Tabouri

Tabourian - Direct

Q. Let me ask this. When your family left Beirut to London,
California and New York, do you have any personal knowledge of

what your parents brought with them in terms of valuables?

A. Yes.

3

4

5

8

9

10

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. What do you remember them bringing with them?
- A. My mother brought her jewelry, her cash, the gold. We couldn't bring anything else. We couldn't even bring the

THE COURT: The question is what you brought.

MR. FEE: Thank you.

- Q. Ms. Tabourian, did there come a time when you and Nadine left the family home for college and jobs?
- 13 | A. Sure.
- 14 Q. When that happened --

shirts on our backs.

THE COURT: You left for college, correct?

THE WITNESS: Yes. My sister left for college and she went to NYU, and she dormed there.

THE COURT: She went to college and you left for college as well, correct?

THE WITNESS: I commuted. I came back and forth.

THE COURT: All right. Next question.

BY MR. FEE:

Q. Did there come a time when your mother, around that time, divided her valuables between you and Nadine?

MS. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, objection. Leading.

Tabourian - Direct

5872

- THE COURT: I'll allow it. You may answer.
- 2 A. Yes, eventually.
- Q. OK. Tell me. Tell me what, if anything, you know about
- 4 your mother dividing valuables between you and Nadine.
- A. So, my mother divided everything in two. I got to pick
- 6 what I wanted. She got to pick what she wanted.
- Q. What sorts of things were divided by your mother between you two?
  - A. Jewelry, gold. She gave us money all the time growing --
- 10 THE COURT: Jewelry, gold. What else?
- 11 THE WITNESS: Cash.
- 12 THE COURT: Cash?
- 13 THE WITNESS: Cash.
- 14 THE COURT: All right. Next question.
- 15 BY MR. FEE:

- 16 Q. Did this all happen, this division, did it happen at once
- 17 or over a longer period of time?
- 18 A. No. Over a longer period of time.
- 19 Q. Roughly when, or over what time period did this division
- 20 | take place?
- 21 A. Over -- over several years.
- 22 Q. OK. In the course of this division, did you personally
- 23 receive some gold bars from your mother?
- 24 A. I got gold coins.
- 25 Q. Gold coins.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Do you have any personal knowledge of Nadine receiving gold in any form from your mother?

- A. My mother -- my sister got my grandmother's gold bars. My sister was my grandmother's favorite out of five grandkids.
- MR. FEE: Let me show you what's been marked for identification as Defense Exhibits 1117 and 1118, just for the witness and the parties. It's going to come up on your screen. Do it one at a time -- actually, let's do them both, Mr. Kelly. Thank you.
- Q. Ms. Tabourian, just take a moment and look at those. I'm going to ask you a few questions.
- 12 | A. Uh-huh.
- 13 | Q. Ready?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you recognize, let's start with what's on the left side of your screen, Defense Exhibit 1117. Do you recognize that?
- 17 Don't tell me what it is. Just tell me if you recognize it.
- 18 A. Yes, I do.
- 19 | Q. Do you recognize the handwriting?
- 20 A. Yes, I do.
- 21 | Q. Whose handwriting is that?
- 22 A. My father.
- 23 | Q. Have you seen this document before?
- 24 A. Yes, I have.
- 25 Q. Do you have any understanding of when it was prepared?

O71Wmen3 Tabourian - Direct

- 1 A. It's years ago. It's dated --
- 2 MR. FEE: Mr. Kelly, there's a second page of 1117.
- 3 | Q. Same question. Same question. Do you recognize this
- 4 | handwriting?
- 5 A. Yes, I do.
- 6 Q. And do you see the -- what appears to be a signature on the
- 7 | bottom right of this page?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Do you recognize that signature?
- 10 A. Yes. It's my father's.
- 11 Q. And do you recognize a date?
- 12 A. Yes, I do.
- 13 | Q. Do you happen to know if your father, which style of dating
- 14 he uses?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. So how would you read the date that's depicted there?
- 17 A. 8 February 1976.
- 18 Q. OK. Let's -- if we can just look on the right side,
- 19 please, which is Defense Exhibit 1118.
- 20 Do you recognize the handwriting here, some or all?
- 21 A. The other page?
- 22 | Q. Sorry. On the right side of your screen. Yes, that's
- 23 | right.
- 24 A. That's my father.
- 25 Q. OK. And again, have you seen this before?

24

25

Tabourian - Direct

```
1
     Α.
          Yes, I have.
2
          Who gave these to you, actually?
      Q.
 3
         My father has a file.
     Α.
      Q. And the dates on the right-hand side of Defense Exhibit
 4
 5
      1118, again, would those be -- would you read -- how would you
      read that first date?
 6
7
      A. 7 March 1967. And that's when my sister was born.
8
               THE COURT: I'm sorry. I don't see where you're
 9
      looking at. On the right-hand page?
10
               MR. FEE: Yes. Mr. Kelly.
11
               THE COURT: Oh, I see. Thank you.
12
               MR. FEE: Actually, Ms. Tabourian -- well, I'll wait
13
     until it's in.
14
               Your Honor, we would offer these pursuant to 803(16)
15
      and also 803(15).
16
               THE COURT: Is there an objection?
17
               MS. POMERANTZ: We object on hearsay grounds.
18
               MR. FEE: Your Honor, we gave them notice of these.
19
               THE COURT: Is there any 901 issue here?
20
               MS. POMERANTZ: I don't believe so, your Honor.
21
               THE COURT: All right. I think it comes in under
22
      803(16).
23
               MR. FEE: Let's publish.
```

evidence)

(Defendant's Exhibits 1117 and 1118 received in

Tabourian - Direct

5876

1 BY MR. FEE:

- 2 Q. Ms. Tabourian, are you able to read your father's
- 3 | handwriting on the title, the top left of what's stamped as
- 4 | 1117, if you're able to?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Could you read that, please?
- 7 A. "Safe deposit box No. 1, at the British Bank of the Middle
- 8 | East Beirut.
- 9 Q. And underneath that, what does it say, if you're able to
- 10 read it?
- 11 A. Rented by Kalbian Tabourian & Co., Beirut, Lebanon.
- 12 Q. What is Kalbian Tabourian & Co.? I'm sorry for butchering
- 13 this.
- 14 A. So this -- so, the safe deposit box was rented under my
- 15 | father's rug business, which he shared with his uncle, whose
- 16 | last name is Kalbian.
- 17 | Q. Ms. Tabourian --
- 18 THE COURT: Your uncle or his uncle?
- 19 THE WITNESS: His uncle.
- 20 BY MR. FEE:
- 21 | Q. And then the next line?
- 22 A. Content. Property of Mr. and Mrs. G. Tabourian and the
- 23 telephone number.
- 24 | Q. And is G. the first initial of your father's first name?
- 25 A. Yes, Garbis.

Tabourian - Direct

1 Q. Thank you.

Now, just pulling out on this document, the pieces -- well, excuse me. Generally, what is described in this list, if you

4 know?

5

6

7

8

- A. These are the contents that were at, in the safe deposit box.
- Q. In Beirut?
  - A. Yes. At the British Bank.
- Q. Have you seen any of the pieces?
- THE COURT: Well, I take it you don't know that, but that's what's stated here, correct?
- 12 THE WITNESS: Correct.
- 13 THE COURT: OK.
- MR. FEE: Thank you, your Honor.
- Q. Have you seen any of the pieces that you believe to be described in this list?
- 17 MS. POMERANTZ: Objection, your Honor.
- 18 THE COURT: I'll allow it.
- 19 A. Some of them, yes.
- Q. OK. And generally, what is described here, as you understand it?
- 22 | A. My mother's jewelry and gold pieces from the family.
- Q. And these values, or these numbers on the right side, do you recognize the letters that precede each of those numbers?
- 25 A. LL. Lebanese lira is the currency in Beirut, Lebanon.

1

4

8

9

10

MR. FEE: And if we can go to the next page.

- Q. And at the top, you see it says page 2, Mr. and Mrs. G.
- 3 Tabourian? Do you see that?
  - A. Yes.
- 5 MR. FEE: And then, if you can pull out, Mr. Kelly.
- Q. In general, what is your understanding of what is described in the list portion of this page?
  - A. My mother's jewelry and my grandmother's gold bars.
  - Q. When you say your grandmother's gold bars, are you referring to -- zoom in here on the, where it starts Mrs. --
- 11 A. R., Mrs. Rosaline Wosgian.
- Q. Can you just read, if you're able, what your father wrote there?
- 14 A. "Three kilos of gold bars and her jewelry presently in London, details later."
- 16 MR. FEE: Zoom out.
- Q. I think you said this before we had published it. Just for the jury's sake, the signature at the bottom, whose is that?
- 19 A. My father.
- 20 MR. FEE: Let's go to 1118. You can zoom in on the whole document, actually, Mr. Kelly. Thank you.
- 22 | Q. Are you able to read for us what's listed on the left?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. Can you do so, please?
- 25 A. "Children jewelry. Ida brooch," which is my mother, was my

Tabourian - Direct

- mother. "Turkish brooch and earrings. Turkish brooch, eight turquoises. "Emerald" rings -- "earrings" -- sorry. "Two chains gold."
- 4 Q. Thank you.
- Now, on the right side, do you recognize -- well, what is the first date listed on the right side, as you would read it?
  - A. 7 March 1967.
- Q. And what, if any, significance does that date have in your family?
  - A. It's my sister's birthday.
- 11 Q. Now, as you understand this document, did the second column
- 12 on the right side, it says TNT. Do you know what that is?
- 13 A. Yes.

7

- 14 Q. What is it?
- 15 | A. My sister's name, Tanya Nadine Tabourian.
- 16 Q. What, if any, understanding do you have of what that first
- 17 row is indicating, her birth date, TNT and then the rest of the
- 18 text?
- 19 A. And then it's British pounds, two gold by me.
- 20 | Q. Who is the me, as you understand this document?
- 21  $\blacksquare$  A. My father.
- 22 Q. OK. And then there's little apostrophes over the next
- 23 several rows. Do you see that?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. What do you understand that to mean?

Tabourian - Direct

- 1 A. That they belong to TNT, or given to TNT.
- 2 | Q. And then you said the first one was British pounds. In the
- 3 | third row, is that also British pounds or something different?
- 4 A. No. I think that's U.S. dollars.
- 5 Q. OK. And this one says -- can you just read that row to me,
- 6 please?
- 7 A. "7-3-67, TNT, \$20 gold by Wosgian."
- 8 Q. And you were reading TNT where the apostrophe was?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. All right. Just to go down, there's a K. on -- I think
- 11 | it's next to 27-6-68. Do you see that K.?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. As you understand this document, to whom or what does the
- 14 | K. refer?
- 15 A. K. is me, Katia.
- 16 | O. And what is that date?
- 17 A. 27 June 1968.
- 18 Q. What, if any, significance does that have?
- 19 A. I was born 14 May 1968.
- 20 Q. So shortly after your birth?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. And when it says by -- what does that say in that first
- 23 | line with the K., by -- what is the word there?
- 24 A. By Nancy, who is my mother's sister.
- 25 Q. And then I think I'll stop after this.

Tabourian - Direct

5881

- 1 You said by Wosgian. Who is Wosgian?
- 2 A. My grandmother. My mother's mother.
- 3 | Q. And then again, just to help us read the handwriting, the
- 4 portion -- actually, let me ask. Beneath the third K., there
- $5 \parallel is an N.?$
- 6 A. Yes.

- Q. Any idea what the N. refers to?
- 8 A. Nadine.
- 9 Q. And then beneath this dated portion, can you just read for
- 10 us what your father wrote, where -- I think it's 48?
- 11 | A. 48, 29 gold, I don't know, gold.
- 12 | Q. If you can't read it --
- 13 A. Pesos.
- 14 Q. OK.
- 15 | A. Gold.
- 16 | Q. And you can just continue down. Don't guess at anything.
- 17 A. Oh. Two solitaires, one emerald, one sapphire, one pearl.
- 18 | Q. What is a solitaire, if you know?
- 19 A. A solitaire is just a diamond, just a diamond.
- 20 Q. Like a single diamond?
- 21 A. Yes, like a, just the diamond.
- 22 Q. OK.
- 23 A. Not the ring, just the diamond.
- MR. FEE: OK. We can put that down, please.
- 25 | Q. And just let me ask, if you have personal knowledge, were

Tabourian - Direct

- any of the items listed in the lists we just saw, if you know, given to Nadine?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And sitting here today, do you know exactly what was given?
- 5 A. I don't know exactly. But those two lists you're referring
- 6 to?
- 7 Q. Yes. The ones we just saw.
- 8 A. The ones from the British Bank?
- 9 Q. Yes.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. What, if anything, was your understanding of the purpose of
- 12 your mother and father dividing these items between you and
- 13 | Nadine?
- MS. POMERANTZ: Objection.
- 15 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 16 BY MR. FEE:
- 17 | Q. Did you ever learn of a safe deposit box -- turning back to
- 18 closer to the present day, a safe deposit box that was used by
- 19 | Nadine?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 MS. POMERANTZ: Objection to hearsay.
- 22 THE COURT: I'll allow it.
- 23 BY MR. FEE:
- 24 | Q. Well, how did you learn of the use of a safe deposit box?
- 25 A. I was at the bank with her.

8

9

18

- 1 Q. How did you come to the bank with her that day?
- 2 A. We were -- I spent the day with her and she needed to go to the bank, and I went with her.
- Q. What, if anything, do you remember about the purpose of
- 5 your visit to the safe deposit box on that day?
- A. She wanted to take out a piece of jewelry that she was going to wear that evening.
  - Q. Do you remember approximately when this happened?
  - A. I don't want to speculate. No, I don't know exactly.
- Q. Let me ask this. If you can remember, was this before or after her divorce?
- 12 A. After her divorce.
- Q. Do you remember if it was before or after she was dating

  Senator Menendez?
- 15 | A. Probably before. I haven't been there in -- no.
- Q. Have you ever visited that safe deposit box other than the one occasion you just mentioned?
  - A. I don't recall. I don't know.
- Q. OK. OK. Let me show you what's in -- well, let me ask.
  On the one time you went, was Senator Menendez there?
- 21 | A. No.
- 22 MR. FEE: Let me show you what's been admitted as
  23 Defense Exhibit 132. Can we put up 133 right next to it,
  24 please.
  - | Q. Ms. Tabourian, do you have any idea when or who took these

Tabourian - Direct

5884

1 | photos?

2

- A. No, I don't know.
- 3  $\parallel$  Q. OK. But just looking at the contents of the photo -- we
- 4 can zoom in, if you like -- do you recognize any of these
- 5 pieces?
- 6 A. Yes, I do.
- 7 Q. Can you tell me at least some of the items you recognize
- 8 and how you recognize them?
  - A. Yes. I'll start from the left photo?
- 10 | Q. Sure.
- 11 A. Oh, they're the same. OK. No
- 12 OK. I'll start from the left. The blue cross is a Lalique
- 13 cross. My sister and I went to a Lalique sale, and we bought
- 14 | the Lalique ring and cross and I -- I also have the same.
- 15 | The gold coins are -- those tiny little gold coins were
- 16 probably given to her, were given -- I mean, she was gifted --
- 17 her kids were gifted when they were little.
- 18 | Q. Let me just pause and ask what you mean her kids were
- 19 gifted gold coins?
- 20 | A. Well, that's what -- that's what -- you know, when a child
- 21 | is born, when a child is baptized --
- 22 MS. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, we object to this.
- 23 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 24 MS. POMERANTZ: And we move to strike.
- 25 THE COURT: The jury will disregard.

Tabourian - Direct

1 BY MR. FEE:

5

- 2 | Q. Let me just focus you on this particular occasion. When
- 3 Nadine's children were born, did you personally observe any
- 4 gold coins being given to those kids?
  - A. Yes, of course.
    - MS. POMERANTZ: Objection.
- 7 THE COURT: I will allow that.
- And those are the gold -- were two gold coins given to each child when they were born, to your knowledge?
- 10 | THE WITNESS: The kids were given a lot of gold coins.
- 11 THE COURT: OK.
- 12 | THE WITNESS: That's what leads you --
- 13 THE COURT: Thank you. You don't know what those
- 14 particular gold coins were.
- 15 THE WITNESS: No, not --
- 16 THE COURT: All right. Next question.
- 17 | THE WITNESS: -- from this.
- 18 BY MR. FEE:
- 19 Q. Anything else outside of those gold coins that you
- 20 recognize in these photos?
- 21 A. Yes, I recognize most everything.
- 22 | Q. OK. We don't have to go through everything, but when you
- 23 say you recognize --
- 24 A. My mother's pearls, my mother's ring, in the middle, on the
- 25 | blue velvet, yes.

24

25

Α.

time you last saw it?

My sister.

```
1
          The -- at the very, very bottom, the necklace with the --
 2
      it has a sapphire with diamonds on the side, my mother gifted
 3
      that to my sister when my sister had thyroid surgery, and she
 4
      had a scar.
 5
               THE COURT: The question, ma'am, is simply what you
 6
      recognize.
7
               THE WITNESS: Oh, yes. Sorry.
8
               THE COURT: Not the history.
 9
               MS. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, we move to strike.
10
               THE WITNESS: Yes, so, I recognize --
11
               THE COURT: Just a moment.
12
               MR. FEE: Let me cut to the chase.
13
               THE COURT: Just a moment.
14
               The way this works is question, answer. Try just to
15
      answer just the question that's asked.
16
               THE WITNESS: OK.
17
               THE COURT: The question was what do you recognize.
18
               THE WITNESS: OK.
19
               THE COURT: So you said the ring, the this, the that.
20
     Next question.
21
     BY MR. FEE:
22
      Q. My question was too broad. Let me just ask you this,
23
     whatever you recognize in here, to whom did it belong at the
```

1 MR. FEE: One moment, your Honor?

2 Thank you, Ms. Tabourian.

No further questions, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lustberg, anything?

MR. LUSTBERG: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. De Castro.

MR de CASTRO: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Any redirect by the government?

MS. POMERANTZ: Cross, your Honor.

THE COURT: I'm sorry. Any cross by the government?

- CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 12 BY MS. POMERANTZ:
- 13 | Q. Good afternoon.
- 14 A. Hello.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- 15 | Q. You are Nadine Menendez's sister, right?
- 16 A. Yes, I am.
- 17 | Q. She's your only sister, is that right?
- 18 A. Yes, correct.
- 19 Q. And the FBI asked if you would be willing to talk with the
- 20 prosecutors in this case, right?
- 21 A. They left me a voice mail.
- 22 | Q. And you got that voice mail, right?
- 23 A. Yes, I did.
- 24 | Q. You did not speak with the prosecutors, right?
- 25 A. No, I did not.

Tabourian - Cross

- 1 | Q. And you didn't return their call, right?
- 2 A. No, I did not.
- 3  $\parallel$  Q. You met with the defense, though?
- 4 A. Yes, I did.
- 5 Q. About how many times?
- 6 A. Three to four times.
- 7 Q. And during those meetings, you discussed your testimony
- 8 | today with them, right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. You were asked questions about the inventories from your
- 11 | family. Do you remember that?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. And you testified that those inventories list gold your
- 14 | family had, right?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 MS. POMERANTZ: Let's pull up Defense Exhibit 1117.
- 17 | Q. And you testified that this is from --
- 18 MS. POMERANTZ: Let's go to the second page. If we
- 19 can rotate that.
- 20 Q. You testified that this is from February 8, 1976, right?
- 21 A. Yes, I did.
- 22 | Q. And that's -- try to do some math. That's about, almost 48
- 23 | years ago, correct?
- 24 A. I quess.
- 25 | Q. And these inventories from decades ago are the most recent

Tabourian - Cross

- 1 | inventories your family has, right?
- 2 A. My family or my father?
- 3 Q. Well, these are the most recent inventories your father
- 4 has; yes?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 | Q. To your knowledge, there's no written record of the gold
- 7 possessed by your family in the last few decades, right?
- 8 A. I don't recall, no.
- 9 Q. No, there isn't one, right?
- 10 A. I don't think so.
- 11 | Q. Right. And this inventory is an inventory for, you said
- 12 | for valuables in your family, right?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. These inventories do not have serial numbers for the gold,
- 15 | right?
- 16 A. I don't know.
- 17 | Q. You don't see any serial numbers on here, do you,
- 18 Ms. Tabourian?
- 19 A. No, I don't.
- 20 | Q. And these inventories do not list the brands of any of the
- 21 gold, do they?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 | Q. Nowhere on this exhibit do you see the brand Swiss bank,
- 24 | right?
- 25 THE COURT: Do you see the words "Swiss bank" there?

THE WITNESS: No, I don't. I'm trying to look. No, I

- 2 don't.
- 3 BY MS. POMERANTZ:
- 4 Q. Nowhere on this do you see the brand -- I don't know --
- 5 | Credit Suisse?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. You don't see Asahi Refining anywhere on this either,
- 8 | right?
- 9 | A. What?
- 10 | Q. Asahi Refining; you don't see that anywhere on here, right?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 | Q. You don't see Valcambi Suisse, right?
- 13 | A. No, I don't.
- 14 | Q. And the inventories only have one reference to this three
- 15 | kilos of gold bars. Do you see that?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. And it doesn't clarify what form the bars were in, right?
- 18 A. No, it doesn't.
- 19 Q. It does not, right?
- 20 THE COURT: Yes, she agreed it does not.
- MS. POMERANTZ: OK.
- 22 BY MS. POMERANTZ:
- 23  $\parallel$  Q. It just says that the gold weighed a total of three
- 24 | kilograms, right?
- 25 A. Correct.

Tabourian - Cross

- Q. Now, the rest of the items listed in this document are jewelry and gold coins, right?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. And we've established this, you said, was your father's
- 5 | inventory?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. To be clear, Fred Daibes isn't a member of your family,
- 8 | right?
- 9 | A. No, he's not.
- 10 | Q. And Wael Hana isn't a member of your family, right?
- 11 | A. No, he's not.
- 12 | Q. And you testified that you'd seen kilogram gold bars and
- 13 small gold bars at 41 Jane Drive years and years ago, is that
- 14 right?
- 15 A. I said gold, yeah.
- 16 Q. You said gold?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18  $\square$  Q. So not a kilo?
- 19 THE COURT: Did you see gold bars at 41 Jane Drive
- 20 years and years ago?
- 21 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 22 BY MS. POMERANTZ:
- 23 | Q. Do you have any idea whether the serial numbers on the gold
- 24 | bars in the photos that Mr. Fee showed you on direct
- 25 examination correspond with the serial numbers of gold owned by

1 | Fred Daibes and Wael Hana?

A. I don't know.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

17

18

22

MR. FEE: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MS. POMERANTZ:

Q. You don't know -- withdrawn.

7 THE COURT: You're not aware of any serial numbers for

any gold bars, right?

THE WITNESS: No, I'm not.

THE COURT: OK.

BY MS. POMERANTZ:

Q. And you said that you just saw gold generally, right?

THE COURT: At 41 Jane?

14 MS. POMERANTZ: At 41 Jane Drive.

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. You did not see a kilo?

A. No, I -- the gold bars were given to my sister.

Q. But you don't have --

19 THE COURT: No, no. The question -- I'm sorry. Go

ahead.

MS. POMERANTZ: No. Go ahead, your Honor.

OK. Thank you.

One moment.

24 | Q. I understand what you said was given to her. I said you

25 never saw a kilo in the house, correct?

1 A. In her safe.

2 THE COURT: Did you see a one-kilo gold bar in

3 | Nadine's safe?

4

5

6

8

9

THE WITNESS: I don't recall.

THE COURT: OK.

THE WITNESS: Sorry. Sorry.

7 THE COURT: Don't be sorry. If you don't recall, you

don't recall. Perfectly understandable.

BY MS. POMERANTZ:

- 10 Q. You were asked questions, Ms. Tabourian, about the
- 11 relationship between Bob and Nadine. Do you recall that?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. You were not present for every conversation between your
- 14 sister and Robert Menendez during the four-year period between
- 15 | 2018 and 2022?
- 16 A. No. I don't live there.
- 17 | Q. You don't live there?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 | Q. You've spent some time at 41 Jane Drive?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. But you don't live there?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 | Q. You're not there every day, correct?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 | Q. I'm not correct? You didn't live there; you're not there

- 1 | every day, correct?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 | Q. Now, Ms. Tabourian, you have your own family, I believe you
- 4 | testified to?
- 5 A. Yes, I do.
- 6 Q. You have your own children, correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. So you're not spending every day listening to what your
- 9 | sister and your brother-in-law were talking about, right?
- 10 | A. Right.
- 11 | Q. And you have not read their text messages, right?
- 12 | A. Right.
- 13 Q. You have not read them?
- 14 A. I have not read them.
- 15 | Q. And you were asked questions about a time when your sister
- 16 | and Robert Menendez were not together for a period of time. Do
- 17 | you recall that?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. And I believe you said it was in late -- in November of
- 20 2018?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. But your sister did not give up on her relationship with
- 23 | Robert Menendez, right?
- 24 | A. Right.
- 25 | Q. She remained in love with him, right?

- 1  $\blacksquare$  A. Right.
- 2 | Q. She worked hard to get back together with him?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And they got back together by the end of 2018, right?
- 5 A. I don't know exactly when they got back together.
- 6 Q. But they got back together; yes?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And not that far after you sent that message, right?
- 9 A. I sent the message on -- in November 2018.
- 10 | Q. And you said in early 2019, you were at a meal --
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12  $\parallel$  Q. -- with every -- with your father and your children, is
- 13 | that correct?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 | Q. And with your sister and Robert Menendez, right?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 | Q. And you know that after they got back together, you know
- 18 | they spent time together, right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. They spent weekends together in New Jersey, right?
- 21 A. I would assume so.
- 22 | Q. And sometimes your sister went to Washington, D.C., to see
- 23 | Robert Menendez, right?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. And then they got engaged, right?

- 1 A. They got engaged, yes.
  - Q. And that was in October 2019?
- 3 A. I guess so.

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

THE COURT: No. Well, do you know when they were married?

THE WITNESS: They were married in October 2020.

THE COURT: Do you know how long -- let's see if this assists. Do you know how long they had been engaged for at the time they were married?

THE WITNESS: I know they got engaged overseas, in India, so I don't know when that trip was. And that's when they got engaged.

THE COURT: Do you know how far in advance of October 2020 that trip was?

THE WITNESS: No, I don't.

THE COURT: OK.

- 17 BY MS. POMERANTZ:
- 18 | Q. They got engaged, correct?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. And they've been together since that engagement?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. And before that engagement, right?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And Robert Menendez moved in with Nadine to 41 Jane Drive,
- 25 | right?

O71Wmen3 Tabourian - Cross

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. That was before they got married, right?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. Sometime, say, April 2020?
- 5 A. I don't know.
- 6 Q. During Covid?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 | Q. During the beginning of Covid?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. And then they got married?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. Right. And that's October 2020?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. And you did not live at 41 Jane Drive, right?
- 15 | A. No.
- 16 | Q. No, you didn't live there?
- 17 A. No, I did not live there.
- 18 | Q. And you certainly didn't live there with your sister and
- 19 Robert Menendez, did you?
- 20 A. No, I did not.
- 21 Q. And so you don't know when you were not in the house how
- 22 often the closet in the primary bedroom was locked, do you?
- 23 MR. FEE: Objection.
- 24 THE COURT: Sustained. She wasn't there. She
- 25 couldn't know.

O71Wmen3 Tabourian - Cross

- 1 BY MS. POMERANTZ:
- 2 Q. You were not there all the time --
- 3 A. No.
- 4 | Q. -- at 41 Jane Drive?
- 5 You don't know who went into that closet at every point
- 6 between 2018 and 2022, do you?
- 7 | A. No, I don't.
- 8 Q. And you testified that you've seen the inside of both
- 9 closets in that primary bedroom, right?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 | Q. So that's the primary bedroom that was shared by your
- 12 | sister and your brother-in-law, right?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 | Q. And when you saw the inside of those closets, you said
- 15 | Nadine opened those closets in front of you, right?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. She didn't make you leave the room when she opened the
- 18 closets, did she?
- 19 | A. No.
- 20 | Q. She opened them in front of you?
- 21 A. Sure.
- 22 | Q. When Nadine -- she didn't have a nanny when she lived with
- 23 | Robert Menendez, right?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. That was many, many years ago?

071Wmen3 Tabourian - Cross

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Because you said her children are grown?
- 3 A. Exactly.
- 4 | Q. And Nadine never expressed a concern to you that Robert
- 5 Menendez would steal from her closet, did she?
- 6 | A. No.
- 7 | Q. And I believe you said before that you don't know who went
- 8 | into that closet on the right in the primary bedroom shared by
- 9 your sister and your brother-in-law between 2018 and 2022,
- 10 | right?
- 11 MR. FEE: Objection. Misstates.
- 12 A. That's not what I said.
- 13 THE COURT: Just a moment.
- 14 BY MS. POMERANTZ:
- 15 | Q. You don't know who went into that closet at every point
- 16 | between 2018 and 2022?
- 17 THE COURT: I'll allow that.
- 18 MR. FEE: Asked and answered.
- 19 THE COURT: I'll allow it.
- 20 | A. Can you repeat the question, please?
- 21 | Q. You don't know --
- 22 | THE COURT: Do you know who went into that closet at
- 23 all times between 2018 and 2022?
- 24 THE WITNESS: Only my sister. She's the one with the
- 25 key, and it's her closet.

Page 148 of 242 5900 071Wmen3 Tabourian - Cross 1 THE COURT: But the point, I think, that's being made is you didn't live there, right? 2 3 THE WITNESS: No. 4 THE COURT: So you don't really know what was 5 happening on a day-to-day basis, right? THE WITNESS: No, I wouldn't know that. 6 7 BY MS. POMERANTZ: Q. Do you know whose fingerprints were found on envelopes of 8 9 cash inside that closet? 10 THE COURT: Sustained. 11 BY MS. POMERANTZ: Q. Did Nadine ever tell you that when she needed to get 12 13 dressed at 41 Jane Drive she made Robert Menendez leave their bedroom? 14 15 A. No. I don't know. No. 16 Q. You were asked questions about a safe deposit box. Do you 17 remember that? A. Yes. Q. A safe deposit -- and you testified that you went to the bank with Nadine and saw her safe deposit box, right?

- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 She didn't -- she did not tell you to keep the box a
- 23 secret, did she?
- 24 Α. No.
- 25 She let you see the box, right?

O71Wmen3 Tabourian - Cross

A. Yes.

1

2

3

6

7

8

12

15

18

24

Q. You saw the box when you went that day, right?

A. Yes.

4 THE COURT: Did you go with her down to the area where

5 the safe deposit boxes are?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: OK.

BY MS. POMERANTZ:

9 Q. Just to be clear, Ms. Tabourian, you're -- between 2018

10 and -- let me rephrase.

Between 2019 and 2023, you spent some time with your sister

and Robert Menendez?

13 A. Yes.

14 | Q. But you did not see them every day, did you?

MR. FEE: Objection. Asked and answered.

16 THE COURT: Yes. Sustained.

17 BY MS. POMERANTZ:

Q. It's fair to say that you're not physically with your

19 sister all the time, right?

20 MR. FEE: Objection.

21 THE COURT: Sustained. It's self-evident.

22 BY MS. POMERANTZ:

23 Q. You don't know conversations your sister has when you're

not around, right?

25 MR. FEE: Objection.

O71Wmen3 Tabourian - Cross

1 THE COURT: Sustained. Self-evident.

- 2 BY MS. POMERANTZ:
- 3 Q. Did your sister ever tell you to hide the safe from Robert
- 4 Menendez?
- 5 | A. No.
- 6 Q. Did your sister ever tell you to hide anything from Robert
- 7 Menendez?
- 8 A. Never.
- 9 Q. Ms. Tabourian, fair to say that you love your sister very
- 10 much, right?
- 11 A. Of course.
- 12 | Q. You love Robert Menendez also, right?
- 13 A. Absolutely.
- 14 | Q. He's your brother-in-law, right?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And you don't want to see your brother-in-law in trouble,
- 17 do you?
- 18 A. No. Of course not.
- 19 MS. POMERANTZ: No further questions.
- 20 THE COURT: Anything, Mr. Fee?
- 21 MR. FEE: No, your Honor.
- 22 THE COURT: All right. You are excused. You may step
- 23 down, Ms. Tabourian.
- 24 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 25 THE COURT: Thank you.

071Wmen3 (Witness excused) THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, let's take a one-hour lunch break. See you back at two. How long is the next witness? MR. FEE: An hour on direct, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. (Continued on next page) 

(Jury not present)

THE COURT: 2 o'clock. Thank you.

MR. RICHENTHAL: Your Honor, we have one small thing. We wanted to wait for the jury to not be in the room.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. RICHENTHAL: We continue to be deeply concerned about the photos with the heads cut off. We didn't want to make a thing about this in front of the jury. We would ask the defense to represent on the record they were not taken for purposes of this litigation.

THE COURT: They certainly seemed posed. That's for sure.

MR. RICHENTHAL: And again, we didn't want to use that word in front of the jury. If the defense cannot so represent, they should be stricken.

MR. FEE: I can make that representation, your Honor. In fact, the reason you see --

THE COURT: Wait just a moment.

MR. FEE: Yes.

THE COURT: You're making a good faith representation that the headless photos, with the chest and tie, were not taken for purposes of this litigation.

MR. FEE: 100 percent, and that's why the head is cut off. And that's why you can see -- I'm going to mess up the word -- there's like a floating skull in one of the ones you

admitted.

THE COURT: I thought that was on his shirt.

MR. FEE: On his shirt, but then there's also like a thingy that you do on social media. These are social media photos that his mom pulled down, and they cropped it on a few of them so the head wasn't there.

THE COURT: Well, what's important is that you've made a representation that they weren't taken for the purposes of --

MR. FEE: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: -- of the --

MR. FEE: Litigation.

THE COURT: -- litigation.

All right.

MR. RICHENTHAL: And then second, if we could ask the defense to tell us who the next witnesses are. The parties have an agreement to be given witnesses and exhibits 72 hours in advance. That meant as of yesterday we were entitled to witnesses and exhibits through Wednesday. We've asked the question repeatedly and not been told. We think we know who the next witness is, but we're not sure who, if anyone, comes next and what exhibits, if any, come next.

THE COURT: The next witness is Richardson, correct?

MR. RICHENTHAL: I understand that, your Honor. I

meant beyond Richardson.

THE COURT: And he's the accountant, right?

24

25

MR. FEE: Correct. It's for Gannaway and also to the paralegal we'll put on.

1 And then the only other person on our list is still --THE COURT: Is one of those for 2500? 2 3 MR. FEE: Yes. 4 THE COURT: The para. 5 MR. FEE: It's the paralegal, Mr. Nunez. 6 THE COURT: Now, is it 2500 that was just given to the 7 government at, I think, 9 p.m. on Friday night; is that the 8 one? 9 MR. FEE: I believe so, your Honor. 10 MR. RICHENTHAL: Yes, your Honor. 11 MR. FEE: And your Honor, just to note that it is 12 adding two columns to the existing government chart. 13 THE COURT: And apart from the 9 p.m., what's the 14 basis of the objection on 2500? Because I'm quite concerned 15 about the timing on that. It seems to be abusive. 16 MR. RICHENTHAL: It's covered in our letter. 17 THE COURT: You can be seated in the courtroom. 18 MR. RICHENTHAL: It's covered in our letter. 19 Ms. Ghosh is the drafter of the letter, but we can go 20 through it with your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Which ECF number? 22 MS. GHOSH: Your Honor, I don't have that at the 23 moment, but just to be clear, 2500 we did receive a replacement 24 for, but I believe the one that was produced for the first time 25 on Friday evening at 9 p.m. was a summary chart, 1305, that was

a very -- much more lengthy than 2500. 2500 is the cash-envelope chart with the additional, as we said in our letter, argumentative and inaccurate columns added. 1305 was a different exhibit. That's the 9 p.m. one that was addressed in the letter we submitted on Saturday afternoon, and that was docket No. 490.

THE COURT: 490.

MS. GHOSH: No, your Honor. I believe that was -- letter 493 is the one related to 2500, the envelope and cash chart.

MR. FEE: Your Honor, citing the legal doctrine that what's good for the goose is good for the gander, I don't think the process objections are well noted. For all of these charts they have had the exhibits for weeks. The 2500 chart essentially just puts in two columns that reflect the math we did on cross.

THE COURT: I understand. The math you did. That's math that you can argue to the jury, if you wish. I don't know that the two columns are necessary.

MR. FEE: But the defense can make choices about what to put in charts.

THE COURT: All right. I've heard enough. I've heard enough.

Who after Gannaway and the paralegal?

MR. FEE: It's just our client, which obviously is

1 still under consideration, your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes. So Gannaway, what's the expected length of direct on Gannaway?

MR. WEITZMAN: Your Honor, I'm sorry. Let me just supplement. There is the issue of the deposition of Mr. Critchley.

THE COURT: Thank you. We forgot Critchley, and that's another Sunday special. All right.

MR. RICHENTHAL: Finally, when I referenced exhibits and witnesses, the parties' agreement includes exhibits moved in through stipulations irrespective of whether a witness is on the stand. So in accord with that, we asked last night for the defense to give us the list of remaining exhibits in this case; that is, through Wednesday of this week. We were not given any such list.

I'm not trying to complain. We just would like to see the list so if we can determine if we have objections.

MR. WEITZMAN: Sorry, your Honor. They know what exhibits. It's the summary charts with Gannaway and it's the summary charts with Nunez. There are no other exhibits --

THE COURT: Is Nunez the paralegal?

MR. WEITZMAN: Yes.

-- other than the exhibits that are referenced in the summary charts which are the subject of numerous objections.

THE COURT: Numerous Sunday objections through

midnight.

MR. RICHENTHAL: That was the first we've heard of that. We accept that representation.

THE COURT: All right. Fine.

Now may I have the answer to my question.

MR. WEITZMAN: What is your question? I'm so sorry, your Honor.

THE COURT: What's the expected direct on Gannaway, subject, of course, to the objections that are the midnight objections?

Go ahead.

MR. WEITZMAN: Your Honor, Mr. Gannaway -- let me take a step back. The summary charts summarize things that both Senator Menendez wanted to put in and also things that Will Hana wants to put in.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. WEITZMAN: So what we've discussed is we that will lead the direct of Mr. Gannaway, in the first instance, and then it will be continued by Mr. Hana's counsel rather than re-call him unless you prefer he get re-called in Mr. Hana's case, and it would be not a cross but a direct of him by Mr. Lustberg.

THE COURT: Is there any objection to that by the government? Doesn't seem that there should be.

MR. MARK: No, your Honor.

071Wmen3

THE COURT: OK.

I'll say for the record that Mr. Hana's attorney was able to work out largely, if not entirely, all of his objections with the government. Much appreciated.

Go ahead. Gannaway, what's the timing for him? I still have my question.

(Continued on next page)

1	MR. WEITZMAN: Yes. I'm just conferring with defense
2	counsel.
3	THE COURT: Go ahead.
4	MR. WEITZMAN: I think we are trying to streamline it.
5	It will be, I expect, no more than three hours. We are not
6	going through every line entry and we are going to let it rest
7	for summation.
8	THE COURT: And the para?
9	MR. WEITZMAN: Half an hour.
LO	THE COURT: So that probably will take around a day,
L1	three and a half hours. And with the three hours is including
12	Mr. Lustberg's direct?
L3	MR. WEITZMAN: Yes, your Honor.
L4	THE COURT: That will take about a day. All right.
L5	As long as I have some issue.
L6	Who is next? That's it? Oh, if Senator Menendez
L7	decides to testify.
L8	MR. WEITZMAN: Correct, your Honor. And
L9	Mr. Richardson is the next witness.
20	THE COURT: You have given me your witnesses?
21	MR. WEITZMAN: I'm sorry. Yes.
22	THE COURT: OK. Sir?
23	MR. LUSTBERG: Thank you, your Honor.
24	Obviously our case depends upon a ruling that we are
25	awaiting from the Court because the government has essentially

moved to preclude most of our case. But, if there is anybody, if the Court allows the additional witnesses, we provided the Court with an updated list including all the exhibits as we are required to do. I think the most -- we gave them an updated version last night.

THE COURT: Of what?

MR. LUSTBERG: Who our witnesses are and what exhibits will be introduced through them.

THE COURT: OK.

MR. LUSTBERG: Depending on what the Court rules, even if the Court lets it all in, we are talking about half a day.

MR. RICHENTHAL: And just for clarity, your Honor,
Mr. Hana's counsel did give us notice last night in accord with
the agreement, which we appreciate.

THE COURT: The Hana-government journey has been a lot smoother than the Menendez-government journey.

Mr. de Castro?

MR. DE CASTRO: Your Honor ruled on our motion regarding specific instances of gift giving.

THE COURT: Yes, sir. I don't have anything pending from you.

MR. DE CASTRO: That's correct. And we conferred with the government over the weekend regarding witnesses we were considering calling and we advised them yesterday that we are not going to call any witnesses on our case.

THE COURT: Subject, of course, to whether Mr. Daibes testifies. MR. DE CASTRO: That's correct, Judge. THE COURT: Thank you. That's all very helpful. 2:00. (Luncheon recess) (Continued on next page) 

## 

AFTERNOON SESSION
-------------------

2:15 p.m.

THE COURT: Please, be seated.

MR. FEE: Your Honor, should we put the next witness on the stand?

THE COURT: Yes. I said please be seated simply because my deputy needs to get the jurors together.

MR. MARK: Your Honor, if we can have a moment?

I wanted to note for the record, given there are so many evidentiary matters before your Honor, that during the lunch break, the government and Hana's counsel have continued to have productive conversations to try to resolve issues. There is one more that we think we will be able to take off your Honor's plate.

THE COURT: Please.

MR. MARK: That relates to IS EG employee no. 5 who is referenced in two places in the pending motions; one, dealing with the government's motion to preclude certain witness testimony. And so the government is withdrawing the motion --

THE COURT: What ECF?

MR. MARK: Docket 485, and particularly page five deals with IS EG employee no. 5.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. MARK: And IS EG employee no. 5 is also dealt with on Docket 488, which is the motion dealing with the summary

charts, and the matters concerning IS EG employee no. 5 are page 6 to page 7. So, I expect that the government and Hana's counsel will be able to resolve all those issues and your Honor doesn't need to worry about them.

THE COURT: All of those issues meaning your discussions that led to withdrawal of a single objection of scores and scores; right? But progress nonetheless.

MR. MARK: It is one witness and certainly exhibits without witness.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Bring this jury in.

I encourage continued discussions.

MR. FEE: Your Honor, Mr. Gross will be conducting this examination, just so you know.

(Continued on next page)

0715men4 Richardson - Direct

(Jury present)

THE COURT: Mr. Mark, you heard me that I encourage those discussions, correct?

Please be seated. Call your next witness.

MR. GROSS: Senator Menendez calls Russell Richardson.

RUSSELL RICHARDSON,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

called as a witness by the Defendant Menendez,

having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

THE DEPUTY CLERK: State your name and spell your full name for the record.

THE WITNESS: Russell Richardson.

THE COURT: Welcome, sir, and good afternoon.

THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.

THE COURT: Please speak loudly and clearly into the microphone. You can move it up toward your face a little bit, it is a directional mic.

Your witness, Mr. Gross.

MR. GROSS: Thank you, your Honor.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. GROSS:

- Mr. Richardson, what do you do for a living?
- 22 I'm a forensic accountant. Α.
- What is a forensic accountant? 23 Q.
- 24 A forensic accountant is an individual who applies Α. 25 accounting and investigative techniques in analyzing financial

- records of businesses and individuals. In essence, following the money.
  - Q. What is your educational background, Mr. Richardson?
- 4 A. I have a BS in accounting from SUNY Albany. I am also a
- 5 certified public accountant and a certified fraud examiner.
- 6 | Q. Where do you work?
  - A. I work for Guidepost Solutions.
- 8 Q. Prior to working at Guidepost Solutions, where did you
- 9 work?

- 10 A. For approximately 25 years I was a special agent with the
- 11 United States Treasury, IRS, criminal investigation.
- 12 Q. Mr. Richardson, are you being compensated for your time
- 13 today?
- 14 A. I personally am not being compensated for my time but my
- 15 | firm is.
- 16 | Q. And have you been compensated for your work on this case
- 17 | thus far?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. Have you personally been compensated?
- 20 A. No. I apologize. No, I have not.
- 21 | Q. Do you know the hourly rate at which your firm bills you?
- 22 | A. No, I do not.
- 23 | Q. Mr. Richardson, did there come a time when you were
- 24 | approached by counsel for Senator Menendez and asked to do some
- 25 | forensic accounting work on this case?

A. Yes.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. And what types of accounting work were you asked to perform?
  - A. I was asked to perform two assignments. The first was analyzing the bank accounts of the senator, analyzing deposits and disbursements from that account and preparing summary charts; and then the second was analyze the cash withdrawals
    - Q. And who provided you with the account statements that you needed to do your work?
- 11 A. The defense team.

throughout the bank accounts.

- Q. And who gave you instructions about what to look for in those statements?
- 14 A. The defense team.
- Q. To perform your work, did you need to consolidate data from underlying bank statements in a central location such as an
- 17 | Excel spreadsheet?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you do that work yourself or were you assisted by others?
- 21 A. I was assisted by others in my company.
- Q. At a high level, can you explain the process for doing that
- work, by which I mean consolidating data from bank records into
- 24 | a central location?
- 25 A. Yes. I instructed my team to review the bank statements as

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

well as the credit card statements that we were requested to review, and prepare summaries of that data which consisted of the beginning balance for the bank accounts, that would be the deposits less any disbursements, and the ending balance, and to complete that task for each month of the records we had available.

Similarly, for the credit card statements, we requested them to do, beginning balance, charges, any payments and credits and the ending balance.

- Did your team use any quality control measures to ensure that you were accurately transposing data?
- A. Yes. Once the data was sent to me, I compiled it all in a central location and then I went back and sampled the statements to ensure that the data that they provided to me was accurate. Also, given the nature of the work that we were doing, specifically with the bank statements and the credit cards, when you track the beginning balance plus the credit cards, the purchases less payments and credits, you come up with the ending balance. Subsequently, the next month, that statement, the beginning balance is the ending balance so I asked the team, as well as upon myself, look at it and make sure that those items match as you continued. When it came to the bank statements, as you are working with them, follow a similar process. You have the beginning balance, you have the deposits, less any disbursements, giving you the ending

- balance, and make sure they match as you proceeded.
- Q. How did those quality control measures compare to those you
- 3 have taken throughout your career as a forensic accountant?
- 4 A. They were very similar given the type of work that was
- 5 being conducted.
- 6 Q. Mr. Richardson, did you prepare a summary chart or a
- 7 summary exhibit summarizing your analysis in this case?
- 8 A. Yes, I did.
- 9 MR. GROSS: Mr. Kelly, can we put up for the witness
- 10 and the lawyers what's been marked for identification as
- 11 DX 2513?
- 12 Q. Mr. Richardson, is the first page of the summary exhibit
- 13 | that you prepared summarizing your findings?
- 14 A. Yes, it is.
- 15 MR. GROSS: Your Honor, we move to admit Defendant's
- 16 Exhibit 2513, as well as the underlying exhibits cited therein
- 17 | that are not already in evidence, and those are exhibits
- 18 DX 2191, DX 2192, DX 2193, and DX 2080.
- 19 THE COURT: Admitted, without objection.
- 20 | (Defendant's Exhibits 2513, 2191, 2192, 2193, 2080)
- 21 received in evidence)
- 22 MR. GROSS: Can we publish that, please?
- 23 BY MR. GROSS:
- 24 | Q. Mr. Richardson, what does this slide reflect?
- 25 A. This slide reflects the various financial accounts -- well,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

20

the first five represent the financial accounts that I analyzed and summarized the account activity consisting of beginning balances for the bank accounts, deposits, withdrawals, ending balance, and for credit cards beginning balance, charges, less any payments, credits, and ending balance.

- I notice you said for the first five. For the last account marked no. 6, did you analyze any transactions in that account?
- I did not analyze any transactions in that account.
- With respect to the Congressional Federal Credit Union account marked no. 6, what did you analyze?
- I analyzed the account opening and closing documents.
- 12 Q. Thank you.
- 13 Who instructed you which accounts to review,
- 14 Mr. Richardson?
- Defense counsel. 15 Α.
  - MR. GROSS: Can we go to the second slide of the summary chart, please?
- 18 Q. Mr. Richardson, at a high level, what does this page of 19 your summary exhibit reflect?
- Senate Federal Credit Union for the year 2018. It compiled the 21

It reflects the banking activity conducted in the U.S.

- total number of deposits, as well as disbursements, throughout 22
- 23 the year.
- 24 This may go without saying, but in whose name is this account?
- 25

- 1 A. The account is in Senator Menendez' name.
  - Q. At which financial institution?
- 3 A. United States Senate Federal Credit Union.
- 4 | Q. Directing your attention to the chart on the right side of
- 5 this slide, and in particular the row marked U.S. Senate
- 6 | Salary; do you see that, sir?
- 7 A. Yes, I do.
  - Q. Do you see the number listed in the column Deposits?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. Can you read that number, please?
- 11 A. \$87,834.
- 12 | Q. What does that number represent?
- 13 A. That represents the total number of deposits into the
- 14 | federal credit union's bank account throughout 2018, and it was
- 15 | demarked with -- it was an item noted as a deposit from the
- 16 U.S. Senate salary.
- MR. GROSS: Mr. Kelly, can we put up, just for the
- 18 witness and the lawyers, what's been marked for identification
- 19 as DX 5H-102-1? Can we zoom in on the top portion? That's
- 20 great. Thank you.
- 21 | Q. Mr. Richardson, do you recognize this document?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23  $\parallel$  Q. What is it?
- 24 | A. It is a bank account statement for the Senate Federal
- 25 Credit Union for the period February 1 through February 28,

Richardson - Direct

5924

1 2018.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

MR. GROSS: Your Honor, we offer DX 5H-102-1.

MS. GHOSH: Your Honor, we note for the record that this is an excerpt of a government exhibit that's already in evidence 5H-102, but we have no objection to the excerpt marked as defendant's exhibit.

THE COURT: This excerpt is admitted.

(Defendant's Exhibit 5H-102-1 received in evidence)

MR. GROSS: Thank you, your Honor.

Can we publish that please, Mr. Kelly?

BY MR. GROSS:

- Q. Mr. Richardson, what date range does this bank statement reflect?
- 14 A. It reflects a date range of February 1, 2018, through 15 February 28, 2018.
- 16 | Q. How many accounts are reflected on this statement?
- 17 A. There are two accounts. There is a primary savings and a checking account.
- Q. Directing your attention in the section marked checking beginning balance; do you see that, sir?
- 21 **|** A. Yes.
- Q. To an entry dated February 1, 2018, the first entry, can you read what is written in the detail columns?
- A. Yes. Deposit ACH U.S. Senate. Type Fed salary CO: U.S.

25 | Senate.

- 1 | Q. Can you read the amount with that transaction, please?
- 2 A. \$3,382.60.
- 3 | Q. What do you understand this transaction to represent?
- 4 | A. A deposit for Senator Menendez' services as a U.S. senator.
  - Q. Did you include this deposit on your summary chart within
- 6 the row marked U.S. Senate Salary for the year 2018?
- 7 A. Yes, I did.
- 8 MR. GROSS: Mr. Kelly, can you scroll down slightly?
- 9 Q. Directing your attention to an entry marked February 16,
- 10 2008, with the same entry in the detail column. Do you see
- 11 | that, sir?
- 12 A. Yes.

- 13 | Q. Do you see the associated value of this transaction?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. Did you also include this transaction in the row marked
- 16 U.S. Senate Salary on the summary chart slide for the year
- 17 | 2018?
- 18 A. Yes.
- MR. GROSS: We can take that down, Mr. Kelly, and we
- 20 can go back to slide 2 of the summary chart.
- 21 | Q. Mr. Richardson, in populating the value of deposits for the
- 22 | year 2018 in the row marked U.S. Senate Salary, did you
- 23 | undertake substantially the same work for the remaining months
- 24 of 2018 that we just went through?
- 25 A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. And so, what does the \$87,834 figure on that chart represent?
  - A. It represents the sum of all items that were deposited into the bank account that were classified or annotated as U.S.
  - Senate salary.
  - Q. Thank you.
    - THE COURT: So I take it this represents a portion of Mr. Menendez' Senate salary; is that correct?
      - THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.
    - THE COURT: All right. It is the portion that was deposited into this bank account; is that correct?
- 12 | THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.
- 13 THE COURT: During that year.
- 14 THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.
- 15 THE COURT: OK.
- 16 MR. GROSS: Thank you, your Honor.
- 17 BY MR. GROSS:
- Q. Directing your attention, Mr. Richardson, to the second row in the chart on the right side of the screen marked U.S. Senate
- 20 Expense Reimbursement. Do you see that, sir?
- 21 **|** A. Yes.
- 22 Q. What does that row refer to?
- A. It refers to deposits into the bank account that were annotated as expense reimbursement from the U.S. Senate.
- MR. GROSS: Mr. Kelly, can we put up just for the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

witness and lawyers what's been marked for identification as DX 5H-102-2? Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Richardson, let me ask you this -- you may not know it -- is a federal credit union a bank?

THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I can't speak to the differences between a bank and a credit union. I know there are some differences but I am not versed in that.

THE COURT: OK. Thank you.

Can you say what a federal credit union is? Again, if it is beyond your expertise, you don't have to say anything.

THE WITNESS: My understanding of a credit union, it is usually open to specific types of individuals based on their association, in this instance belonging to the Senate or maybe there is the teachers federal credit union where you would be a teacher. It usually is open to people that may be in a certain type of work or affiliation with a club and so forth, and some people may be excluded from it. Other than that basic --

THE COURT: Open for what purposes? You said it is open to --

THE WITNESS: Open for membership application and conducting banking activity.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. GROSS: Thank you, your Honor.

BY MR. GROSS:

Q. Mr. Richardson, do you recognize this document?

Richardson - Direct

5928

1 | A. Yes.

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

25

Q. What is it?

A. It is a bank statement relating to the Senate Federal
Credit Union in Senator Menendez' name.

MR. GROSS: Your Honor, noting that this is an excerpt of a government exhibit already in evidence, we offer DX 5H-102-2.

THE COURT: Admitted, without objection.

(Defendant's Exhibit 5H-102-2 received in evidence)

MR. GROSS: Thank you.

Mr. Kelly, can you zoom in on the top portion of the statement, please?

BY MR. GROSS:

- Q. Mr. Richardson, what is the date range of the statement?
  - A. The date range is April 1, 2018, through April 30, 2018.
- 16 Q. A moment ago we talked about the row on your summary chart
- 17 | marked U.S. Senate Reimbursement. Do you recall that?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Directing your attention to the row dated April 20, 2018,
- 20 can you please read what's in the descriptor column?
- 21 A. Yes. Deposit ACH U.S. Senate Type Reimburse CO: U.S.
- 22 | Senate.
- 23 | Q. Can you read the amount of this transaction, please?
- 24 A. Yes. \$4,617.
  - Q. What do you understand this transaction to reflect?

2

8

9

10

Richardson - Direct

- 1 A. To be a deposit for a reimbursement of expenses.
  - Q. Do you know what expenses this deposit is reimbursing?
- 3 A. No, I do not.
- 4 | Q. And did you include this transaction --
- THE COURT: I take it -- well, do you know that it is a reimbursement of expenses or simply that it is some reimbursement?
  - THE WITNESS: I know it to be a reimbursement, your Honor. My assumption was it was for expenses.
  - THE COURT: All right.
- 11 BY MR. GROSS:
- Q. Mr. Richardson, did you include this transaction on your
- 13 summary chart for the year 2018 in the row marked U.S. Senate
- 14 Reimbursement?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 MR. GROSS: Mr. Kelly, can we scroll down slightly on 17 this row? Thank you. That's great.
- 18 Q. Mr. Richardson, directing your attention to the entry in
- 19 the checking account with the date April 3, 2018; do you see
- 20  $\parallel$  that, sir?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. And do you see that it references in the description
- 23 column: Type fed salary, U.S. Senate?
- 24 A. I do.
- 25  $\parallel$  Q. And do you see the amount is \$3,587.96?

Richardson - Direct

5930

1 | A. Yes.

Q. Did you include this transaction not in the Senate reimbursement portion of your chart but in the Senate salary

4 portion of the chart?

A. Yes.

5

6

7

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Mr. Richardson, by the way, do you understand this to reflect Senator Menendez' salary before or after taxes?
- A. I would assume it is after the withholding of taxes, that's his net salary deposit.
  - Q. The amount he was actually paid. Is that what you understand?
  - MS. GHOSH: Objection.
- 13 | A. Yes.

THE COURT: That's your assumption, correct?

THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: It is your assumption. All right. I will allow it. Well, just a moment. Do you know that that \$3,587.96 is the totality of what he was paid in his salary for that time period?

THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I do not know what the senator's gross salary for that pay period would have been, whether this is the net or gross.

THE COURT: Assume for the purposes of my question that it is a net. Do you know that that sum, in fact, was the entire net payment to him?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

THE WITNESS: I know it's the entire net amount that was deposited in this bank account on that day.

THE COURT: OK.

MR. GROSS: Thank you.

Mr. Kelly, can we flip back to slide 2 on the summary chart, please?

BY MR. GROSS:

- Q. Mr. Richardson, directing your attention to the row marked U.S. Senate Salary on the chart on the right side of the slide.
- 10 Do you see that?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. And the U.S. Senate salary payment that we just
- highlighted, did you include that in the corresponding deposits
- 14 cell?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And we also highlighted a transaction that you understood
- 17 | to represent an expense reimbursement. Do you remember that?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Did you include that transaction in the deposit cell for
- 20 U.S. Senate expense reimbursements for 2018?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. And for the remaining months of 2018, did you undertake
- 23 substantially the same work, that is, reviewing bank statements
- 24 | and identifying these transactions to populate the cells marked
- 25 U.S. Senate salary and U.S. Senate expense reimbursement?

Richardson - Direct

5932

 $1 \parallel A. \text{ Yes.}$ 

- Q. Mr. Richardson, directing your attention to the row marked
- 3 Credit Card Payments; do you see that, sir?
- 4 | A. Yes.
- Q. And I notice that the figure this time falls under the
- 6 | column "Disburse" rather than "deposits". Do you see that?
- 7 A. Yes.

8

15

16

17

- Q. What is the significance of that, please?
- 9 A. It represents disbursements from the 2018 Senate federal credit union that went to the payment of credit cards.
- Q. Just to clarify. By disbursement, what do you mean by that?
- 13 A. That the money was an outlay, the money flowed out of the account versus coming into the account.
  - MR. GROSS: Mr. Kelly, can we pull back up what's now in evidence as DX 5H-102-2 and can we please zoom in on that?

    Thank you. And can you please highlight for the witness the entry in the checking balance dated April 11, 2018?
- Q. Mr. Richardson, would you please read the second line of the descriptor column for this transaction?
- 21 A. Processed check American Express.
- 22 | Q. And the amount, please?
- 23 A. \$906.87.
- 24 | Q. And what did you understand this transaction to reflect?
- 25 A. I understood it to be a payment to American Express.

MR. GROSS: Can we take that down and put up for the witness what has been marked for identification as DX 2193-1?

- Q. Mr. Richardson, do you recognize this document?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

20

21

- O. What is it?
- A. It is an American Express credit card statement for Robert Menendez.
  - MR. GROSS: Your Honor, we offer DX 2193-1, and note it is an excerpt of already in evidence, DX 2193?
    - THE COURT: Admitted, without objection.
  - (Defendant's Exhibit 2193-1 received in evidence)
- MR. GROSS: Thank you, can you publish that,
- 13 Mr. Kelly?
- 14 BY MR. GROSS:
- Q. As we discussed a moment ago, Mr. Richardson, what is this document?
- 17 A. It is an American Express credit card statement.
- 18 Q. For whom?
- 19 A. Robert Menendez.
  - MR. GROSS: Mr. Kelly, can we please go to the third page of this document? Can you zoom in on the portion labeled detail and then under it, it reads payments? Thank you.
- Q. Mr. Richardson, do you see the line under the heading Payments, dated April 10, 2018?
- 25 A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1 Q. And what does it say in the descriptor column?

4/11 entry on the checking balance? Thank you.

- A. Payment received ACH. Thank you.
- Q. And what is the amount?
- A. \$906.87.
- MR. GROSS: Mr. Kelly, can we leave this up, please, and then put below it or side by side, whichever is easier, the transaction we were just looking at on DX 5H-102-2 and zoom in on the checking balance, and then if you could highlight the
- Q. Mr. Richardson, I think you testified a moment ago that you understood the transaction on 4/11/2018 reflected on the Senate Federal Credit Union statement to reflect a payment to American
- 13 Express; is that right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. How do the amounts of that transaction compare to the amount of the payment reflected on the American Express
- 17 statement?
- 18 A. They agree.
- 19 Q. And what about the dates? Are those the same?
- 20 A. The dates differ.
- Q. Do you have an understanding of -- do you understand that sometimes it takes a day or two for a check to clear?
- 23 MS. GHOSH: Objection. Leading. Foundation.
- 24 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 25 Q. I will try again. As a general matter, Mr. Richardson, are

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you aware, one way or the other, whether a check payment out of a bank account appears on a bank statement immediately?

MS. GHOSH: Objection.

THE COURT: I will allow it.

- A. There is usually a lag between when a payment is reflected on a statement such as this, a credit card statement, and when the payment amount clears in the bank account.
- Q. Based on the dates, descriptors, and amounts of these payments, do you have an understanding of whether these reflect the same transaction or a different transaction, two payments -- the two transactions reflected on the screen?
- A. They reflect the same transaction.
- Q. Thank you.

THE COURT: Why do you say that? Because it is the same amount?

THE WITNESS: The dates are close, your Honor, it is the same amount, and it was a payment for an American Express account.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. GROSS: We can take that down. Thank you, Mr. Kelly.

- Q. I should have asked you Mr. Richardson, did you include that transaction in the row marked Credit Card Payments on your summary chart for 2018?
- A. Yes, I did.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. With respect to all of the credit card payments that you identified for the year 2018, did you undertake substantially the same work we just demonstrated to the jury?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Can you explain how you went about populating the row marked Credit Card Payments?
  - A. Yes. I first analyzed all the bank account statements to identify payments that went to credit cards. Additionally, I reviewed the credit card statements themselves, found corresponding amounts; and thirdly, I reviewed cancelled checks that were provided and that coincided with the same dollar amounts and dates in the general vicinity and only then, once upon reviewing all three facts, quantified them as a payment to a credit card.
- Q. With that description, can you explain then what the \$31,278 figure represents?
- A. That is the aggregate total for 2018 of all credit card payments.
- Q. Mr. Richardson, directing your attention to the row marked Other Disburse. Do you see that, sir?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. What does that row reflect?
- A. That row reflects the sum of disbursements from the 2018
  U.S. Senate federal credit union that does not fall in the
  other categories on the disbursement side, specifically credit

- card payments, New Jersey rental payments, Washington, D.C.
  rental payments, and cash withdrawals.
  - Q. Directing your attention to the row marked New Jersey
    Rental Payments, what is the amount that appears in the
- 5 Disbursement column?
- 6 A. \$20,000.

4

7

8

11

12

13

16

- Q. What does that summarize? What does that \$20,000 summarize?
- 9 A. It summarizes payments for a rental in New Jersey for the year 2018.
  - MR. GROSS: Mr. Kelly, can we please pull back up what is already in evidence as DX 5H-102-1? Can we please zoom in on the bottom portion? Thanks.
- Q. Mr. Richardson, what do you understand this portion of the account statement to represent?
  - A. It represents a summary of checks that cleared in the current month.
- Q. And directing your attention to the row marked no. 597, what is the amount of that check?
- 20 | A. \$2,000.
- MR. GROSS: Mr. Kelly, can we pull up just for the
  witness and the lawyers what's been marked for identification
  as DX 5H-102-4?
- 24 | Q. Mr. Richardson, do you recognize this document?
- 25 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

- Q. What is it?
- A. It's check no. 597 in the amount of \$2,000.

MR. GROSS: Your Honor, we offer DX 5H-102-4 again an excerpt of a government exhibit already in evidence?

THE COURT: Admitted, without objection.

(Defendant's Exhibit 5H-102-4 received in evidence)

MR. GROSS: Thank you. And can we put that up for the jury, please? And Mr. Kelly, if we could leave this check up and then pull back up the portion of the bank statement that we were just looking at, the credit union statement?

BY MR. GROSS:

- Q. Mr. Richardson, before I ask you any questions -- well, how does the number of this check compare to the number of the check in the row we just discussed on the bank statement?
- A. They agree.
- 16 | O. And how about the amount of the check?
  - A. The amount agrees as well.
    - Q. And Mr. Richardson, directing your attention to the memo line of this check, which I recognize is a little difficult to read so maybe zoom in, I would like to ask you to read what is written in the memo line.
- A. Sure. February 2018, rent for 330 Angelo -- I can't read that -- drive, apartment 326.
  - Q. Based on the memo line of the check, the date of the check and the amount of the check, what did you understand this check

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

17

to represent?

- A. A payment for rent.
- Q. Drawn from what bank account?
  - A. The Senate Federal Credit Union.
  - MR. GROSS: Can we please put up -- we will take down-4, please, and put up just for the witness and the lawyers what's been marked for identification as DX 5H-102-5, that will be just for the lawyers.
- Q. Mr. Richardson, do you recognize this document?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. What is it?
- 12 A. It's check no. 598.
- 13 | Q. Drawn from what bank account?
- 14 A. Drawn from United States Senate Federal Credit Union.
- MR. GROSS: Your Honor, we offer DX 5H-102-5, again an excerpt of a Government Exhibit already in evidence.
  - THE COURT: Admitted, without objection.
- 18 MR. GROSS: Thank you.
- 19 (Government's Exhibit 5H-102-5 received in evidence)
- MR. GROSS: Mr. Kelly, can you leave this up and pull
  up the statement we were just reviewing Summary of Checks? And
  this time can you please highlight the entry marked 598? Thank
- 23 you.

- 24 BY MR. GROSS:
  - Q. Mr. Richardson, how do the number of the check and the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- number reflected on the Summary of Checks on Senator Menendez'

  Senate Federal Credit Union bank statement compare?
  - A. The date and the amount -- excuse me, the number and the amount agree.
  - Q. Thank you.
  - And if you could please read -- and I will ask Mr. Kelly to zoom in again -- the memo line on this check? To the best of your ability.
- A. February 2018 rent per 20-8th Street, apartment 2, Northeast, D.C.
- Q. Thank you. Based on the amount of the check, the number of the check, and the memo line, what do you understand this check to reflect?
  - A. I understand it to be a rental payment for a property in Washington, D.C.
  - MR. GROSS: Mr. Kelly, we can take that down and we can flip back to summary chart slide no. 2.
  - Q. Directing your attention, Mr. Richardson, to the rows

    marked New Jersey Rental Payments and Washington, D.C. Rental

    Payments. Do you see those, sir?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- Q. To populate each of those rows, did you actually identify rent checks for each month of 2018?
- 24 A. Yes.
  - Q. Did you undertake the same work we just did in front of the

Union account?

- jury, that is, compare the check to a corresponding record of the check being drawn on the senator's Senate Federal Credit
- 4 | A. Yes.

3

- Q. Directing your attention to the row marked Cash Withdrawals; do you see that, sir?
- 7 | A. Yes.
  - Q. What does that reflect?
- 9 A. It reflects withdrawals of cash throughout 2018 from the 10 Senate Federal Credit Union.
- Q. Is there any limitation that you place on the value of withdrawals that are reflected in this chart?
- 13 | A. No.
- Q. So I want to make sure I understand. Any cash withdrawal reflected on a Senate Federal Credit Union bank statement in the year 2018 that you were able to identify would be reflected in this chart?
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. Directing your attention now to the totals row, can you explain to the jury what that represents?
- A. Yes. The totals represent the sum of the items identified above in that particular column. For example, for deposits which total \$112,170, that would be the total of the U.S.

  Senate salary at \$87,834, U.S. Senate Expense Reimbursement \$23,823, non-cash deposits at \$513. And similarly, for the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

\$109,274, it would be sum of all the disbursements listed above.

Q. Thank you.

Finally directing your attention to the row marked Net Increase in Bank Account for 2018; do you see that, sir?

- A. Yes.
- Q. What does that figure reflect?
- A. It represents or reflects the difference between the deposits, which in this case is \$112,170, less the disbursements which was \$109,274.
- Q. With respect to what bank account?
- 12 A. United States Senate Federal Credit Union.
  - Q. Thank you.

Let's flip, please, to the next slide of the summary 2019 and I am certainly not going to ask you to go through these again, but I do want to ask you with respect to completing the U.S. Senate salary row on the chart on the right side of the slide, can you describe the work you did to populate that entry?

A. Yes. It would be similar to what we described concerning 2018 where I reviewed the bank statements for the United States Senate Federal Credit Union for 2019 and any deposit that had the demarcation of U.S. Senate salary was added together and resulted in the sum that you see \$89,960.

THE COURT: Did you go through the same procedures for

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

15

1 2019 that you just testified to for 2018?

THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.

- Q. And is that the case with respect to what the Judge just asked you, did you go through the same procedures. Is that the case with the row marked U.S. Senate Expense Reimbursement?
- THE COURT: Is it true for each and every line there, sir?

THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor; for every item listed on the chart.

- Q. Mr. Richardson, directing your attention to the row marked New Jersey Pension. Do you see that, sir?
- 12 A. Yes.
  - Q. Was that reflected on the 2018 page of the -- I'm sorry.
- Was there an entry for New Jersey pension on the 2018 page of

the summary chart?

- 16 A. No, it was not.
- 17 | Q. Why not?
- 18 A. There was no deposits that I categorized as New Jersey
  19 pension.
- 20 MR. GROSS: Can we put up, please, what's been marked 21 as DX 5H-102-6, just for the witness and the lawyers?
- 22 | Q. Mr. Richardson, do you recognize this document?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. What is it?
- 25 A. It is a bank statement for the Senate Federal Credit Union.

0715men4

Richardson - Direct

5944

1 | Q. In whose name?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

A. Robert Menendez.

MR. GROSS: Your Honor, we offer DX 5H-102-6, again an excerpt of a government exhibit already in evidence.

THE COURT: Admitted, without objection.

(Defendant's Exhibit 5H-102-6 received in evidence)

MR. GROSS: Can we publish that, please?

BY MR. GROSS:

- Q. Mr. Richardson, what is the date range of this statement?
- 10 | A. March 1, 2019, through March 31, 2019.
- 11 MR. GROSS: And Mr. Kelly, can you scroll down to near 12 the bottom of the page, the portion reflecting the checking
- 13 balances? A little further please. There you go.
- 14 Q. Mr. Richardson, directing your attention to the entry dated
- 15 | March 29, 2019; do you see that, sir?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. What did you understand the descriptor column of this
- 18 | transaction to represent?
- 19 A. I understood it to mean a deposit from the Department of
- 20 Pensions from New Jersey.
- 21 | Q. And what was the amount of this particular deposit?
- 22 A. \$1,066.66.
- 23 | Q. Did you include this deposit in the row on your summary
- 24 chart marked New Jersey Pension for the year 2019?
- 25 A. Yes.

0715men4

Richardson - Direct

5945

- Q. Did you review bank statements for the remaining months of 2 2019 and identify similar transactions?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you include those also in the row marked New Jersey
  Pension for 2019?
  - A. Yes.

on this slide?

6

7

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

25

- MR. GROSS: We can take that down.
- Q. Again, I'm not going to ask you to go through each of these columns but I would like to direct your attention to the Totals row, please. Mr. Richardson, what does the Totals row reflect
  - A. It represents the sum of the items listed above that are spelled out. Again, for the sum in deposits total for \$134,406 would be the sum of the individual items in the deposits column, and the \$108,917 would be the sum of the individual items listed in the disbursements column or truncated disburse
  - Q. What was the net increase in bank account for 2019?
- 19 A. \$25,489.

column.

- Q. If you recall, was that higher or lower than the net increase in bank account for 2018?
- 22 A. It is higher.
- Q. And what, if any sources of income, came into the senator's account in 2019 that did not come in in 2018?
  - A. The New Jersey pension.

- MR. GROSS: Mr. Kelly, let's flip to the next slide.
- Q. Mr. Richardson, with respect to this slide, at least with
- 3 respect to entries that appear on prior slides, did you
- 4 undertake the same work for the year 2020 as you did for the
- 5 | years 2019 and 2018?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. Directing your attention to the line item marked social
- 8 | security; do you see that, sir?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. Did you include social security payments in 2018 or 2019?
- 11 A. No, I did not.
- 12 | Q. Why not?
- 13 A. There were no deposits in the years 2018 and 2019 in the
- 14 | Senate Federal Credit Union bank account.
- 15 MR. GROSS: Can we please put up for the witness and
- 16 the lawyers what's been marked as DX 5H-102-9?
- 17 | Q. Mr. Richardson, do you recognize this document?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. What is it?
- 20 A. It is a bank statement from the Senate Federal Credit Union
- 21 in the name of Robert Menendez.
- MR. GROSS: Your Honor, we offer DX 5H-102-9, again an
- 23 | excerpt of a government exhibit already in evidence.
- 24 THE COURT: Admitted.
- 25 | (Defendant's Exhibit 5H-102-9 received in evidence)

Richardson - Direct

- 1 MR. GROSS: Zooming in on the upper portion of this 2 document, please, including the address? Thank you, Mr. Kelly. 3 BY MR. GROSS:
  - Q. Mr. Richardson, what is the date range of this bank account?
  - March 1, 2020 through March 31, 2020.
  - This bank account statement, thank you. Directing your attention please to the entry dated in the savings account balance dated March 7, 2020. Do you see that, sir?
- 10 A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 11 What do you understand the descriptor column in that, for that transaction to mean? 12
- A deposit -- electronic deposit of social security funds. 13
- 14 Q. And did you include this transaction in your summary chart 15 for 2020 in the row marked Social Security?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And for the remaining months of 2020, did you undertake 18 substantially the same work to identify social security transactions?
- 20 Α. Yes.

- 21 And did you include those as well in your summary chart?
- 22 Α. Yes.
- 23 Q. Thank you.
- 24 Mr. Kelly, if we can flip back to the 2020 MR. GROSS: 25 page in the summary chart, slide 4? Thank you.

	C715meiii Bireet
1	Q. Directing your attention, Mr. Richardson, to the row
2	marked just give me one moment?
3	MR. GROSS: Sorry. Can we flip back for one second to
4	the slide just before this for one 2019? Thank you.
5	Q. Mr. Richardson, directing your attention to the row marked
6	Other Disbursements; do you see that?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. What is the value of that row?
9	A. \$12,449.
10	MR. GROSS: And Mr. Kelly, can we now go ahead to the
11	2020 slide, that is going to be slide 4? Thank you.
12	Q. What is the value of other disbursements on this slide,
13	Mr. Richardson?
14	A. \$44,313.
15	(Continued on next page)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

071Wmen5

Richardson - Direct

1 BY MR. GROSS:

- 2 Q. How does that compare to the value of other disbursements
- 3 | in 2019?

- 4 A. It's greater.
  - Q. By about how much?
- 6 A. \$32,000.
- 7 MR. GROSS: Can we please put up what's been marked
- 8  $\parallel$  as, for identification as 5H-102-11, just for the witness and
- 9  $\parallel$  the lawyers.
- 10 | Q. Mr. Richardson, do you recognize this document?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. What is it?
- 13 A. It is a -- it's check No. 860 from the United States Senate
- 14 | Federal Credit Union.
- 15 MR. GROSS: Your Honor, we offer this document, DX
- 16 | 5H-102-11, again an excerpt of the government exhibit already
- 17 | in.
- 18 THE COURT: Admitted.
- 19 (Defendant's Exhibit 5H-102-11, received in evidence)
- MR. GROSS: Thank you.
- 21 Can we publish that, please.
- 22 | Q. Mr. Richardson, does this check reflect one of the payments
- 23 | that was included in the other disbursements row for 2020?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. And who is this check made out to?

4

6

7

8

9

10

- A. Hart to Hart Entertainment.
- Q. And if you can look in the memo line of the check and read the memo line, to the extent you're able, please.
  - A. "Menendez-Arslanian wedding classic DJ, etc."
- 5 MR. GROSS: Thank you. We can zoom out, Mr. Kelly.
  - Q. Based on the memo line of this check, what do you understand this check to be paying for?
  - A. Payment for --

MS. GHOSH: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.

- 11 BY MR. GROSS:
- Q. Do you have an understanding, sir, of what this check is paying for?
- MS. GHOSH: Objection.
- 15 | THE COURT: I take it your understanding is it's
- 16 | Menendez Arslanian wedding classic DJ, etc. Is that correct?
- 17 THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.
- 18 THE COURT: All right. Next.
- 19 MR. GROSS: We can take that down, Mr. Kelly.
- 20 Q. Mr. Richardson, in the year 2020, in reviewing --
- 21 THE COURT: I should say, let me change my question.
- 22 | I should say I assume all you can say is that that's what's
- 23 noted in the memo line on that check. Correct?
- 24 | THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.
- 25 THE COURT: You don't know anything else about what

```
1
      that check to Hart to Hart was about?
 2
               THE WITNESS: No, your Honor.
 3
               THE COURT: OK.
                               Thank you.
 4
               MR. GROSS: Thank you, your Honor.
 5
      Q. Mr. Kelly, in your review of the senator's Senate Federal
      Credit Union accounts -- account, excuse me, for the year 2020,
 6
7
      did you identify other transactions that appeared to you to be
      wedding-related?
8
 9
               MS. GHOSH:
                          Objection.
10
               THE COURT: Sustained.
11
      BY MR. GROSS:
      Q. Mr. Kelly, what, if anything, did you notice about the
12
13
      other -- the transactions included in your other disbursements
14
      column that was different in 2020 as compared to 2019 or 2021?
15
               THE COURT: Sustained.
16
               MR. GROSS: Let's please put back up the summary
17
      chart, slide No. 4, Mr. Kelly.
18
      Q. Mr. Richardson, directing your attention to the totals row,
19
      do you see that, sir?
20
      Α.
          Yes.
21
          Can you remind the jury what that row represents, please?
22
      Α.
          The row represents the sum of the individual items listed
23
      in the, in the column that it's in, demarcated deposits.
24
      152,329 would be sum of all the deposits. Items listed in the
```

106,335 would be the sum of all the items listed in the

- 1 disburse column.
- 2 Q. What was the net increase in the bank account value for
- 3 | Senator Menendez's Senate Federal Credit Union account in the
- 4 | year 2020?
- 5 A. That increase is \$45,994.
- 6 | Q. If you recall is that higher or lower than in 2019?
- 7 A. It was higher.
- 8 Q. What, if any, sources of income did the senator receive in
- 9 2020 in this bank account that he did not receive in the same
- 10 | bank account in 2019?
- 11 A. Social security.
- MR. GROSS: Let's please, Mr. Kelly, flip to the next
- 13 slide.
- 14 | Q. And I won't walk you through each category again, but as a
- 15 | general matter, Mr. Richardson, in populating this slide for
- 16 | the year 2021, did you undertake substantially the same work to
- 17 complete each row as you did for the prior years?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. And what was the net increase for this bank account in
- 20 2021?
- 21 A. \$63,922.
- MR. GROSS: Let's look to the next slide, please,
- 23 Mr. Kelly.
- 24 | Q. And again, did you do substantially the same work to
- 25 complete this chart for partial year 2022 as you did for the

071Wmen5 Richardson - Direct

1 preceding years?

A. Yes.

- 3 Q. And what was the net increase in Senator Menendez's Senate
- 4 Federal Credit Union bank account in partial year 2020 -- 2022,
- 5 | excuse me?
- 6 A. \$29,152.
- 7 MR. GROSS: Thank you.
- 8 Mr. Kelly, can we go to the next slide, please.
- 9 Q. And Mr. Richardson, what does this slide represent?
- 10 A. This slide represents a summary of all banking activity in
- 11 United States Senate Federal Credit Union for the period
- 12 January 2018 through June 2022.
- 13 | Q. And in that four-year period, what was the net increase in
- 14 | Senator Menendez's Senate Federal Credit Union account?
- 15 A. \$167,453.
- 16 MR. GROSS: We can go to the next slide, please.
- 17 | Q. Mr. Richardson, what does this slide represent?
- 18 A. This slide represents the banking activity in the M&T Bank
- 19 account for the period January 10, 2018, through June 9, 2022.
- 20 | Q. And whose name was this account in?
- 21 A. Robert Menendez.
- 22 | Q. And how did you, at a high level, perform the work to
- 23 complete the table on the right side of this page?
- 24 | A. I looked at each individual account statement, and I
- 25 scheduled out in Excel the beginning balance plus deposits less

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

disbursements and came up with the ending balance and then ensured that the next statement's monthly beginning balance began with the previous ending balance and then summarized for each month the total deposits and disbursements and the ending balance for each year.

MR. GROSS: Thank you.

- Q. In the course of reviewing these records for the M&T Bank account, what, if anything, did you notice about the nature of the transactions in that account?
- A. They appeared to be for a rental property.
- Q. Can you explain that in more detail?
  - A. Yes. There was deposits that were made and checks that stated rent, and then the disbursements appeared to be for mortgage and expenses related to repairs and maintenance.

MR. GROSS: Thank you.

Let's go to the next slide, please, Mr. Kelly.

- Q. Mr. Richardson, what does this slide represent?
- A. This slide represents a summary of credit cards that were in Senator Menendez's name -- specifically, an American Express card ending in account 0-32002, and J.P. Morgan Chase Bank accounts ending in 6967 and 5383 for the period 28 -- January 2018 through March 2022.
- Q. And at a very high level, can you please explain the work you did to populate the chart on the right side of the page?
- A. Yes. As stated earlier, we summarized the charges and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

payments for each of the individual credit cards for the years 2018 through 2022. With that data we combined them into a single Excel chart, and we added charges to the amounts, the columns and charges together to come up with a total amount of charges for the year and similarly for payments/credits that were stated on the credit card statements.

- Q. And over the four-year period reflected on this chart, what was the approximate difference between the charges made on these three credit card accounts and the payments and credits made to these three credit card accounts?
- A. Approximately a thousand dollars.

MR. GROSS: Can we put up briefly, Mr. Kelly, what's already in evidence as DX 2193-1.

- Q. Mr. Richardson, is this statement from one of the credit card accounts that you reviewed and included on the slide we just observed?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And directing your attention to the row marked payments, which we looked at a little while ago --

MR. GROSS: I'm sorry. Mr. Kelly, it's actually the payments below that one, under the word "detail."

Thank you.

- Q. -- do you see the letters ACH printed there?
- 24 A. Yes.
  - Q. Do you have an understanding of what ACH means?

071Wmen5

Richardson - Direct

5956

- A. It means -- it was an electronic deposit.
- 2 Q. Do you know what those letters stand for?
- 3 | A. I do not.
  - Q. You do understand that it was an electronic deposit?
- 5 A. Yes.

1

4

6

8

9

10

11

- MR. GROSS: Thank you.
- 7 Can we also put up briefly --

THE COURT: In other words, a deposit that was made electronically rather than through a piece of paper, a check, is that right?

- THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes, sir.
- 12 THE COURT: All right.
- MR. GROSS: Thank you, your Honor.
- Can we put up briefly, please, what's been marked for identification, so just for the witness and the lawyers, DX 2192-2.
- 17 | Q. Mr. Richardson, do you recognize this document?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19  $\parallel$  Q. What is it?
- 20 A. It is a credit card statement from J.P. Morgan Chase Bank
  21 in the name of Robert Menendez.
- MR. GROSS: Your Honor, we offer DX 2192-2, which is an excerpt of 2192, already in evidence.
- 24 THE COURT: Admitted.
- 25 (Defendant's Exhibit 2192-2 received in evidence)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

25

BY MR. GROSS:

Q. Mr. Kelly -- Mr. Richardson, is this statement from one of the credit card accounts that you reviewed and that was reflected on the summary chart slide that we just looked at?

A. Yes.

MR. GROSS: Thank you.

And Mr. Kelly, if we could go to the third page of this, please. There actually is not a third page. Just the bottom of the page in the portion marked payments and other credits. Do you see that?

- Q. And directing your attention to the row dated 6/21, do you see -- can you please what's read in the descriptor column for that payment?
- A. "Payment thank you-check."
- Q. As a general matter, in reviewing credit card statements -I'm not asking you every time, but as a general matter -- did
  the statements generally state what the form of payment for
  credit cards was, so that is ACH or check or similar?
- A. It -- more times than not it did.
- Q. And in the course of reviewing the credit card statements
  that you reviewed to do your work, how many payments in cash
  did you see reflected on credit card statements?
- A. I saw no payments of cash for the credit card statements based on the records I reviewed.
  - MR. GROSS: You can take that down, Mr. Kelly.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α.

No, it did not.

THE COURT: I don't want to put words in your mouth. Is your testimony that for the payments on credit cards that you reviewed, that line either read check or didn't have an entry? THE WITNESS: Sometimes, your Honor, it would say, payment, thank you; payment, web payment. It varied. THE COURT: All right. THE WITNESS: And other times it would say check or ACH. THE COURT: But I think you said you can say that it never said payment cash. THE WITNESS: Based on my review, I did not see anything that said it was a payment by cash. THE COURT: Thank you. One moment, your Honor? MR. GROSS: Mr. Kelly, can we put back up the summary chart, please, where we left off. And go to the next slide, please. Q. Mr. Richardson, what does this slide reflect? This slide represents cash withdrawals from the United States Senate Federal Credit Union for the period 2008 through June 2022. Q. Does this chart reflect all of the cash withdrawals that you observed on the credit card statements for that -- I'm sorry, the credit union statements for that 14-year period?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

- Q. What limitations, if any, were placed on the withdrawals that you included on this table?
  - A. The limitation was to only include deposits -- cash withdrawals in the amounts of \$400 to \$403.50.

MR. GROSS: Mr. Kelly, in the --

- Q. Mr. Richardson, in the course of reviewing the senator's bank statements from the 14-year period between 2008 and 2022, what, if any, pattern did you observe regarding Senator Menendez's cash-withdrawal behaviors?
  - MS. GHOSH: Objection.
- THE COURT: Sustained.
- 12 BY MR. GROSS:
  - Q. Mr. Kelly, did you, in the course of reviewing these documents, notice any regular intervals or amounts at which withdrawals were made?
    - MS. GHOSH: Objection.
- 17 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 18 BY MR. GROSS:
- Q. Turning back to the chart, as an average, over the course of this, over this 14-year period, how many withdrawals in the amount of between 400 and \$403 or \$403.50 did Senator Menendez make from his Senate Federal Credit Union account?
- 23 A. Almost 26 withdrawals a year, 25.9.
- Q. And what was the average value of the withdrawals, just in this narrow range, each year of this 14-year period?

071Wmen5

Richardson - Direct

- 1 A. \$10,384.38.
- 2 Q. And over the course of the 14-year period, what was the
- 3 | total value of withdrawals just in the range between 400 and
- 4 \$403.50 that you observed?
- 5 A. \$150,573.50.
- 6 Q. And I think you said earlier that you -- I'll just ask you.
- 7 Did you observe cash transactions that, in amounts -- cash
- 8 | withdrawals in amounts less than \$400?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. And did you observe cash withdrawals in amounts of more
- 11 than \$400?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. Had you included those withdrawals, the ones greater than
- 14 | \$400 or less than \$400 on this chart, approximately how much
- 15 | more in withdrawals would this chart have reflected?
- 16 A. Approximately 28 to \$30,000 more.
- MR. GROSS: And, OK. Let's go, please, to the next
- 18 slide.
- 19 | O. Mr. Richardson, what does this slide reflect?
- 20 | A. This slide reflects all deposits between January 2008
- 21 | through June '22 that were in the amount of \$400 to \$403.50.
- 22 | Q. Mr. Richardson, I think you may have -- does this chart
- 23 reflect all deposits in cash or all withdrawals in cash?
- 24 | A. I apologize. I said deposits. I meant cash withdrawals.
- 25 It reflects all cash withdrawals in the amount of \$400 to

071Wmen5

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

16

17

18

19

20

24

25

Richardson - Direct

1 \$403.50 between January 2008 and June '22.

MR. GROSS: Thank you.

THE COURT: And if I understand, the total of those withdrawals over a 14-year period is \$150,000?

THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

MR. GROSS: Can we please put up what's in evidence as Government Exhibit 5W-1. Thank you, Mr. Kelly.

- Q. Mr. Richardson, what do you understand this document to be?
- 10 A. A membership application.
- 11 | Q. Can you tell for what?
- 12 A. An account, an account opening, a bank account opening.
- 13 Q. And what is the date of the membership application?
- 14 A. September 19, 1995.

15 MR. GROSS: Thank you.

And Mr. Kelly, can we scroll to the next page, please.

And can we highlight the portion on the left side of the page,
this little entry here, all the way to the bottom, please.

- Q. What do you understand this to reflect, Mr. Richardson?
- A. The application for membership was approved.
- 21 | Q. And what's the date of approval?
- 22 A. September 22, 1995.

23 MR. GROSS: Thank you. And we can take that down.

If we can go to the next page, please, Mr. Kelly.

Q. And what is the name of the credit union that's listed on

1 this page?

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. Congressional Federal Credit Union.
- Q. And what do you understand this document to be?
  - A. The account closure.
  - Q. And what is the date of the account closure?
- 6 A. December 9, 2016.

MR. GROSS: At this time, your Honor, I'd like to read a portion of a stipulation into the record.

Mr. Kelly, can we please put up the stipulation marked DX 2503.

THE COURT: Proceed.

MR. GROSS: Thank you, sir. And can we please go to the second page, please. And zooming in on the second paragraph. The paragraph marked No. 2, excuse me.

This stipulation between the parties reads:

"As a matter of general practice, the Congressional Federal Credit Union does not retain account records for a period of longer than seven years and makes efforts to destroy account records older than seven years. Other than the documents marked for identification as Government Exhibit 5W-1, no additional records relating to the account ending in X83 in the name Robert Menendez have been located by the Congressional Federal Credit Union."

We can take that down.

Q. Mr. Richardson, were you asked at any point to perform work

071Wmen5

Richardson - Direct

5963

extrapolating the value of withdrawals that might have been made in an account belonging to Senator Menendez in the past?

- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And what was the account belonging to Senator Menendez in
- 5 the past that you used to extrapolate the value of those
- 6 | withdrawals?

7

14

- A. The Congressional Federal Credit Union.
- Q. And what was -- what were the dates that you used to extrapolate those amounts?
- 10 A. October 1995 through December 2007.
- 11 | Q. And where do those dates come from?
- 12 A. They came from the account opening, which we saw the
  13 account opened in October -- excuse me, September 1995. And
- 15 | Q. And what does this chart reflect?

then the account closed in 2016.

- 16 A. It reflects my extrapolation of cash withdrawals for the
- 17 years 1995 -- specifically, October to December of 1995 --
- 18 | through December 2007.
- 19 | Q. Did you actually see records of any of these withdrawals?
- 20 A. No, I did not.
- 21 Q. How did you determine how many -- the value of withdrawals
- 22 to include in this chart?
- 23 | A. I --
- MS. GHOSH: Objection.
- 25 THE COURT: I'll allow it.

1 How did you choose a value if you didn't see any 2 records? You can answer. THE WITNESS: I utilized the information that was 3 gained from the cash withdrawals in the Senate Federal Credit 4 5 Union for the years 2008 through June '22; specifically, the withdrawals between the dollar range of 400 and 403.50. 6 7 THE COURT: What's the basis for -- I take it your conclusion was that the same amount was withdrawn from this 8 9 account as from that account, correct? 10 THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Approximately 400 a month, is that right? THE WITNESS: 400 --12 13 THE COURT: At this time. 14 THE WITNESS: 400 at a time, your Honor. 15 THE COURT: At a time, each paycheck. THE WITNESS: From each cash withdrawal from the bank 16 17 account, whether it be an ATM or a check. 18 THE COURT: I'm sorry. That's the right way to say 19 it. 20 What's the basis for your assumption --21 THE WITNESS: My -- my --22 THE COURT: Just a moment. 23 THE WITNESS: Sorry. 2.4 THE COURT: -- that the withdrawal was the same for 25 one account as the other one if you didn't see any withdrawal

1 documents?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

18

2 You can answer.

THE WITNESS: I based my assumptions on the average number of withdrawals that I identified over a course of a 14-1/2-year period and then the total sum of the yearly cash withdrawals. So I took the averages of the 14-1/2-year data, and I extrapolated it back.

THE COURT: Next question.

MR. GROSS: Thank you, your Honor.

- Q. And based on that exercise, what is the extrapolated value of cash withdrawals over the 12-year period between October
- 12 | 1995 and the end of 2007?
- 13 A. \$127,208.66.

MR. GROSS: Just a moment, your Honor?

THE COURT: Having seen no underlying documents

16 | supporting that calculation, correct?

17 THE WITNESS: Correct, your Honor.

BY MR. GROSS:

- 19 Q. Mr. Richardson, did you see any records of cash withdrawals
- 20 | from the Congressional Federal Credit Union account at all?
- 21 A. No, I did not.
- 22 MR. GROSS: No further questions at this time, your
- Honor.
- 24 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 25 Mr. Lustberg, anything at this time, sir?

071Wmen5 Richardson - Cross

1 MR. LUSTBERG: No, your Honor.

2 THE COURT: Mr. De Castro.

MR de CASTRO: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Any cross by the government?

MS. GHOSH: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Is the jury OK? Would the jury like a

break?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

All right. We'll continue.

MS. GHOSH: Ms. Wechsler, could we please bring up

10 Defense Exhibit 2513.

- 11 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 12 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Richardson.
- 13 A. Good afternoon.
- 14 | Q. Looking at slide 1 of your chart, 2513, this slide shows
- 15 | the accounts that you reviewed for these slides, is that right?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. So three credit cards that Menendez used, is that what this
- 18 says?
- 19 A. I'm seeing two on my chart.
- 20 | Q. Is there an American Express credit card?
- 21 | A. Yes. I'm sorry. I thought you said J.P. Morgan. I
- 22 apologize.
- 23 Q. So three credit cards that Menendez used?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. And three bank accounts that Menendez used?

071Wmen5

Richardson - Cross

- A. I analyzed the records in four and five, not the Congressional Federal Credit Union.
- 3 Q. OK. That was an account of Menendez, though, right?
- 4 A. Yes.
- Q. And those bank accounts included both deposits and
- 6 disbursements?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. In the set of slides, Defense Exhibit 2513, there are at
- 9 | least two slides related to Menendez's cash withdrawals from
- 10 | the Senate Federal Credit Union account, right? If you want to
- 11 go to slides 10 and 11, we can look at those briefly.
- 12 A. Yes, please.
- 13 | Q. This relates to cash withdrawals?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15  $\parallel$  Q. And if we could go to 11, this also relates to cash
- 16 | withdrawals?
- 17 A. Yes.
- MS. GHOSH: Could we go to slide 9 for a moment,
- 19 please, Ms. Wechsler.
- 20 Q. This summarizes Menendez's credit card spending from 2018
- 21 | to 2022, correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23  $\parallel$  Q. And the blue bar is the amount spent on the particular
- 24 credit cards listed at the top of the slide?
- 25 A. The blue is the charges. So it's purchases.

- Q. What about cash spending; do any of your charts show how much Menendez spent in cash each year?
  - A. No.

6

7

- Q. You said he withdrew about \$400 in cash every three weeks,
- 5 is that right?
  - A. As evidenced on the charts, yes.
  - Q. Do you know how much of that cash he then spent?
- 8 A. No, I do not.
  - Q. You would agree he spent some, correct?
- 10 A. I have no records to support.
- 11 MR. GROSS: Objection, your Honor.
- 12 THE COURT: Pardon?
- MR. GROSS: Objection to the question. You would agree he spent some, assumes facts.
- 15 | THE COURT: Well, let's see. You can answer, sir.
- 16 | A. I have no records to support the spending of cash.
- 17 THE COURT: So you don't know one way or the other?
- 18 THE WITNESS: I do not.
- 19 THE COURT: OK. He could have spent all of it, he
- 20 could have spent none of it, he could have spent some of it, as
- 21 | far as you know?
- 22 THE WITNESS: Correct, your Honor. I have no records
- 23 to substantiate any cash expenditures.
- 24 BY MS. GHOSH:
- 25 | Q. You reviewed his credit card statements, correct?

Richardson - Cross

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And there aren't any credit card payments for dry cleaning,
- 3 | right?
- 4 A. I did not look at every line item charged. I did skim
- 5 them, but I didn't analyze every nitty-gritty payment on them.
- Q. Sitting here today, do you recall seeing any credit card
- 7 payments for dry cleaners?
- 8 | A. I do not.
- 9 Q. You've seen Menendez wearing a suit, right?
- 10 THE COURT: I'm sorry.
- Is your testimony that you do not recall seeing any
- 12 credit card payments for dry cleaners? Is that correct?
- 13 THE WITNESS: Correct, your Honor.
- 14 THE COURT: All right.
- 15 BY MS. GHOSH:
- 16 | Q. And you've seen Menendez wearing a suit?
- 17 A. Yes, I have.
- 18 Q. Did anyone provide you with receipts for every cash
- 19 purchase that Menendez made between 2008 and 2022?
- 20 A. I have no records of any cash purchases.
- 21 Q. Did you review any records related to purchases made by
- 22 others for Menendez?
- 23 | A. I only reviewed records pertaining to Senator Menendez.
- 24 | Q. So if someone else had paid for dinner, for example, those
- 25 records weren't part of your review?

O71Wmen5 Richardson - Cross

1 MR. GROSS: Objection.

THE COURT: I'll allow it.

- A. I reviewed credit card statements that I believe were paid by others, yes.
  - Q. So if another individual paid for things for Menendez, were those records part of your review?
  - A. They were not included in my charts.
  - Q. Did you review --

THE COURT: The testimony says, you said: I reviewed credit card statements that I believe were paid by others, yes.

Is that what you meant to say, sir?

THE WITNESS: I reviewed other credit cards, your Honor, that were not paid directly by Senator Menendez.

THE COURT: OK. Thank you.

15 BY MS. GHOSH:

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

20

21

- Q. Did you review records related to things given to Menendez by other people?
- 18 A. I had no records that showed anything was given to Senator
  19 Menendez.
  - Q. Did you review any records related to an elliptical exercise machine?
- 22 A. I reviewed no such records.
- Q. Did you review any records relating to cash that was given to Menendez by other people?
  - A. I reviewed no records of that nature.

20

21

22

23

24

25

Richardson - Cross 1 Is it fair to say that if cash were given to Menendez that 2 wouldn't show up on a bank statement if he didn't deposit it in 3 a bank? 4 MR. GROSS: Objection. Asked and answered. 5 THE COURT: I'll allow it. 6 You may answer if you can. 7 May you please repeat the question? Α. 8 Q. Certainly. 9 Is it fair to say that if cash were given to Menendez that 10 wouldn't show up on a bank statement if he didn't deposit it in 11 a bank? 12 Α. Correct. 13 In the records you reviewed, did Menendez ever withdraw 14 \$10,000 in cash at once from a bank? 15 A. Not from my review of the records. 16 MS. GHOSH: I'd like to go to slide 10 in Defense 17 Exhibit 2513. 18 Q. This chart indicates that the minimum cash withdrawal for 19 each year from 2008 through June 2022 was \$400, correct?

> MR. GROSS: Objection. Misstates.

MS. GHOSH: My question was this chart indicates --

THE COURT: Sustained.

I'll allow you to answer that question, sir. Does that chart indicate that the minimum cash withdrawals for each year from 2008 through June of 2022 was \$400? Yes or no.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

25

THE WITNESS: No, your Honor. My chart summarizes the withdrawals that I quantified on my chart. I used a very narrow parameter in preparing this chart. There were deposits -- there were cash withdrawals less than \$400 and there was cash withdrawals greater than \$403.50.

- BY MS. GHOSH:
- Q. And so can you point us to where on this chart that limitation is indicated?
- It is not indicated on the chart.

THE COURT: I'm a little confused.

On the chart that's up now, DX 5H-102, the third column says minimum cash withdrawal amount, and that column all has \$400 in it as the minimum cash withdrawal amount.

THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor. That is what the chart In my review of the bank accounts, there were says. withdrawals that were less than that amount. However, I did not capture them on my chart. I utilized a threshold of \$400.

THE COURT: All right.

- 19 BY MS. GHOSH:
- 20 Q. And again, sir, is that threshold of \$400 listed on this 21 chart anywhere?
- 22 A. No, it is not.
- 23 So you would agree that is not actually the minimum cash 24 withdrawal amount?
  - As far as what was through the bank accounts or on my

O71Wmen5 Richardson - Cross

1 | chart?

- Q. The bank account listed here, the United States Senate
- 3 | Federal Credit Union account ending in 8151.
- 4 A. No. There were cash withdrawals less than \$400.
- 5 Q. So is it fair to say that the minimum cash withdrawal
- 6 amount is \$400 when you only take the cash withdrawals of the
- 7 | minimum of \$400?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 THE COURT: What led you to choose \$400?
- 10 THE WITNESS: In discussion with defense counsel, that
- 11 was the number we decided to use.
- 12 | THE COURT: Defense counsel gave you those
- 13 | instructions?
- 14 THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.
- 15 THE COURT: All right.
- 16 BY MS. GHOSH:
- 17 | Q. This chart also lists maximum withdrawal for each of those
- 18 years as either 400, 403, \$403.25 or \$403.50. Maximum means
- 19 | largest, correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. Fair to say that those are not the largest cash withdrawals
- 22 | in this account during this time period?
- 23 A. That is correct.
- 24 | Q. The range listed on here of between 400 and \$403.50, that's
- 25 | quite a narrow range, isn't it?

O71Wmen5 Richardson - Cross

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. That range would suggest a very consistent pattern of
- 3 | withdrawals, wouldn't it?
- 4 A. Yes.
- Q. But as you just agreed, that's not actually what the
- 6 | account shows, is it?
- 7 A. Yes.

- Q. There were cash withdrawals smaller than \$400, right?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- MS. GHOSH: Could we put up Government Exhibit 5H-102
- 11 at page 287.
- 12 | Q. Do you see at the bottom cash dispense clearing \$31?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. You would agree this is a receipt for a \$31 cash
- 15 | withdrawal?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. You agree \$31 is less than \$400?
- 18 A. Yes.
- MS. GHOSH: Could we put up 5H-102, same document, at
- 20 page 325.
- 21  $\parallel$  Q. Do you see a \$200 cash withdrawal on May 5, 2022?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. You agree \$200 is less than \$400?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. And there were also cash withdrawals larger than 403.50,

071Wmen5 Richardson - Cross

- 1 | correct?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- MS. GHOSH: Could we put up the same document, 5H-102 at page 324.
- Q. And do you agree this shows a \$500 cash withdrawal on April
- 6 7, 2022?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. That date is within the time frame on your chart?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. Can you agree \$500 is more than \$400?
- 11 A. I do.
- Q. Do you agree your chart is not correct when it says that
- 13 the maximum cash withdrawal in 2022 was \$400?
- MR. GROSS: Objection. Argumentative.
- 15 | THE COURT: No. I'll allow it.
- 16 A. May you please repeat the question?
- Q. Do you agree that your chart is not correct when it says
- 18 | that maximum cash withdrawal in 2022 was \$400?
- 19 A. If that's the amount I have in that column, then yes, it's
- 20 | incorrect.
- 21 | Q. And you said you were aware of cash withdrawals that were
- 22 | higher and lower than the amounts listed on the chart?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. And you said it was the defense attorneys' decision not to
- 25 | include those?

25

Richardson - Cross

5976

1 In discussions with them, this was the chart we decided to 2 proceed with. 3 MS. GHOSH: I'd like to look at slide 11 of Defense 4 Exhibit 2513. 5 THE COURT: Go back to the main chart, the withdrawals. 6 7 MS. GHOSH: That's slide 10 of Defense Exhibit 2513. THE COURT: Do you see the third column that says 8 9 minimum cash withdrawal amount --10 THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor. 11 THE COURT: -- and the fourth column, maximum cash 12 withdrawal amount? 13 Is it your testimony that those columns do not reflect the actual minimum cash withdrawal amount and the actual 14 maximum cash withdrawal amount for those columns? 15 THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor. I can't say without 16 17 looking at the data for every year which ones that wouldn't be 18 accurate, but there are withdrawals less than 400 in years and 19 there are withdrawals greater than the 403.50 in other years. 20 THE COURT: And is it your testimony that it was 21 counsel that chose the \$400 figure and the \$403.50 figure as 22 well? 23 THE WITNESS: Based on discussion with counsel and 24 analyzing all the data, it was determined that the others were

more outliers and so forth, and we decided to go with the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

range, the narrow range that we have presented here on the screen as it showed a more, you know, consistent withdrawal of cash. Some were less, some were more, but this was the general pattern indicated by the number of cash withdrawals in each year of those amounts. THE COURT: But you didn't include those that did not reflect what you've said is a pattern of consistent withdrawals, is that right? THE WITNESS: Correct, your Honor. THE COURT: Because they were outliers? THE WITNESS: Yes. MS. GHOSH: Can we go to slide 11, please. If you can read --THE COURT: But that's not on the chart, right? That constraint on your calculations is not set forth on the chart, is that correct? THE WITNESS: That is correct, your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Go ahead. MS. GHOSH: Thank you. Q. We're on slide 11 now of Defense Exhibit 2513. read at the top the title is cash withdrawals from U.S. SFCU January 2018 -- excuse me, January 2008 to June 2022? But that's not what this chart shows either, correct?

MR. GROSS: Objection, your Honor. Misstates.

25

BY MS. GHOSH:

Richardson - Cross

THE COURT: Well, let's see. 1 2 The -- the deposits listed on the chart are from January Α. 3 2008 through March of '22. This is not all of the cash withdrawals from that account 4 5 during that time frame, right? A. It would be withdrawals of \$400 to 403.50, as annotated on 6 7 the right side. 8 So you have to look at that tiny asterisk in the bottom 9 right corner to understand what this chart is showing, is that fair to say? 10 11 MR. GROSS: Objection, your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Yes. Sustained. 13 What's put on the lower right is necessary to 14 understand, consistent understanding what the chart reflects, is that correct? 15 16 THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: All right. 18 BY MS. GHOSH: 19 Q. Would the words "cash withdrawals of \$400 to \$403.50" have 20 fit in the title at the top? 21 Objection. MR. GROSS: 22 THE COURT: Yes. Sustained. 23 Let me see the title. 24 Next question.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
Sir, do you agree that the qualification listed in the
bottom right corner next to the asterisk that this chart only
shows withdrawals of $400 to $403.50 is important to accurately
understand what this chart shows?
A. Yes.
                   I'd like to look now --
        MS. GHOSH:
         THE COURT:
                   I take it that's why you put it in that
footnote, correct?
         THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.
         THE COURT: Who made the decision to put it in a
footnote rather than in the title of that chart.
         THE WITNESS: That would have been me, your Honor.
         THE COURT:
                    Next question.
        MS. GHOSH: I'd like to look at the extrapolated cash
chart, which is slide 12.
         THE COURT:
                    Is that done by you after consultation
with the attorneys?
         THE WITNESS: The asterisks, your Honor?
         THE COURT: Yes. Putting it in an asterisk as opposed
to in the title.
         THE WITNESS: It was just my style, your Honor.
didn't give any other thought to it other than put it there.
                   All right. Thank you.
         THE COURT:
        MS. GHOSH:
                    Go to slide 12, please.
```

What is the source listed on this slide?

Richardson - Cross

- 1 A. GX 5W-1.
- Q. You agree that that is not where the information in this slide actually comes from?
- A. It's where the dates come from, but everything else did not
- 5 come from there.
- Q. Do the records in 5W-1 show any cash withdrawals from the congressional bank account?
- 8 A. No, they do not.
- 9 Q. And to be clear, you haven't seen any bank records showing the withdrawal amounts listed in this slide, correct?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 | Q. This slide is based on assumptions?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Are those your assumptions or the defense team's assumptions?
- 16 MR. GROSS: Objection.
- 17 THE COURT: Did the lawyers give you those
- 18 assumptions?
- THE WITNESS: They were my assumptions based on the previous chart that I extrapolated back.
- 21 BY MS. GHOSH:
- 22 Q. So to get to the assumed average cash withdrawal amount of
- 23 | \$400.94, you relied on the data in slide 10 that we were
- 24 | looking at a moment ago?
- 25 A. Yes.

Richardson - Cross

- Q. And that's the slide that omitted certain withdrawals that were higher or lower than approximately \$400?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. Is it fair to say that including higher and lower amounts would have affected the average withdrawal?
- 6 A. Yes.
- Q. The \$400.94 assumed average is only the assumed average if you exclude the cash withdrawals higher and lower than roughly
- 9 \$400, correct?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. In any event, this slide makes an assumption about how much
- 12 cash was withdrawn between October 1995 and the end of 2007,
- 13 correct?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 | Q. Those numbers don't come from the source referenced here?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. Assuming there were cash withdrawals, do you know if
- 18 Menendez kept all that cash?
- 19 A. No, I do not.
- 20 | Q. If you assume he had kept every single penny of that cash
- 21 | that you assume he withdrew from 1995 through 2007, how much
- 22 cash are you assuming he withdrew, as reflected in this chart?
- 23 | A. I'm assuming he withdrew \$127,208.66.
- 24 | Q. Now, to be clear, you don't actually know the amount, if
- 25 any, of cash withdrawn during that period, do you?

Richardson - Cross

- 1 A. No, I do not.
- 2  $\parallel$  Q. It could be lower than 127,000?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. It could be half of that?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Could be zero?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. You testified earlier, on direct, the actual amount that
- 9 you got from 2008 through 2022 was approximately 28 to \$30,000
- 10 more than you list in slide 10, is that right?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. That's roughly \$185,000?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. So if you add together the \$180,000 of actual cash
- 15 | withdrawals and the \$127,000 that you're assuming was
- 16 | withdrawn, fair to say that's about \$307,000?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. So if Menendez never spent a penny of that, he would have
- 19 | made about \$307,000 in cash withdrawals over that entire time
- 20 period?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. From bills he withdrew from the bank between 1995 and 2022?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 MS. GHOSH: Could we put up Government Exhibit 1340,
- 25 please, for a moment.

071Wmen5 Richardson - Cross

- 1 Q. Fair to say that 307,000 is less than 552,000?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. And 307,000 is less than 402,000?
- 4 A. Yes.
  - Q. Approximately \$95,000, right?
- 6 A. Yes.

- 7 MS. GHOSH: We can take that down.
- 8 Let's turn to a different topic.
- 9 Q. At some point someone reached out to you about hiring you
- 10 to work on this case, correct?
- 11 A. They reached out to my office, yes.
- 12 Q. Who reached out?
- 13 A. I do not know.
- 14 | Q. Around when was that?
- 15 A. I believe April of this year.
- 16 Q. Did members of the defense team interview you before hiring
- 17 you?
- 18 A. They spoke with our firm, yes.
- 19 | Q. And you were ultimately hired by the defense team, correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Through a consulting firm?
- 22 A. Guidepost Solutions, yes.
- 23 | Q. And Guidepost, that's the brand that you see on the slides
- 24 on your chart?
- 25 A. Yes.

Richardson - Cross

5984

- Q. You said on direct that you don't know what your billing rate is in this matter, is that correct?
- 3 A. That's correct.
  - Q. What's your estimate?
- 5 A. I have no idea what my, my billing rate would be.
- 6 | Q. Fair to say it's hundreds of dollars an hour?
- 7 A. Yes.

4

- Q. More than \$500 an hour?
- 9 A. I have no basis for that.
- 10 Q. And you've worked about 175 hours on this matter?
- 11 A. I would say that would be a fair approximation.
- 12 | Q. And of those 175 hours, part of that time has involved
- 13 meeting with the defense?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Did the defense send you their legal filings or discuss
- 16 strategy with you?
- 17 A. We discussed the financial charts and data that I was asked
- 18 to analyze.
- 19 Q. Including limiting the range to 400 to \$403?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Do you know whether any cash was seized on June 16, 2022,
- 22 | in connection with this case?
- 23 A. Just what I've seen in the paper.
- 24 THE COURT: No. Exclude that, sir.
- 25 THE WITNESS: Oh.

071Wmen5 Richardson - Cross 1 THE COURT: Excluding that, do you know? 2 THE WITNESS: No. 3 BY MS. GHOSH: Q. Do you know whether over half a million dollars in cash was 4 5 seized on that date? 6 I'm sorry. Could you repeat that? I'm hearing something 7 else. 8 THE COURT: Do you have any knowledge as to how much 9 cash, if any, was seized on June 16, 2022, in connection with 10 this case? Not having any -- forget what you may have read or 11 seen in the papers. I have no direct knowledge. 12 13 Q. You don't know the source of any money seized on June 16, 14 2022, do you? 15 MR. GROSS: Objection. 16 THE COURT: Sustained. He doesn't apparently know 17 that any was seized. 18 BY MS. GHOSH: 19 Q. You've never been to 41 Jane Drive, have you? 20 MR. GROSS: Objection. 21 THE COURT: No. I'll allow it. 22 Α. No, I have not.

- You haven't sat on the back patio with Menendez? 23 Q.
- 24 THE COURT: Sustained. Beyond the scope.
- 25 BY MS. GHOSH:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

Richardson - Redirect

Q. You weren't there when envelopes of cash were put into a safe in the house?

THE COURT: Sustained, yes.

MS. GHOSH: Just one moment, your Honor?

No further questions.

THE COURT: Mr. Gross, anything?

MR. GROSS: Yes. Briefly, your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes, sir.

MR. GROSS: Mr. Kelly, can we put up slide 2 of

DX-2513.

- 11 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 12 BY MR. GROSS:
- Q. Mr. Richardson, directing your attention to the row marked
- 14 cash withdrawals on this slide, do you see that, sir?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Does that figure reflect only a portion of the withdrawals
- 17 | you observed, or does it reflect all of the withdrawals you
- 18 observed in the year 2018 from Senator Menendez's Senate
- 19 | Federal Credit Union account?
- 20 A. It reflects all cash withdrawals in 2018.
- 21 | O. Not limited to withdrawals between 400 and \$403.50?
- 22 A. No. There was no limitation.
- 23  $\parallel$  Q. Is that true with respect to the slides for 2019, 2020,
- 24 | 2021 and partial year 2022?
- 25 A. Yes, that's correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

- Q. All of those slides include all cash withdrawals you observed, not just those within a narrow range, right?
- A. That is correct.

MR. GROSS: Can we go to slide 10, please.

- Q. Mr. Richardson, do you recall that when I was questioning you about this chart on direct, that I asked you whether you had seen withdrawals less than \$400 on Senator Menendez's
- 9 A. Yes.
  - Q. And what did you testify then?
- 11 | A. That I saw --

statements?

- 12 MS. GHOSH: Objection. Asked and answered.
- 13 THE COURT: Yes. If he's testified, he testified.
- 14 Next.
- 15 BY MR. GROSS:
- Q. And do you recall being asked whether you'd seen withdrawals greater than \$403.50?
- 18 MS. GHOSH: Objection. Asked and answered.
- 19 THE COURT: Well, do you recall being asked that
- 20 | question?
- 21 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 22 THE COURT: All right. The next question will be objected to as asked and answered.
- MR. GROSS: Thank you, your Honor.
- 25 | Q. Mr. Richardson, in the course of reviewing Senator

5988 071Wmen5 Richardson - Redirect Menendez's bank records from the Senate Federal Credit Union 1 over this 14-year period, 2008 to 2022, did you notice any 2 3 commonality in the size of cash transactions? MS. GHOSH: 4 Objection. 5 THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. GROSS: 6 7 Q. Are you able to identify one way or another whether there is a most common value of cash withdrawal amounts in this 8 9 14-year period? 10 MS. GHOSH: Objection. THE COURT: 11 Sustained. 12

BY MR. GROSS:

A. Yes.

16

17

18

- 13 Q. Mr. Richardson, do you recall being asked on 14 cross-examination about the decision to limit the withdrawals 15 reflected on this chart to amounts between 400 and \$403.50?
  - And do you recall testifying that you observed a pattern of such withdrawals?
- 19 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 20 MR. GROSS: Can we go to the next slide, please.
- 21 Can we zoom in, please, on the asterisk in the bottom 22 corner.
- 23 Can we also zoom in on the asterisk on the top title 24 So compare those two. row.
  - Thank you.

```
071Wmen5
                               Richardson - Redirect
          Mr. Richardson, what does this footnote modify?
1
          It modifies the dollar value of the cash withdrawals that
 2
      Α.
 3
      are being presented; that is, it only states withdrawals
     between 400 and $403.50 are being presented.
 4
 5
      Q. And how does this chart that we're looking at right now
 6
      compare to the chart on the slide just before it, slide 10?
7
               MS. GHOSH:
                          Objection.
               THE COURT: Sustained as to form.
8
 9
               Are you asking about in total, sir?
10
               MR. GROSS: I'll ask it again.
          The data reflected in this chart that we're looking at now,
11
      is that the same or different than the data reflected in the
12
      chart on slide 10?
13
14
               MS. GHOSH:
                          Objection.
15
                           I'll allow it.
               THE COURT:
          It is the --
16
      Α.
17
                          There's different information on each
               THE COURT:
18
      chart, isn't there?
19
               THE WITNESS: Your Honor, the previous.
20
               THE COURT:
                          Doesn't chart 10 -- well, put up chart 10.
21
               All right. Fair enough.
22
```

What's your question?

BY MR. GROSS:

23

- What does this chart summarize, Mr. Richardson, chart 10?
- 25 Chart 10 summarizes the data on chart 11.

24

25

I can tell you so far we still are on track of what we told you

a couple of weeks ago. It's not a promise, but so far we're

still on track.

No court tomorrow. I'll see you Wednesday at 9:30, and then there's no court Thursday and no court Friday. We'll pick it up again on Monday, but I'll see you on Wednesday at 9:30.

Enjoy the rest of the day.

(Continued on next page)

(Jury not present) THE COURT: All right. You may be seated. We will not have court tomorrow. I will set for the lawyers -- the parties don't have to be there if they don't want to -- a conference at 4 p.m. If I haven't been able to get through all of this material, Ms. Blakely will notify you that we're canceling that 4 p.m. conference. But as of now, 4 p.m. for the lawyers, and certainly if parties or the public want to attend, it's certainly a public proceeding, but we'll handle what I've referred to as the weekend tsunami. All right? 4 p.m. tomorrow unless you hear otherwise. (Adjourned to July 2, 2024, at 4:00 p.m.) 

1	INDEX OF EXAMINATION
2	Examination of: Page
3	CARIDAD GONZALEZ
4	Direct By Mr. Weitzman
5	Cross By Ms. Pomerantz
6	KATIA TABOURIAN
7	Direct By Mr. Fee
8	Cross By Ms. Pomerantz
9	RUSSELL RICHARDSON
10	Direct By Mr. Gross
11	Cross Q
12	Redirect By Mr. Gross
13	Recross By Ms. Ghosh
14	GOVERNMENT EXHIBITS
15	Exhibit No. Received
16	A142
17	A141
18	5н-102-5
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	